## REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF AN INVESTIGATION OF THE MORTALITY, INVESTMENT, SERVICE AND COMPENSATION EXPERIENCE OF THE VERMONT STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Covering the period July 1, 1996 through June 30, 2001

July 5, 2002

Board of Trustees
Vermont State Employees' Retirement System
Montpelier, Vermont 05633

## Dear Board Members:

Section 1942, subsection (m), of Title 16, Chapter 55, Vermont Statutes Annotated, provides in part that at least once in each five-year period, the actuary is to make an actuarial investigation into the mortality, service, and compensation experience of the members and beneficiaries of the System. In accordance with this provision, an investigation has been made for the period covering July 1, 1997 through June 30, 2002, and the results are described in this report, along with our recommendations for certain modifications in the present assumptions. We have also included a brief section discussing the financial impact of the recommended changes.

The Table of Contents, which immediately follows, outlines the information contained in this report.
Respectfully submitted,

David L. Driscoll, F.S.A.
Consulting Actuary

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section ..... Page
I Introduction .....  .1
II Active Service Demographic Assumptions .....  3
III Post-Retirement Mortality Rates ..... 14
IV Economic Assumptions ..... 14
V Cost Analysis and Conclusion ..... 20
Appendix
I Tables Showing Actual and Expected Experience. ..... 21
II Recommended Active Service Tables ..... 31
III Recommended Post-Retirement Mortality Tables ..... 36
IV Comparative Valuation Balance Sheet ..... 39

# VERMONT STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM 

REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF AN INVESTIGATION OF THE ACTUARIAL EXPERIENCE OF THE SYSTEM, 1996-2001.

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. In order to accumulate funds to pay retirement benefits on a reasonable and relatively stable basis, the actuary prepares annual valuations of the System's assets and liabilities to measure the funded status and to ensure that the funding pace is adequate to meet the System's obligations.
2. The primary purpose of funding is to equitably allocate costs between generations of taxpayers and provide security to members, who view the funds set aside as assurance that their benefits will be paid.
3. While the ultimate cost of the System is not determinable until all benefits are paid and expenses provided for, each actuarial valuation attempts to estimate these costs based on assumptions of future events, which should be selected to predict, as accurately as possible, future experience.
4. Overly conservative or aggressive assumptions will result in actuarial gains or losses each year. When translated into contributions, this will result in decreasing or increasing contribution rates, which do not promote intergenerational equity.
5. To the extent that assumptions prove accurate, contribution rates will be stable.
6. The major actuarial assumptions are:
(a) Active service demographic assumptions,
(b) Compensation increase assumptions,
(c) Post-retirement mortality rates,
(d) Interest rate, and
(e) Cost-of-living adjustment rates.
7. Before presenting our analysis of VSERS experience and discussion of the proposed assumptions, it is important to outline considerations that should govern the selection of actuarial assumptions. The recommendations made by the American Academy of Actuaries may be summarized as follows:
(i) The actuarial assumptions selected should reflect the actuary's best judgement of future events. They should take into account actual experience to the extent possible, but they should also reflect long-term future trends and not give undue weight to recent past experience.
(ii) The actuary should consider the impact of inflation in selecting the actuarial assumptions to be used.
(iii) The actuary should give consideration to the reasonableness of each actuarial assumption independently, as well as to the combined impact of all the assumptions.
(iv) The actuary should give careful attention to changes in plan design that may significantly alter expected future experience. For example, a liberalization of
early retirement benefits may make a revision to the retirement assumption advisable.
(v) In choosing assumptions, the actuary should take into account general or specific information available from other sources, including the plan sponsor, plan administrator, investment managers, accountants, economists, etc.
8. The purpose of this report is to provide the information necessary to decide on the appropriate assumptions to be used in future valuations. It should be noted that these decisions cannot be made "in a vacuum," but must reflect the present and expected situation within the State and the System.

The balance of this report deals in detail with the various assumptions. In each area we have made recommendations as to what we believe are appropriate assumptions. These recommendations reflect our "best estimate" of the likely VSERS experience based on:
(a) the recent past experience;
(b) the general economic views prevailing at this time; and
(c) anticipated trends.

## II. ACTIVE SERVICE DEMOGRAPHIC ASSUMPTIONS

## A. General Comments

9. Following, we review the assumptions made in regard to:
(a) Termination
(b) Disability

# (c) Death before retirement <br> (d) Retirement. 

10. Our review of active service demographic assumptions is based on the actuarial valuation data for Groups A, D and F combined and separately for Group C.
11. The basis for analysis of the System's experience is a comparison of the actual number of separations from service under each contingency with those anticipated by assumptions currently in use.
12. The "expected" values are calculated by applying the various rates or probabilities to the individuals exposed to each respective event. For example, active members not yet eligible for early retirement would be exposed to the probabilities of withdrawal, death and disability. A member eligible for early retirement would be exposed to disability, death and early retirement. A member eligible for normal retirement would be exposed to disability, death and normal retirement.
13. The numerical summaries of the System's experience from July 1, 1996 through June 30, 2001 are presented in Appendix I. The tables show the ratios of the actual experience of the System as compared to that anticipated by the present actuarial assumptions. The results are shown separately by assumption and, where appropriate, by sex.
14. The ratios of actual to expected experience indicate the extent of deviation from the assumptions. A ratio of 1.0 would mean the experience has been exactly as anticipated.
15. As an aid to the Trustees in analyzing these results, we have also prepared a series of graphs, which present the statistical data summarized in Appendix I in visual form. Our comments will refer to the graphs, which immediately follow each of the following subsections.

## B. Termination

16. The graphs that follow present the withdrawal and vesting experience separately for male and female employees. Presently, the assumed probabilities of withdrawal in active service are the same for male and female members.
17. Reviewing the withdrawal and vesting experience for Groups A, D and F, it can be seen that, overall, there are fewer members leaving before service retirement than expected for both males and females. Examination of experience in different age brackets reveals that the numbers of withdrawals have fallen short of expected levels prior to age 45 and have actually exceeded expected levels beyond age 45. The distribution of exposure by age results in the overall excess of expected withdrawals over actual withdrawals.
18. Since the numbers withdrawing without a benefit and the numbers of vested terminations are below those expected in Groups A, D and F, we recommend that the
assumed rates of withdrawal for these groups be decreased modestly at ages below 45 and raised modestly at ages beyond 45 .
19. We recommend the continued use of the same withdrawal assumption as are presently used for Group C. While the experience of the last five years indicates that there have been somewhat more terminations among Group C members than were expected under the present assumption, the limited exposure of this group makes it difficult to justify a change in the assumption on the basis of the present evidence.
20. We recommend the continued use of the same withdrawal assumption for males and females. The following graphs show the current rate, the actual rate and (where applicable) the proposed new rate separately for males and females. The proposed rates are set forth in detail in Appendix II.
21. In addition to varying by age, assumed rates of withdrawal are also adjusted for length of service. While we have recommended changes in the underlying rates used, we are not recommending a change in the service-based adjustment factors at this time.
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## C. Disability and Death

22. The graphs that follow show the incidence of disability among employees and the incidence of active service mortality. The financial impact on the funding of the System as the result of this experience is relatively minor. It should be noted that the low incidence of actual disabilities makes this experience susceptible to rather large fluctuations from year to year.
23. The expected rates of disability for males and females appear to be somewhat overstated but the variability noted in paragraph 22 leads us to conclude that no change should be recommended at this time.
24. Since the overall active service mortality for both males and females is above that expected on the basis of the current tables, it is possible to justify an increase in the assumed death rates applied to active employees. We recommend that the Board consider the adoption of the RP-2000 Mortality Tables for Male and Female Employees for this purpose. The proposed rates are set forth in Appendix II.
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D. Service Retirement
25. In general, there have been somewhat fewer retirements than expected; however, the difference is not significant enough to warrant a change in our assumptions.
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## III. POST-RETIREMENT MORTALITY RATES

26. A review of the statistics with regard to post-retirement mortality for all retired members, which are summarized in Tables 7, 8 and 9 of Appendix I, shows that actual mortality fairly reflects that expected, except for dependents of deceased members. The variance from expectations observed with this group might be attributable to its small size of the group. We feel that the experience of the past five years does not suggest a need to change the post-retirement mortality assumption for this group or either of the other groups of retired members. However, if the Board wishes to update this assumption to reflect more recent studies of longevity among pension plan participants, it may wish to consider the adoption of the RP-2000 Mortality Tables for this purpose. The probabilities of death at each age under these tables are set forth in Appendix III.

## IV. ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS

27. Economic assumptions include rates of compensation increase, investment income and post-retirement adjustment in benefits on account of inflation. These assumptions have been analyzed by their components; i.e., the inflation level reflected in each assumption and the merit-promotion component of the compensation increase rates or the real rate of investment income component of the total return rate.

## A. Inflation/Cost-of-Living (COL)

28. Since inflation impacts each of the economic assumptions, a symmetric relationship among the economic assumptions should be maintained. For example, the COL
assumption should be included as the inflation component of the compensation increase and investment return assumptions.
29. With regard to the inflation assumption, the U.S. Consumer Price Index indicates that the inflation rate has been as follows since January 1, 1997 (annual average):

| Calendar <br> Year | Increase* |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1997 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 1998 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1999 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2000 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2001 | $2.8 \%$ |

These increases are equivalent to an annual rate of about $2.5 \%$.
30. We suggest that the inflation component of the economic assumptions would be appropriately set at a level of $2.5 \%$ to $3.0 \%$. This is below what is currently assumed.
31. In setting the anticipated annual cost-of-living increase assumption, statutory limitations must be taken into account. The annual adjustment is equal to the increase in the CPIU, but not more than 5\%.
32. Currently, we assume a $4 \frac{1}{2} \%$ annual adjustment in pensions for Groups A and D , a $4 \frac{1}{2} \%$ annual adjustment for Group C and a $21 / 4 \%$ annual adjustment for Group F members. During the past five years, this has generally resulted in experience gains. We recommend that the assumed annual adjustment for retired members of Groups A, C and D be changed to $3.0 \%$, and that the assumed annual adjustment for retired members of Group F be changed to $1.50 \%$.

## B. Merit-Promotion Salary Increases

33. Currently a single compensation scale is used for both male and female members. The overall pattern of compensation increases appears to be generally consistent between males and females. The average annual pay increase produced by the current scale is as follows:

| Age at <br> Entry | Average <br> Annual <br> Increase to <br> Age 62 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 35 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 45 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 55 | $4.9 \%$ |

Assuming an inflation component of $2.5 \%$, the average annual merit-promotion component of the current assumption is about $2.4 \%-3.8 \%$.
34. The graphs on pages 18 depict the levels of total compensation increase during the fiveyear period. These results include both merit-promotion increases and inflationary increases. Experience shows that total pay has increased by about $2 \%$ annually over the average annual increases currently assumed. The statistics are summarized in Tables 5 and 6 of Appendix I.
35. In three of the past five years, salary increases have been such as to raise the normal cost percentage of the system. In the most recent year, salary experience resulted in a lowering of the percentage. In general, the outlook is for lower future rates of compensation increase.
36. The overall increase produced by the current assumption is about $6 \%$. Based solely on the most recent 5 years' experience, the scale could be increased so that it would average about 8\%.
37. Although experience indicates an increase, we recommend that the current pay increase assumption not be changed in expectation that lower prospective pay increases will eliminate the level of unanticipated pay increases noted in paragraph 34. We also feel that some of the excess of observed rates of salary increase over those assumed is due to imperfect annualization of partial years' pay reported for new entrants, and that a salaryrelated experience losses could be reduced through a review of the process used for annualization.
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C. Interest Rate
38. The total rates of return earned by the VSERS assets are shown below. The third column indicates the annual inflation levels based on the Consumer Price Index each year. The last column represents the theoretical real rate of return.

| Year <br> Ending <br> June 30 | Rate of Return <br> Based on Actuarial <br> Asset Value | Cost of <br> Living <br> Increase | Theoretical <br> Real Rate of <br> Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | $15.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| 1998 | 163 | 1.3 | 14.5 |
| 1999 | 14.7 | 2.2 | 12.2 |
| 2000 | 14.3 | 3.4 | 10.5 |
| 2001 | 8.7 | 2.8 | 5.7 |
| $1997-2001$ | $13.8 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |

The theoretical real rate of return has been about $11.0 \%$ annually during the past five years. Based on an expected inflation component of $2.5 \%$ this would indicate an investment return assumption as high as $13.8 \%$.
39. Although the above experience suggests that the current investment return of $8.5 \%$ could be increased, we advise against doing so at this time due to the trend toward lower returns during the last two years and the expectation of lower future returns. Indeed, the Board may wish to consider lowering the assumed rate of investment return to 8.00\% per year. The effect of this change is reflected in the cost analyses presented subsequently.

## V. COST ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

40. It should be noted that we are setting both the investment return and pay increase assumptions at levels lower than those that could be justified solely by the past five years' experience. In this instance, we feel that the two assumptions should be adjusted in a consistent manner and the most recent trend and outlook toward lower rates should not be ignored.
41. To assist the Board in selecting and approving the final package of valuation assumptions to be used prospectively from June 30, 2002, we have prepared a valuation of the System as of June 30, 2001 to reflect the potential impact of the revised assumptions.
42. Based on the assumptions recommended in this report, the total contribution rate as of June 30, 2001 would have decreased from $3.34 \%$ to $2.54 \%$. These results are summarized in Appendix IV.
43. This report discusses actuarial assumptions only. Methods such as the five-year average asset valuation procedure and amortization period for unfunded accrued liability also affect the costs of VSERS. These methods are not reviewed because they are not amenable to five-year experience analysis. We should note, however, that we have not observed reasons to change any of the methods currently employed.

## APPENDIX I

TABLES SHOWING ACTUAL AND EXPECTED EXPERIENCE

TABLE 1

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS <br> FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## TERMINATIONS

| Central Age of Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected |
| 25 | 98 | 166.39 | 0.589 | 120 | 204.36 | 0.587 |
| 30 | 157 | 246.56 | 0.637 | 191 | 266.82 | 0.716 |
| 35 | 165 | 218.45 | 0.755 | 205 | 249.89 | 0.820 |
| 40 | 141 | 187.01 | 0.754 | 245 | 234.12 | 1.046 |
| 45 | 175 | 171.55 | 1.020 | 193 | 196.41 | 0.983 |
| 50 | 155 | 128.08 | 1.210 | 158 | 125.22 | 1.262 |
| 53 and 54 | 42 | 28.10 | 1.495 | 49 | 28.29 | 1.732 |
| 55 and over | 96 | 32.77 | 2.930 | 107 | 30.17 | 3.547 |
| Total | 1,029 | 1178.91 | 0.873 | 1,268 | 1,335.28 | 0.950 |
| Grand Total Including Group C | 1,061 | 1,208.44 | 0.878 | 1,271 | 1,338.10 | 0.950 |

TABLE 2

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

| Central <br> Age of <br> Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected |
| 25 | 0 | 0.55 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.68 | 0.000 |
| 30 | 0 | 1.57 | 0.000 | 0 | 1.72 | 0.000 |
| 35 | 0 | 2.77 | 0.000 | 1 | 3.09 | 0.324 |
| 40 | 4 | 5.05 | 0.792 | 2 | 5.81 | 0.344 |
| 45 | 8 | 10.59 | 0.755 | 9 | 10.99 | 0.819 |
| 50 | 8 | 19.19 | 0.417 | 10 | 16.41 | 0.609 |
| 53 and 54 | 7 | 8.36 | 0.837 | 4 | 7.14 | 0.560 |
| 55 and over | 24 | 42.16 | 0.569 | 28 | 34.23 | 0.818 |
| Total | 51 | 90.24 | 0.565 | 54 | 80.07 | 0.674 |
| Grand Total Including Group C | 55 | 97.34 | 0.565 | 57 | 80.41 | 0.709 |

TABLE 3
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS
FROM ACTIVE SERVICE
DEATHS

| Central <br> Age of <br> Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected |
| 25 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.000 |
| 30 | 3 | 0.52 | 5.769 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.000 |
| 35 | 5 | 0.93 | 5.376 | 3 | 0.59 | 5.085 |
| 40 | 4 | 1.70 | 2.353 | 2 | 1.04 | 1.923 |
| 45 | 4 | 3.93 | 1.018 | 6 | 1.83 | 3.279 |
| 50 | 10 | 7.34 | 1.362 | 3 | 2.64 | 1.136 |
| 53 | 3 | 1.57 | 1.911 | 0 | 0.55 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 1 | 1.51 | 0.662 | 1 | 0.52 | 1.923 |
| 55 | 3 | 1.49 | 2.013 | 2 | 0.48 | 4.167 |
| 56 | 2 | 1.44 | 1.389 | 3 | 0.51 | 5.882 |
| 57 | 1 | 1.35 | 0.741 | 0 | 0.48 | 0.000 |
| 58 | 3 | 1.34 | 2.239 | 1 | 0.44 | 2.273 |
| 59 | 2 | 1.29 | 1.550 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.000 |
| 60 | 2 | 1.21 | 1.653 | 0 | 0.44 | 0.000 |
| 61 | 2 | 1.22 | 1.639 | 1 | 0.47 | 2.128 |
| 62 | 0 | 1.09 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.40 | 2.500 |
| 63 | 1 | 0.81 | 1.235 | 0 | 0.29 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 4 | 0.78 | 5.128 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.000 |
| 65 and over | 8 | 3.08 | 2.597 | 7 | 1.30 | 5.385 |
| Total | 58 | 32.78 | 1.769 | 30 | 13.13 | 2.285 |
| Grand Total Including Group C | 58 | 34.74 | 1.670 | 30 | 13.13 | 2.285 |

TABLE 4

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS

## FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## SERVICE RETIREMENTS

| Central Age of Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected |
| 50 | 38 | 2.10 | 18.095 | 33 | 0.89 | 37.079 |
| 53 | 13 | 2.85 | 4.561 | 3 | 0.96 | 3.125 |
| 54 | 14 | 3.96 | 3.535 | 13 | 2.36 | 5.508 |
| 55 | 25 | 38.90 | 0.643 | 23 | 27.20 | 0.846 |
| 56 | 35 | 41.22 | 0.849 | 30 | 27.32 | 1.098 |
| 57 | 23 | 45.40 | 0.507 | 13 | 28.24 | 0.460 |
| 58 | 27 | 43.95 | 0.614 | 20 | 27.95 | 0.716 |
| 59 | 19 | 41.40 | 0.459 | 21 | 23.80 | 0.882 |
| 60 | 27 | 34.10 | 0.792 | 24 | 23.50 | 1.021 |
| 61 | 30 | 57.00 | 0.526 | 20 | 44.20 | 0.452 |
| 62 | 92 | 57.20 | 1.608 | 76 | 43.00 | 1.767 |
| 63 | 24 | 45.00 | 0.533 | 38 | 29.40 | 1.293 |
| 64 | 23 | 38.50 | 0.597 | 28 | 29.25 | 0.957 |
| 65 | 29 | 29.25 | 0.991 | 18 | 27.25 | 0.661 |
| 66 | 19 | 20.10 | 0.945 | 17 | 19.40 | 0.876 |
| 67 | 9 | 18.15 | 0.496 | 10 | 13.10 | 0.763 |
| 68 | 8 | 12.80 | 0.625 | 10 | 9.75 | 1.026 |
| 69 | 6 | 15.00 | 0.400 | 6 | 6.80 | 0.882 |
| 70 and over | 16 | 74.00 | 0.216 | 56 | 81.00 | 0.691 |
| Total | 477 | 620.88 | 0.768 | 459 | 465.37 | 0.986 |
| Grand Total Including Group C | 520 | 628.88 | 0.827 | 466 | 465.37 | 1.001 |

TABLE 5

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED ANNUAL SALARIES OF MEMBERS

GROUPS A, D and F

| Central <br> Age of <br> Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual Salaries |  |  | Annual Salaries |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected |
| 25 | 18,585,992 | 16,768,344 | 1.108 | 18,610,278 | 16,432,458 | 1.133 |
| 30 | 51,538,265 | 48,862,085 | 1.055 | 46,610,639 | 44,247,681 | 1.053 |
| 35 | 73,907,875 | 71,808,846 | 1.029 | 69,381,018 | 67,433,697 | 1.029 |
| 40 | 100,256,536 | 98,873,011 | 1.014 | 95,429,814 | 93,634,025 | 1.019 |
| 45 | 140,651,570 | 139,510,518 | 1.008 | 122,959,803 | 120,773,824 | 1.018 |
| 50 | 171,027,281 | 169,715,487 | 1.008 | 118,460,831 | 116,476,548 | 1.017 |
| 55 | 113,118,129 | 112,284,853 | 1.007 | 72,369,976 | 71,669,101 | 1.010 |
| 60 | 55,331,278 | 54,975,184 | 1.006 | 31,961,538 | 31,681,346 | 1.009 |
| 65 | 13,756,157 | 13,771,973 | 0.999 | 9,347,675 | 9,403,378 | 0.994 |
| Total | 738,173,083 | 726,570,301 | 1.016 | 585,131,572 | 571,752,058 | 1.023 |

TABLE 6

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED ANNUAL SALARIES OF MEMBERS

## GROUP C

| Central <br> Age of <br> Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual Salaries |  |  | Annual Salaries |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual To Expected |
| 25 | 4,854,286 | 3,918,349 | 1.239 | 611,186 | 529,024 | 1.155 |
| 30 | 16,696,806 | 15,991,378 | 1.044 | 1,271,656 | 1,232,775 | 1.032 |
| 35 | 15,697,198 | 15,441,371 | 1.017 | 1,007,660 | 909,054 | 1.108 |
| 40 | 17,677,111 | 17,484,517 | 1.011 | 822,025 | 814,364 | 1.009 |
| 45 | 15,714,537 | 15,582,032 | 1.009 | 538,483 | 524,949 | 1.026 |
| 50 | 7,106,910 | 7,079,049 | 1.004 | 178,800 | 167,309 | 1.069 |
| 55 | 810,709 | 782,955 | 1.035 | - | - | 0.000 |
| 60 | 87,248 | 90,548 | 0.964 | - | - | 0.000 |
| 65 | - | - | 0.000 | - | - | 0.000 |
| Total | 78,644,805 | 76,370,199 | 1.030 | 4,429,810 | 4,177,475 | 1.060 |

TABLE 7

## SUMMARY OF MORTALITY EXPERIENCE OF PENSIONERS

## SERVICE RETIREES

| Central <br> Age of <br> Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected |
| < 48 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.000 |
| 55 | 3 | 1.65 | 1.818 | 0 | 0.34 | 0.000 | 3 | 1.99 | 1.504 |
| 60 | 2 | 4.91 | 0.408 | 0 | 1.72 | 0.000 | 2 | 6.62 | 0.302 |
| 65 | 12 | 12.39 | 0.968 | 10 | 5.39 | 1.856 | 22 | 17.78 | 1.237 |
| 70 | 29 | 20.88 | 1.389 | 4 | 8.45 | 0.474 | 33 | 29.33 | 1.125 |
| 75 | 33 | 27.45 | 1.202 | 15 | 16.10 | 0.931 | 48 | 43.55 | 1.102 |
| 80 | 42 | 36.98 | 1.136 | 22 | 22.75 | 0.967 | 64 | 59.74 | 1.071 |
| 85 | 44 | 30.77 | 1.430 | 22 | 23.69 | 0.929 | 66 | 54.46 | 1.212 |
| 90 | 22 | 14.33 | 1.536 | 20 | 19.70 | 1.015 | 42 | 34.03 | 1.234 |
| $92+$ | 8 | 7.21 | 1.109 | 12 | 9.40 | 1.277 | 20 | 16.61 | 1.204 |
| Total | 195 | 156.92 | 1.243 | 105 | 107.62 | 0.976 | 300 | 264.54 | 1.134 |

TABLE 8

## SUMMARY OF MORTALITY EXPERIENCE OF PENSIONERS

## DISABILITY RETIREES

| Central <br> Age of <br> Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected |
| $<48$ | 1 | 2.98 | 0.336 | 4 | 1.41 | 2.833 | 5 | 4.39 | 1.140 |
| 50 | 4 | 3.50 | 1.143 | 1 | 0.70 | 1.421 | 5 | 4.20 | 1.189 |
| 55 | 1 | 3.70 | 0.270 | 4 | 2.07 | 1.935 | 5 | 5.77 | 0.867 |
| 60 | 3 | 4.20 | 0.714 | 1 | 2.28 | 0.439 | 4 | 6.48 | 0.618 |
| 65 | 3 | 3.03 | 0.992 | 3 | 2.79 | 1.074 | 6 | 5.82 | 1.031 |
| 70 | 4 | 3.80 | 1.053 | 2 | 1.63 | 1.230 | 6 | 5.43 | 1.106 |
| 75 | 4 | 2.71 | 1.474 | 0 | 2.27 | 0.000 | 4 | 4.98 | 0.803 |
| 80 | 3 | 1.96 | 1.531 | 1 | 2.92 | 0.342 | 4 | 4.88 | 0.819 |
| 85 | 1 | 1.05 | 0.957 | 0 | 2.90 | 0.000 | 1 | 3.95 | 0.253 |
| 90 | 1 | 0.29 | 3.451 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.76 | 1.319 |
| $92+$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| Total | 25 | 27.21 | 0.919 | 16 | 19.44 | 0.823 | 41 | 46.65 | 0.879 |

TABLE 9

## SUMMARY OF MORTALITY EXPERIENCE OF PENSIONERS

## DEPENDENTS OF DECEASED MEMBERS

| Central <br> Age of <br> Group | Men |  |  | Women |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual To <br> Expected |
| < 48 | 0 | 2.01 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.000 | 0 | 2.04 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.000 |
| 55 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.000 |
| 60 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.29 | 0.000 |
| 65 | 1 | 0.11 | 9.099 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.89 | 1.127 |
| 70 | 0 | 0.61 | 0.000 | 4 | 1.45 | 2.757 | 4 | 2.06 | 1.945 |
| 75 | 1 | 0.73 | 1.379 | 1 | 3.05 | 0.327 | 2 | 3.78 | 0.529 |
| 80 | 0 | 1.24 | 0.000 | 3 | 6.51 | 0.461 | 3 | 7.74 | 0.388 |
| 85 | 2 | 3.45 | 0.580 | 7 | 6.46 | 1.084 | 9 | 9.91 | 0.908 |
| 90 | 2 | 5.75 | 0.348 | 3 | 3.08 | 0.973 | 5 | 8.83 | 0.566 |
| $92+$ | 3 | 8.28 | 0.362 | 2 | 3.79 | 0.528 | 5 | 12.07 | 0.414 |
| Total | 9 | 22.30 | 0.404 | 20 | 25.56 | 0.782 | 29 | 47.86 | 0.606 |
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## APPENDIX II

## GROUPS A, D AND F

ACTIVE SERVICE TABLE

MALE EMPLOYEES

| RECOMMENDED ASSUMED RATES OF: |  |  | RECOMMENDED ASSUMED RATES OF: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Termination | Death | AGE | Termination | Death |
| 19 | 0.069 | 0.00033 | 46 | 0.028 | 0.00162 |
| 20 | 0.069 | 0.00035 | 47 | 0.027 | 0.00173 |
| 21 | 0.065 | 0.00036 | 48 | 0.026 | 0.00186 |
| 22 | 0.061 | 0.00037 | 49 | 0.025 | 0.00200 |
| 23 | 0.058 | 0.00037 | 50 | 0.024 | 0.00214 |
| 24 | 0.055 | 0.00038 | 51 | 0.023 | 0.00229 |
| 25 | 0.052 | 0.00038 | 52 | 0.022 | 0.00245 |
| 26 | 0.050 | 0.00038 | 53 | 0.021 | 0.00262 |
| 27 | 0.048 | 0.00038 | 54 | 0.020 | 0.00281 |
| 28 | 0.046 | 0.00039 | 55 | 0.020 | 0.00303 |
| 29 | 0.044 | 0.00041 | 56 | 0.020 | 0.00331 |
| 30 | 0.042 | 0.00044 | 57 | 0.019 | 0.00363 |
| 31 | 0.040 | 0.00050 | 58 | 0.019 | 0.00400 |
| 32 | 0.039 | 0.00056 | 59 | 0.019 | 0.00441 |
| 33 | 0.038 | 0.00063 | 60 | 0.019 | 0.00488 |
| 34 | 0.036 | 0.00070 | 61 | 0.019 | 0.00538 |
| 35 | 0.035 | 0.00077 | 62 | 0.019 | 0.00592 |
| 36 | 0.034 | 0.00084 | 63 | 0.019 | 0.00647 |
| 37 | 0.034 | 0.00090 | 64 | 0.019 | 0.00703 |
| 38 | 0.033 | 0.00096 | 65 | 0.019 | 0.00757 |
| 39 | 0.033 | 0.00102 | 66 | 0.019 | 0.00810 |
| 40 | 0.032 | 0.00108 | 67 | 0.019 | 0.00860 |
| 41 | 0.032 | 0.00114 | 68 | 0.019 | 0.00907 |
| 42 | 0.031 | 0.00122 | 69 | 0.019 | 0.00951 |
| 43 | 0.031 | 0.00130 | 70 | 0.019 | 0.00992 |
| 44 | 0.029 | 0.00140 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 0.029 | 0.00151 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX II

GROUPS A, D AND F
ACTIVE SERVICE TABLE

FEMALE EMPLOYEES

| RECOMMENDED ASSUMED RATES OF: |  |  | RECOMMENDED ASSUMED RATES OF: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Termination | Death | AGE | Termination | Death |
| 19 | 0.069 | 0.00019 | 46 | 0.028 | 0.00122 |
| 20 | 0.069 | 0.00019 | 47 | 0.027 | 0.00133 |
| 21 | 0.065 | 0.00019 | 48 | 0.026 | 0.00143 |
| 22 | 0.061 | 0.00019 | 49 | 0.025 | 0.00155 |
| 23 | 0.058 | 0.00020 | 50 | 0.024 | 0.00168 |
| 24 | 0.055 | 0.00020 | 51 | 0.023 | 0.00181 |
| 25 | 0.052 | 0.00021 | 52 | 0.022 | 0.00197 |
| 26 | 0.050 | 0.00021 | 53 | 0.021 | 0.00213 |
| 27 | 0.048 | 0.00022 | 54 | 0.020 | 0.00232 |
| 28 | 0.046 | 0.00023 | 55 | 0.020 | 0.00253 |
| 29 | 0.044 | 0.00025 | 56 | 0.020 | 0.00276 |
| 30 | 0.042 | 0.00026 | 57 | 0.019 | 0.00301 |
| 31 | 0.040 | 0.00031 | 58 | 0.019 | 0.00329 |
| 32 | 0.039 | 0.00035 | 59 | 0.019 | 0.00360 |
| 33 | 0.038 | 0.00039 | 60 | 0.019 | 0.00393 |
| 34 | 0.036 | 0.00043 | 61 | 0.019 | 0.00428 |
| 35 | 0.035 | 0.00048 | 62 | 0.019 | 0.00466 |
| 36 | 0.034 | 0.00051 | 63 | 0.019 | 0.00504 |
| 37 | 0.034 | 0.00055 | 64 | 0.019 | 0.00543 |
| 38 | 0.033 | 0.00060 | 65 | 0.019 | 0.00582 |
| 39 | 0.033 | 0.00065 | 66 | 0.019 | 0.00621 |
| 40 | 0.032 | 0.00071 | 67 | 0.019 | 0.00658 |
| 41 | 0.032 | 0.00077 | 68 | 0.019 | 0.00695 |
| 42 | 0.031 | 0.00085 | 69 | 0.019 | 0.00729 |
| 43 | 0.031 | 0.00094 | 70 | 0.019 | 0.00761 |
| 44 | 0.029 | 0.00103 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 0.029 | 0.00112 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX II

## GROUP C

## ACTIVE SERVICE TABLE

MALE EMPLOYEES

| RECOMMENDED <br> RATES OF: |  | RECOMMENDED <br> RATES OF: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGE | Death | AGE | Death |
|  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.00033 | 46 | 0.00162 |
| 20 | 0.00035 | 47 | 0.00173 |
| 21 | 0.00036 | 48 | 0.00186 |
| 22 | 0.00037 | 49 | 0.00200 |
| 23 | 0.00037 | 50 | 0.00214 |
| 24 | 0.00038 | 51 | 0.00229 |
| 25 | 0.00038 | 52 | 0.00245 |
| 26 | 0.00038 | 53 | 0.00262 |
| 27 | 0.00038 | 54 | 0.00281 |
| 28 | 0.00039 | 55 | 0.00303 |
| 29 | 0.00041 | 56 | 0.00331 |
| 30 | 0.00044 | 57 | 0.00363 |
| 31 | 0.00050 | 58 | 0.00400 |
| 32 | 0.00056 | 59 | 0.00441 |
| 33 | 0.00063 | 60 | 0.00488 |
| 34 | 0.00070 | 61 | 0.00538 |
| 35 | 0.00077 | 62 | 0.00592 |
| 36 | 0.00084 | 63 | 0.00647 |
| 37 | 0.00090 | 64 | 0.00703 |
| 38 | 0.00096 | 65 | 0.00757 |
| 39 | 0.00102 | 66 | 0.00810 |
| 40 | 0.00108 | 67 | 0.00860 |
| 41 | 0.00114 | 68 | 0.00907 |
| 42 | 0.00122 | 69 | 0.00951 |
| 43 | 0.00130 | 70 | 0.00992 |
| 44 | 0.00140 |  |  |
| 45 | 0.00151 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX II

## GROUP C

## ACTIVE SERVICE TABLE

FEMALE EMPLOYEES

| RECOMMENDED ASSUMED RATES OF: |  | RECOMMENDED ASSUMED RATES OF: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Death | AGE | Death |
| 19 | 0.00019 | 46 | 0.00122 |
| 20 | 0.00019 | 47 | 0.00133 |
| 21 | 0.00019 | 48 | 0.00143 |
| 22 | 0.00019 | 49 | 0.00155 |
| 23 | 0.00020 | 50 | 0.00168 |
| 24 | 0.00020 | 51 | 0.00181 |
| 25 | 0.00021 | 52 | 0.00197 |
| 26 | 0.00021 | 53 | 0.00213 |
| 27 | 0.00022 | 54 | 0.00232 |
| 28 | 0.00023 | 55 | 0.00253 |
| 29 | 0.00025 | 56 | 0.00276 |
| 30 | 0.00026 | 57 | 0.00301 |
| 31 | 0.00031 | 58 | 0.00329 |
| 32 | 0.00035 | 59 | 0.00360 |
| 33 | 0.00039 | 60 | 0.00393 |
| 34 | 0.00043 | 61 | 0.00428 |
| 35 | 0.00048 | 62 | 0.00466 |
| 36 | 0.00051 | 63 | 0.00504 |
| 37 | 0.00055 | 64 | 0.00543 |
| 38 | 0.00060 | 65 | 0.00582 |
| 39 | 0.00065 | 66 | 0.00621 |
| 40 | 0.00071 | 67 | 0.00658 |
| 41 | 0.00077 | 68 | 0.00695 |
| 42 | 0.00085 | 69 | 0.00729 |
| 43 | 0.00094 | 70 | 0.00761 |
| 44 | 0.00103 |  |  |
| 45 | 0.00112 |  |  |

## APPENDIX III

## RECOMMENDED POST-RETIREMENT MORTALITY TABLES

POST RETIREMENT MORTALITY TABLES SERVICE PENSIONERS AND BENEFICIARIES

| AGE | MALES | FEMALES | AGE | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.00033 | 0.00019 | 70 | 0.02221 | 0.01674 |
| 20 | 0.00035 | 0.00019 | 71 | 0.02457 | 0.01858 |
| 21 | 0.00036 | 0.00019 | 72 | 0.02728 | 0.02067 |
| 22 | 0.00037 | 0.00019 | 73 | 0.03039 | 0.02297 |
| 23 | 0.00037 | 0.00020 | 74 | 0.03390 | 0.02546 |
| 24 | 0.00038 | 0.00020 | 75 | 0.03783 | 0.02811 |
| 25 | 0.00038 | 0.00021 | 76 | 0.04217 | 0.03097 |
| 26 | 0.00038 | 0.00021 | 77 | 0.04691 | 0.03411 |
| 27 | 0.00038 | 0.00022 | 78 | 0.05212 | 0.03760 |
| 28 | 0.00039 | 0.00024 | 79 | 0.05793 | 0.04151 |
| 29 | 0.00041 | 0.00025 | 80 | 0.06437 | 0.04588 |
| 30 | 0.00044 | 0.00026 | 81 | 0.07204 | 0.05078 |
| 31 | 0.00050 | 0.00031 | 82 | 0.08049 | 0.05629 |
| 32 | 0.00056 | 0.00035 | 83 | 0.08972 | 0.06251 |
| 33 | 0.00063 | 0.00039 | 84 | 0.09978 | 0.06952 |
| 34 | 0.00070 | 0.00044 | 85 | 0.11076 | 0.07745 |
| 35 | 0.00077 | 0.00048 | 86 | 0.12280 | 0.08638 |
| 36 | 0.00084 | 0.00051 | 87 | 0.13604 | 0.09634 |
| 37 | 0.00090 | 0.00055 | 88 | 0.15059 | 0.10730 |
| 38 | 0.00096 | 0.00060 | 89 | 0.16642 | 0.11915 |
| 39 | 0.00102 | 0.00065 | 90 | 0.18341 | 0.13168 |
| 40 | 0.00108 | 0.00071 | 91 | 0.19977 | 0.14460 |
| 41 | 0.00114 | 0.00077 | 92 | 0.21661 | 0.15762 |
| 42 | 0.00122 | 0.00085 | 93 | 0.23366 | 0.17043 |
| 43 | 0.00130 | 0.00094 | 94 | 0.25069 | 0.18280 |
| 44 | 0.00140 | 0.00103 | 95 | 0.26749 | 0.19451 |
| 45 | 0.00151 | 0.00112 | 96 | 0.28391 | 0.20538 |
| 46 | 0.00162 | 0.00122 | 97 | 0.29985 | 0.21524 |
| 47 | 0.00173 | 0.00133 | 98 | 0.31530 | 0.22395 |
| 48 | 0.00186 | 0.00143 | 99 | 0.33021 | 0.23139 |
| 49 | 0.00200 | 0.00155 | 100 | 0.34456 | 0.23747 |
| 50 | 0.00535 | 0.00234 | 101 | 0.35863 | 0.24483 |
| 51 | 0.00553 | 0.00246 | 102 | 0.37169 | 0.25450 |
| 52 | 0.00564 | 0.00265 | 103 | 0.38304 | 0.26644 |
| 53 | 0.00572 | 0.00290 | 104 | 0.39200 | 0.27906 |
| 54 | 0.00580 | 0.00319 | 105 | 0.39789 | 0.29312 |
| 55 | 0.00591 | 0.00353 | 106 | 0.40000 | 0.30781 |
| 56 | 0.00612 | 0.00393 | 107 | 0.40000 | 0.32273 |
| 57 | 0.00644 | 0.00439 | 108 | 0.40000 | 0.33744 |
| 58 | 0.00690 | 0.00492 | 109 | 0.40000 | 0.35154 |
| 59 | 0.00749 | 0.00553 | 110 | 0.40000 | 0.36462 |
| 60 | 0.00820 | 0.00620 | 111 | 0.40000 | 0.37625 |
| 61 | 0.00900 | 0.00692 | 112 | 0.40000 | 0.38602 |
| 62 | 0.00992 | 0.00769 | 113 | 0.40000 | 0.39351 |
| 63 | 0.01095 | 0.00851 | 114 | 0.40000 | 0.39831 |
| 64 | 0.01212 | 0.00940 | 115 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 65 | 0.01342 | 0.01036 | 116 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 66 | 0.01487 | 0.01141 | 117 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 67 | 0.01646 | 0.01254 | 118 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 68 | 0.01820 | 0.01377 | 119 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 69 | 0.02011 | 0.01515 | 120 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
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POST RETIREMENT MORTALITY TABLES
DISABILITY PENSIONERS

| AGE | MALES | FEMALES | AGE | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 71 | 0.06584 | 0.04014 |
| 22 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 72 | 0.06941 | 0.04285 |
| 23 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 73 | 0.07329 | 0.04577 |
| 24 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 74 | 0.07751 | 0.04890 |
| 25 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 75 | 0.08207 | 0.05223 |
| 26 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 76 | 0.08695 | 0.05578 |
| 27 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 77 | 0.09215 | 0.05955 |
| 28 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 78 | 0.09764 | 0.06355 |
| 29 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 79 | 0.10339 | 0.06779 |
| 30 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 80 | 0.10937 | 0.07231 |
| 31 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 81 | 0.11554 | 0.07714 |
| 32 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 82 | 0.12188 | 0.08230 |
| 33 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 83 | 0.12834 | 0.08784 |
| 34 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 84 | 0.13492 | 0.09379 |
| 35 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 85 | 0.14163 | 0.10020 |
| 36 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 86 | 0.14837 | 0.10710 |
| 37 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 87 | 0.15524 | 0.11451 |
| 38 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 88 | 0.16219 | 0.12246 |
| 39 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 89 | 0.16923 | 0.13097 |
| 40 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 90 | 0.18341 | 0.14005 |
| 41 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 91 | 0.19977 | 0.14970 |
| 42 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 92 | 0.21661 | 0.15992 |
| 43 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 93 | 0.23366 | 0.17043 |
| 44 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 94 | 0.25069 | 0.18280 |
| 45 | 0.02257 | 0.00745 | 95 | 0.26749 | 0.19451 |
| 46 | 0.02385 | 0.00818 | 96 | 0.28391 | 0.20538 |
| 47 | 0.02512 | 0.00896 | 97 | 0.29985 | 0.21524 |
| 48 | 0.02640 | 0.00978 | 98 | 0.31530 | 0.22395 |
| 49 | 0.02769 | 0.01063 | 99 | 0.33021 | 0.23139 |
| 50 | 0.02898 | 0.01154 | 100 | 0.34456 | 0.23747 |
| 51 | 0.03027 | 0.01248 | 101 | 0.35863 | 0.24483 |
| 52 | 0.03156 | 0.01346 | 102 | 0.37169 | 0.25450 |
| 53 | 0.03286 | 0.01447 | 103 | 0.38304 | 0.26604 |
| 54 | 0.03415 | 0.01550 | 104 | 0.39200 | 0.27906 |
| 55 | 0.03544 | 0.01654 | 105 | 0.39789 | 0.29312 |
| 56 | 0.03673 | 0.01760 | 106 | 0.40000 | 0.30781 |
| 57 | 0.03803 | 0.01865 | 107 | 0.40000 | 0.32273 |
| 58 | 0.03933 | 0.01971 | 108 | 0.40000 | 0.33744 |
| 59 | 0.04067 | 0.02077 | 109 | 0.40000 | 0.35154 |
| 60 | 0.04204 | 0.02184 | 110 | 0.40000 | 0.36462 |
| 61 | 0.04347 | 0.02294 | 111 | 0.40000 | 0.37625 |
| 62 | 0.04498 | 0.02408 | 112 | 0.40000 | 0.38602 |
| 63 | 0.04658 | 0.02529 | 113 | 0.40000 | 0.39351 |
| 64 | 0.04831 | 0.02660 | 114 | 0.40000 | 0.39831 |
| 65 | 0.05017 | 0.02803 | 115 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 66 | 0.05221 | 0.02959 | 116 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 67 | 0.05445 | 0.03133 | 117 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 68 | 0.05691 | 0.03323 | 118 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 69 | 0.05961 | 0.03534 | 119 | 0.40000 | 0.40000 |
| 70 | 0.06258 | 0.03764 | 120 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
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## APPENDIXIV <br> RESULTS FOR THE ACTUARIAL VALUATION <br> PREPARED AS OF JUNE 30, 2001 ON <br> CURRENT AND RECOMMENDED ASSUMPTIONS

| Item | Current <br> Asumptions |  | Recommended Assumptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Active and Inactive Members | \$ | 715,452,077 | \$ | 724,308,353 |
| Retired Members | \$ | 436,590,340 | \$ | 401,265,979 |
| Total | \$ | 1,152,042,417 | \$ | 1,125,574,332 |
| 2. Assets | \$ | 954,821,086 | \$ | 954,821,086 |
| 3. Present Value of Future Member Contributions | \$ | 93,222,480 | \$ | 97,959,224 |
| 4. Unfunded Accrued Liability | \$ | 72,171,779 | \$ | 24,947,310 |
| 5. Present Value of Future Normal Contributions |  |  |  |  |
| $=(1)-(2)-(3)-(4)$ | \$ | 31,827,072 | \$ | 47,846,712 |
| 6. Present Value of Future Salaries | \$ | 2,581,066,500 | \$ | 2,713,911,600 |
| 7. Normal Contribution Rate |  | 1.23\% |  | 1.76\% |
| 8. Annual Compensation | \$ | 278,506,824 | \$ | 278,506,824 |
| 9. Normal Contribution $=(7) \times(8)$ | \$ | 3,425,634 | \$ | 4,901,720 |
| 10. Accrued Liability Contribution |  | 5,867,477 | \$ | 2,168,491 |
| 11. Total Contribution $=(9)+(10)$ | \$ | 9,293,111 | \$ | 7,070,211 |
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