

VPIC - State Teachers

Investment Summary Quarter Ending September 30, 2012

One Main Street, Cambridge, MA 02142 | TEL: 617.374.1300 | FAX: 617.374.1313 | www.nepc.com CAMBRIDGE | ATLANTA | CHARLOTTE | CHICAGO | DETROIT | LAS VEGAS | SAN FRANCISCO






Total Fund Performance Summary

| | Market Value | 3 Mo | Rank | YTD | Rank | Fiscal YTD | Rank | 1 Yr | Rank | 3 Yrs | Rank | 5 Yrs | Rank |
|----------------------|-----------------|------|------|-------|------|---------------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| Teachers Composite | \$1,583,522,081 | 5.1% | 20 | 10.8% | 42 | 5.1% | 20 | 16.1% | 52 | 10.2% | 17 | 2.4% | 52 |
| Policy Index | | 4.5% | 60 | 9.0% | 90 | 4.5% | 60 | 13.9% | 84 | 7.9% | 89 | 1.9% | 72 |
| Allocation Index | | 4.4% | 64 | 8.8% | 92 | 4.4% | 64 | 13.8% | 84 | 7.9% | 89 | 2.1% | 71 |
| ICC Public DB Median | | 4.7% | | 10.5% | | 4.7% | | 16.3% | | 9.3% | | 2.5% | |

| 3 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------|------|--------------|------|------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | AnIzd Ret | Rank | AnIzd Std Dev | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | | | | |
| Teachers Composite | 10.18% | 17 | 8.77% | 38 | 1.15 | 24 | 1.75 | 23 | | | | |
| Policy Index | 7.94% | 89 | 8.67% | 34 | 0.91 | 74 | 1.49 | 70 | | | | |

| 5 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------|------|--------------|------|------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | AnIzd Ret | Rank | AnIzd Std Dev | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | | | | |
| Teachers Composite | 2.45% | 52 | 13.80% | 87 | 0.14 | 58 | 0.16 | 64 | | | | |
| Policy Index | 1.95% | 72 | 12.21% | 52 | 0.12 | 69 | 0.14 | 72 | | | | |









5 Years Ending September 30, 2012




104 Portfolios





10 Years Ending September 30, 2012







Policy

11.0%

6.5%

3.0%

18.0%

5.0%

3.0%

20.0%

5.0%

2.0%

Total Fund Asset Allocation vs. Policy Targets

21.1%

7.2%

7.5%

5.7%

3.5

4.8%

3.0%

20.7%

4.7%

| Current \$170,887,440 \$101,828,850 \$152,513,777 \$96,756,576 \$91,903,190 | Policy 11.0% 6.5% 10.0% 6.0% | Current 10.8% 6.4% 9.6% 6.1% | Difference* -0.2% -0.1% -0.4% |
|--|--|---|--|
| \$101,828,850 \$152,513,777 \$96,756,576 | 6.5% 10.0% 6.0% | 6.4% 9.6% | -0.1% -0.4% |
| \$152,513,777 \$96,756,576 | 10.0% 6.0% | 9.6% | -0.4% |
| \$96,756,576 | 6.0% | | |
| | | 6.1% | |
| \$91,903,190 | 6.00/ | Q /0 | 0.1% |
| | 6.0% | 5.8% | -0.2% |
| \$45,476,331 | 3.0% | 2.9% | -0.1% |
| \$285,423,354 | 18.0% | 18.0% | 0.0% |
| \$76,233,955 | 5.0% | 4.8% | -0.2% |
| \$47,440,049 | 3.0% | 3.0% | 0.0% |
| \$328,211,016 | 20.0% | 20.7% | 0.7% |
| \$74,684,636 | 5.0% | 4.7% | -0.3% |
| \$78,548,450 | 4.5% | 5.0% | 0.5% |
| \$33,136,454 | 2.0% | 2.1% | 0.1% |
| \$478,004 | | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| \$1,583,522,081 | 100.0% | 100.0% | |
| | \$328,211,016 \$74,684,636 \$78,548,450 \$33,136,454 \$478,004 \$1,583,522,081 | \$328,211,016 20.0% \$74,684,636 5.0% \$78,548,450 4.5% \$33,136,454 2.0% \$478,004 \$1,583,522,081 100.0% | \$328,211,016 20.0% 20.7% \$74,684,636 5.0% 4.7% \$78,548,450 4.5% 5.0% \$33,136,454 2.0% 2.1% \$478,004 0.0% |


`Actual' allocation graph represents look through to the GAA managers.

New Policy has been approved to include 3% Private Equity targets and will be reflected upon funding.



JYfa cbhGhUhY HYUWXYfg


Total Plan Performance Analysis





September 30, 2012

Total Fund Asset Allocation History




Asset Allocation History



September 30, 2012

Total Fund Asset Growth Summary



3 Years Ending September 30, 2012

Market Value

e 🛛 🗾 Net Cash Flow

| | Last Three Months | Year-To-Date | Fiscal Year-To-Date | One Year | Three Years |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Beginning Market Value | \$1,484,481,195 | \$1,458,453,863 | \$1,484,481,195 | \$1,424,568,111 | \$1,323,612,578 |
| - Withdrawals | -\$22,641,224 | -\$169,656,868 | -\$22,641,224 | -\$264,835,585 | -\$1,645,759,933 |
| + Contributions | \$44,178,147 | \$139,422,075 | \$44,178,147 | \$203,558,262 | \$1,487,947,634 |
| = Net Cash Flow | \$21,536,923 | -\$30,698,671 | \$21,536,923 | -\$61,741,201 | -\$158,276,177 |
| + Net Investment Change | \$77,503,962 | \$155,766,889 | \$77,503,962 | \$220,695,171 | \$418,185,680 |
| = Ending Market Value | \$1,583,522,081 | \$1,583,522,081 | \$1,583,522,081 | \$1,583,522,081 | \$1,583,522,081 |



Cash Flows 3 Months Ending September 30, 2012

| Month Ending | Beginning Market Value | Withdrawals | Contributions | Net Cash Flow | Net Investment Change | Ending Market Value |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Jul-12 | \$1,484,481,195.47 | -\$69,417.29 | \$44,177,832.60 | \$44,108,415.31 | \$25,429,392.10 | \$1,554,019,002.88 |
| Aug-12 | \$1,554,019,002.88 | -\$9,870,548.78 | \$0.00 | -\$9,870,548.78 | \$20,618,963.07 | \$1,564,767,417.17 |
| Sep-12 | \$1,564,767,417.17 | -\$12,701,257.68 | \$314.35 | -\$12,700,943.33 | \$31,455,607.09 | \$1,583,522,080.93 |



Total Fund Asset Growth Summary by Manager

| | Quarter Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Beginning Market Value | Withdrawals | Contributions | Net Cash Flow | Net Investment Change | Ending Market Value | | | | | |
| Aberdeen | \$89,658,771 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,097,805 | \$96,756,576 | | | | | |
| Acadian | \$56,988,582 | -\$2,500,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$3,500,000 | \$4,237,690 | \$64,726,272 | | | | | |
| Allianz Structured Alpha | \$44,549,948 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,052,282 | \$45,602,230 | | | | | |
| AQR Global Risk Premium | \$126,926,488 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,379,821 | \$137,306,309 | | | | | |
| Barclays TIPS | \$44,533,563 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$942,769 | \$45,476,331 | | | | | |
| Champlain | \$29,275,604 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,088,917 | \$30,364,521 | | | | | |
| GAM | \$14,672,212 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$452,623 | \$15,124,834 | | | | | |
| Grosvenor | \$28,849,698 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$764,817 | \$29,614,515 | | | | | |
| KDP | \$15,275,784 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$591,805 | \$15,867,589 | | | | | |
| Mellon Global Expanded Alpha | \$29,367,533 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,161,764 | \$31,529,297 | | | | | |
| Mondrian | \$58,594,098 | -\$8,000,000 | \$5,000,000 | -\$3,000,000 | \$3,779,169 | \$59,373,267 | | | | | |
| Mondrian GFI | \$45,914,989 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,525,060 | \$47,440,049 | | | | | |
| Morgan Stanley Prime Property | \$32,226,633 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,022,711 | \$33,249,345 | | | | | |
| Permal | \$28,880,282 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,065,005 | \$29,945,287 | | | | | |
| PIMCO All Asset | \$75,818,825 | \$0 | \$16,000,000 | \$16,000,000 | \$5,520,507 | \$97,339,332 | | | | | |
| PIMCO Core Plus | \$97,093,783 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,256,722 | \$99,350,506 | | | | | |
| PIMCO Unconstrained | \$95,343,971 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,084,456 | \$96,428,428 | | | | | |
| Post Advisory | \$67,034,762 | \$0 | \$6,000,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$3,000,839 | \$76,035,601 | | | | | |
| RREEF America REIT III | \$13,094,386 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$996,899 | \$14,091,285 | | | | | |
| Schroder | \$30,009,108 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,127,346 | \$33,136,454 | | | | | |
| SSgA ACWI Ex US | \$26,454,175 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,960,063 | \$28,414,238 | | | | | |
| SSgA Russell 2000 Growth | \$29,433,538 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,419,998 | \$30,853,537 | | | | | |
| SSgA S&P 400 | \$7,907,158 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$430,488 | \$8,337,646 | | | | | |
| SSgA S&P 500 Cap-Wgt | \$66,189,250 | -\$4,500,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$6,500,000 | \$4,749,153 | \$77,438,403 | | | | | |
| SSgA S&P 500 Equal-Wgt | \$43,792,337 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,551,620 | \$46,343,957 | | | | | |



Total Fund Asset Growth Summary by Manager

| | Quarter Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Beginning Market Value | Withdrawals | Contributions | Net Cash Flow | Net Investment Change | Ending Market Value | | | | | | | |
| T. Rowe | \$44,179,072 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,926,007 | \$47,105,079 | | | | | | | |
| Transwestern / Aslan III | \$353,670 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$353,670 | | | | | | | |
| UBS Trumbull | \$30,094,871 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$759,279 | \$30,854,149 | | | | | | | |
| Vermont Cash | \$599,780 | -\$300,000 | \$178,147 | -\$121,853 | \$77 | \$478,004 | | | | | | | |
| Wellington | \$30,870,336 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,402,810 | \$32,273,147 | | | | | | | |
| Wellington DAS | \$43,742,527 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$299,664 | \$44,042,190 | | | | | | | |
| Wellington OIF | \$58,871,817 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,164,261 | \$62,036,078 | | | | | | | |
| Wellington Opportunistic EMD | \$77,883,643 | -\$7,000,000 | \$0 | -\$7,000,000 | \$5,350,312 | \$76,233,955 | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$1,484,481,195 | -\$22,300,000 | \$44,178,147 | \$21,878,147 | \$77,162,739 | \$1,583,522,081 | | | | | | | |



| | Market Value (\$) | % of Portfolio | 2012 Q3 (%) | Rank | YTD (%) | Rank | 1 Yr (%) | Rank | 3 Yrs (%) | Rank | 5 Yrs (%) | Rank |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------------|------|-------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| Teachers Composite | 1,583,522,081 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 20 | 10.8 | 42 | 16.1 | 52 | 10.2 | 17 | 2.4 | 52 |
| Policy Index | | | 4.5 | 60 | 9.0 | 90 | 13.9 | 84 | 7.9 | 89 | 1.9 | 72 |
| Allocation Index | | | 4.4 | 64 | 8.8 | 92 | 13.8 | 84 | 7.9 | 89 | 2.1 | 71 |
| ICC Public DB Median | | | 4.7 | | 10.5 | | 16.3 | | 9.3 | | 2.5 | |
| Large Cap Comp | 170,887,440 | 10.8 | 6.3 | 50 | 15.9 | 42 | 29.9 | 36 | 14.0 | 26 | 2.1 | 43 |
| S&P 500 | | | 6.4 | 47 | 16.4 | 36 | 30.2 | 33 | 13.2 | 37 | 1.1 | 63 |
| eA US Large Cap Equity Gross Median | | | 6.2 | | 15.1 | | 28.3 | | 12.3 | | 1.7 | |
| T. Rowe | 47,105,079 | 3.0 | 6.6 | 39 | 17.1 | 28 | 30.7 | 28 | 13.0 | 40 | 1.8 | 48 |
| SSgA S&P 500 Cap-Wgt | 77,438,403 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 47 | 16.5 | 35 | 30.2 | 32 | | | | |
| S&P 500 | | | 6.4 | 47 | 16.4 | 36 | 30.2 | 33 | 13.2 | 37 | 1.1 | 63 |
| SSgA S&P 500 Equal-Wgt | 46,343,957 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 63 | 14.0 | 63 | 28.6 | 48 | 14.1 | 25 | 2.8 | 30 |
| S&P 500 Equal Weighted | | | 5.9 | 61 | 14.4 | 58 | 28.7 | 48 | 14.0 | 25 | 3.2 | 24 |
| Small/Mid Cap Comp | 101,828,850 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 71 | 12.9 | 54 | 29.6 | 47 | 15.1 | 39 | 4.2 | 39 |
| Russell 2000 | | | 5.3 | 53 | 14.2 | 39 | 31.9 | 27 | 13.0 | 69 | 2.2 | 67 |
| eA US Small-Mid Cap Equity Gross Median | | | 5.4 | | 13.1 | | 29.2 | | 14.3 | | 3.2 | |
| SSgA S&P 400 | 8,337,646 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 39 | 13.9 | 47 | 28.7 | 37 | | | | |
| S&P 400 MidCap | | | 5.4 | 39 | 13.8 | 47 | 28.5 | 38 | 14.3 | 42 | 3.8 | 35 |
| eA US Mid Cap Equity Gross Median | | | 5.1 | | 13.4 | | 27.0 | | 13.8 | | 3.0 | |
| Champlain | 30,364,521 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 83 | 11.6 | 71 | 24.9 | 70 | 14.8 | 35 | | |
| Russell 2500 | | | 5.6 | 38 | 14.3 | 41 | 30.9 | 21 | 14.1 | 44 | 2.8 | 53 |
| eA US Mid Cap Equity Gross Median | | | 5.1 | | 13.4 | | 27.0 | | 13.8 | | 3.0 | |
| Wellington | 32,273,147 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 69 | 12.4 | 56 | 32.6 | 38 | 15.8 | 16 | 6.1 | 14 |
| Russell 2000 Value | | | 5.7 | 47 | 14.4 | 35 | 32.6 | 38 | 11.7 | 77 | 1.3 | 86 |
| eA US Small Cap Value Equity Gross Median | | | 5.4 | | 12.8 | | 30.8 | | 13.3 | | 3.5 | |
| SSgA Russell 2000 Growth | 30,853,537 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 66 | 14.2 | 58 | 31.2 | 51 | 14.3 | 69 | 3.0 | 53 |
| Russell 2000 Growth | | | 4.8 | 65 | 14.1 | 59 | 31.2 | 51 | 14.2 | 70 | 3.0 | 54 |
| eA US Small Cap Growth Equity Gross Median | | | 5.7 | | 14.6 | | 31.3 | | 15.9 | | 3.2 | |



| | Market Value (\$) | % of Portfolio | 2012 Q3 (%) | Rank | YTD (%) | Rank | 1 Yr (%) | Rank | 3 Yrs (%) | Rank | 5 Yrs (%) | Rank |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------------|------|-------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| Int'I Equity Comp | 152,513,777 | 9.6 | 6.7 | 77 | 8.9 | 89 | 12.3 | 91 | 3.2 | 75 | -4.9 | 75 |
| MSCI EAFE | | | 6.9 | 70 | 10.1 | 78 | 13.8 | 80 | 2.1 | 88 | -5.2 | 80 |
| eA All EAFE Equity Gross Median | | | 7.6 | | 12.6 | | 16.9 | | 4.9 | | -3.6 | |
| Acadian | 64,726,272 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 63 | 11.2 | 68 | 13.7 | 80 | 3.6 | 69 | -7.0 | 95 |
| Mondrian | 59,373,267 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 86 | 6.1 | 96 | 10.0 | 94 | 3.0 | 77 | -3.6 | 51 |
| MSCI EAFE | | | 6.9 | 70 | 10.1 | 78 | 13.8 | 80 | 2.1 | 88 | -5.2 | 80 |
| SSgA ACWI Ex US | 28,414,238 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 49 | 10.6 | 78 | 14.7 | 84 | | | | |
| MSCI ACWI ex USA | | | 7.4 | 49 | 10.4 | 79 | 14.5 | 86 | 3.2 | 86 | -4.1 | 82 |
| eA ACWI ex-US All Cap Equity Gross Median | | | 7.4 | | 12.6 | | 18.3 | | 6.5 | | -2.6 | |
| Emerging Market Comp | 96,756,576 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 41 | | | | | | | | |
| Aberdeen | 96,756,576 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 41 | 18.6 | 7 | 24.7 | 4 | 13.9 | 4 | | |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | | | 7.7 | 45 | 12.0 | 63 | 16.9 | 62 | 5.6 | 64 | -1.3 | 61 |
| eA Emg Mkts Equity Gross Median | | | 7.7 | | 13.0 | | 18.1 | | 6.7 | | -0.6 | |



| | Market Value (\$) | % of Portfolio | 2012 Q3 (%) | Rank | YTD (%) | Rank | 1 Yr (%) | Rank | 3 Yrs (%) | Rank | 5 Yrs (%) | Rank |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------------|------|-------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| Diversified Fixed | 285,423,354 | 18.0 | 1.7 | 67 | 6.3 | 41 | 9.3 | 34 | 8.5 | 35 | 5.8 | 72 |
| Barclays Aggregate | | | 1.6 | 70 | 4.0 | 70 | 5.2 | 71 | 6.2 | 62 | 6.5 | 59 |
| eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Median | | | 2.1 | | 5.5 | | 7.0 | | 7.1 | | 6.9 | |
| Allianz Structured Alpha | 45,602,230 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 33 | 10.3 | 1 | 23.1 | 1 | 11.5 | 2 | | |
| Citigroup 10 Year Treasury | | | 0.9 | 99 | 4.4 | 81 | 5.6 | 82 | 8.4 | 13 | 8.5 | 6 |
| PIMCO Core Plus | 99,350,506 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 35 | 6.8 | 14 | 8.4 | 14 | | | | |
| Barclays Aggregate | | | 1.6 | 87 | 4.0 | 90 | 5.2 | 89 | 6.2 | 83 | 6.5 | 83 |
| PIMCO Unconstrained | 96,428,428 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 96 | 3.9 | 91 | 5.3 | 86 | | | | |
| 25% HY, 25% EMD, 50% BC Agg | | | 3.6 | 3 | 8.7 | 3 | 12.4 | 1 | 9.4 | 4 | 8.4 | 9 |
| 3-Month Libor Total Return USD | | | 0.1 | 99 | 0.4 | 99 | 0.5 | 99 | 0.4 | 99 | 1.2 | 99 |
| Wellington DAS | 44,042,190 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 99 | 6.3 | 25 | 7.5 | 33 | 10.6 | 2 | | |
| Wellington Custom Benchmark | | | 0.3 | 99 | 4.1 | 87 | 6.0 | 74 | 11.8 | 2 | 9.9 | 1 |
| High Yield Comp | 91,903,190 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 67 | 11.4 | 60 | 17.5 | 70 | 12.0 | 68 | 8.9 | 46 |
| Barclays High Yield | | | 4.5 | 41 | 12.1 | 42 | 19.4 | 30 | 12.9 | 40 | 9.3 | 34 |
| eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross Median | | | 4.4 | | 11.8 | | 18.5 | | 12.6 | | 8.7 | |
| Post Advisory | 76,035,601 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 61 | 11.7 | 53 | 17.5 | 70 | 12.2 | 62 | 9.1 | 42 |
| KDP | 15,867,589 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 81 | 9.9 | 83 | 17.1 | 71 | 10.3 | 92 | | |
| Barclays High Yield | | | 4.5 | 41 | 12.1 | 42 | 19.4 | 30 | 12.9 | 40 | 9.3 | 34 |
| BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield BB-B Rated | | | 4.5 | 48 | 11.3 | 63 | 17.8 | 66 | 12.0 | 67 | 8.3 | 62 |
| Global Fixed Income Comp | 47,440,049 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 72 | 6.8 | 53 | 7.2 | 59 | 8.3 | 31 | 8.9 | 16 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | | 3.0 | 79 | 3.4 | 91 | 3.3 | 92 | 4.3 | 91 | 6.5 | 63 |
| eA All Global Fixed Inc Gross Median | | | 3.9 | | 7.2 | | 8.0 | | 6.9 | | 6.9 | |
| Mondrian GFI | 47,440,049 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 72 | 5.1 | 75 | 4.9 | 81 | 6.3 | 61 | 8.6 | 21 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | | 3.0 | 79 | 3.4 | 91 | 3.3 | 92 | 4.3 | 91 | 6.5 | 63 |
| Emerging Market Debt | 76,233,955 | 4.8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wellington Opportunistic EMD | 76,233,955 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 32 | 15.7 | 34 | 21.0 | 35 | | | | |
| JP Morgan EMBI Global TR | | | 6.8 | 39 | 14.7 | 45 | 20.6 | 36 | 12.3 | 48 | 10.3 | 46 |
| eA All Emg Mkts Fixed Inc Gross Median | | | 6.5 | | 14.5 | | 19.1 | | 12.2 | | 10.2 | |
| TIPS | 45,476,331 | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barclays TIPS | 45,476,331 | 2.9 | 2.1 | | 6.2 | | 9.0 | | | | | |
| Barclays US TIPS | | | 2.1 | | 6.2 | | 9.1 | | 9.3 | | 7.9 | |

| | Market Value (\$) | % of Portfolio | 2012 Q3 (%) | Rank | YTD (%) | Rank | 1 Yr (%) | Rank | 3 Yrs (%) | Rank | 5 Yrs (%) | Rank |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------------|------|-------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| Total Real Estate | 78,548,450 | 5.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morgan Stanley Prime Property | 33,249,345 | 2.1 | 3.5 | | 9.3 | | 13.0 | | 13.0 | | -0.9 | |
| UBS Trumbull | 30,854,149 | 1.9 | 2.5 | | 8.0 | | 10.5 | | 11.5 | | 0.9 | |
| RREEF America REIT III | 14,091,285 | 0.9 | 7.8 | | 21.4 | | 23.0 | | 21.1 | | -15.5 | |
| Transwestern / Aslan III | 353,670 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 1.3 | | -8.3 | | -22.7 | | -45.8 | |
| NCREIF Property Index | | | 2.3 | | 7.8 | | 11.0 | | 10.9 | | 2.3 | |
| NCREIF ODCE | | | 2.8 | | 8.4 | | 11.6 | | 12.2 | | -1.1 | |
| Hedge Fund Comp | 74,684,636 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 22 | 6.5 | 19 | 6.8 | 26 | | | | |
| eA\HFN FOF - Multi-Strategy Net Median | | | 2.3 | | 4.3 | | 4.5 | | 2.9 | | 0.4 | |
| Grosvenor | 29,614,515 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 38 | 5.8 | 27 | 6.3 | 30 | | | | |
| GAM | 15,124,834 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 23 | 5.9 | 26 | 6.5 | 29 | | | | |
| Permal | 29,945,287 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 13 | 7.4 | 9 | 7.4 | 21 | | | | |
| HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index | | | 2.4 | 47 | 3.4 | 63 | 2.9 | 60 | 1.5 | 69 | -1.6 | 80 |
| GAA Comp | 328,211,016 | 20.7 | 7.2 | 6 | 12.7 | 8 | 17.7 | 21 | 11.6 | 13 | 5.0 | 36 |
| eA Global TAA Gross Median | | | 4.7 | | 8.8 | | 12.6 | | 7.8 | | 4.0 | |
| Mellon Global Expanded Alpha | 31,529,297 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 6 | 10.6 | 25 | 17.5 | 22 | 9.8 | 24 | 1.0 | 85 |
| Mellon CF GEA Index | | | 5.7 | 26 | 8.2 | 58 | 11.5 | 55 | 5.0 | 81 | 1.0 | 85 |
| AQR Global Risk Premium | 137,306,309 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 1 | 13.8 | 1 | 19.2 | 13 | 16.6 | 2 | | |
| 60% MSCI World / 40% CITI WGBI | | | 5.3 | 35 | 9.6 | 38 | 14.7 | 38 | 6.9 | 64 | 2.1 | 76 |
| PIMCO All Asset | 97,339,332 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 9 | 13.0 | 3 | 18.2 | 20 | 11.1 | 15 | 7.6 | 8 |
| PIMCO All Asset Index | | | 2.9 | 83 | 7.2 | 64 | 10.8 | 67 | 8.5 | 33 | 6.9 | 15 |
| CPI + 5% | | | 2.5 | 84 | 5.7 | 75 | 7.1 | 78 | 7.4 | 54 | 7.2 | 13 |
| Wellington OIF | 62,036,078 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 33 | 11.2 | 23 | 14.0 | 43 | 6.4 | 74 | | |
| 65% MSCI ACWI (Net) / 35% BC Agg | | | 5.0 | 40 | 9.9 | 35 | 15.6 | 32 | 7.3 | 56 | 1.4 | 83 |
| Commodities | 33,136,454 | 2.1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Schroder | 33,136,454 | 2.1 | 10.4 | | 6.7 | | 8.1 | | | | | |
| Dow Jones-UBS Commodity Index TR | | | 9.7 | | 5.6 | | 6.0 | | 5.3 | | -3.0 | |
| Cash | 478,004 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vermont Cash | 478,004 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.2 | | 1.0 | |
| 91 Day T-Bills | | | 0.0 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.5 | |



Vermont State Teachers Total Fund Return Summary

30.0 25.0 — 20.5 20.0 18.5 18.4 17.9 16.1 14.0 13.9 13.8 15.0 11.3 10.2 8.6 7.9 8.3 10.0 8.2 7.8 7.9 7.9 7.9 5.1 4.5 4.4 Rate of Return % 5.0 2.4 1.9 2.1 2.2 0.9 0.6 0.0 -5.0 -10.0 -15.0 — -15.8 -16.2 -20.0 -19.8 -25.0 -30.0 Quarter 1 Year 3 Years 5 Years 10 Years Fiscal 2012 Fiscal 2011 Fiscal 2010 Fiscal 2009 Inception 3/31/92


Return Summary Gross

Teachers Composite Policy Index Allocation Index



September 30, 2012


Total Fund Return Summary



Return Summary Gross




Total Fund Return Summary vs. Peer Universe



Teachers Composite vs. ICC Public DB

| | Return (Rank) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------------|
| 5th Percentile | 5.6 | 4.0 | 12.4 | 21.0 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 5.3 |
| 25th Percentile | 5.1 | 3.3 | 11.4 | 18.2 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 8.1 | 3.4 |
| Median | 4.7 | 2.8 | 10.5 | 16.3 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 2.5 |
| 75th Percentile | 4.1 | 2.2 | 9.8 | 14.4 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 1.7 |
| 95th Percentile | 3.4 | 1.5 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 0.5 |
| # of Portfolios | 116 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 111 | 109 | 107 | 104 |
| Teachers Composite | 5.1 | (20) 4.3 | (3) 10.8 | (42) 16.1 | (52) 8.8 | (50) 10.2 | (17) 7.9 | (33) 2.4 (52) |
| Policy Index | 4.5 | (60) 3.1 | (40) 9.0 | (90) 13.9 | (84) 7.1 | (90) 7.9 | (89) 6.0 | (85) 1.9 (72) |


Total Fund Return Summary vs. Peer Universe



Teachers Composite vs. IF Public DB > \$1B Gross

| | Return (Rank) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| 5th Percentile | 5.6 | 4.2 | 11.8 | 19.0 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 8.5 | 3.6 |
| 25th Percentile | 5.1 | 3.5 | 11.2 | 17.6 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 3.0 |
| Median | 4.8 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 15.9 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 2.4 |
| 75th Percentile | 4.3 | 2.4 | 9.7 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 1.6 |
| 95th Percentile | 3.2 | 1.7 | 8.1 | 10.7 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| # of Portfolios | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
| Teachers Composite | 5.1 (17) | 4.3 (1 |) 10.8 (42) | 16.1 (42) | 8.8 (45) | 10.2 (21) | 7.9 (33) | 2.4 (49) |
| Policy Index | 4.5 (66) | 3.1 (47 |) 9.0 (89) | 13.9 (80) | 7.1 (95) | 7.9 (92) | 6.0 (86) | 1.9 (66) |

Total Fund Return Summary vs. Peer Universe




Teachers Composite vs. ICC Public DB

| | Return (Rank) | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 5th Percentile | 6.0 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 9.1 |
| 25th Percentile | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 8.3 |
| Median | 4.5 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.6 |
| 75th Percentile | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 7.3 |
| 95th Percentile | 3.1 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.2 |
| # of Portfolios | 103 | 102 | 99 | 97 | 94 |
| Teachers Composite | 4.4 (61) | 5.3 (47) | 6.2 (45) | 6.9 (38) | 8.2 (30) |
| Policy Index | 3.9 (75) | 4.8 (73) | 5.8 (73) | 6.6 (59) | 7.8 (45) |




Total Fund Return Summary vs. Peer Universe



Teachers Composite vs. IF Public DB > \$1B Gross

| | Return (Rank) | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 5th Percentile | 5.3 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 8.9 |
| 25th Percentile | 4.8 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 8.4 |
| Median | 4.5 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| 75th Percentile | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 7.4 |
| 95th Percentile | 2.6 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.8 |
| # of Portfolios | 34 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Teachers Composite | 4.4 (61) | 5.3 (49) | 6.2 (60) | 6.9 (63) | 8.2 (54) |
| Policy Index | 3.9 (79) | 4.8 (74) | 5.8 (78) | 6.6 (73) | 7.8 (70) |


Total Fund Return Summary vs. Peer Universe



Teachers Composite vs. ICC Public DB

| | Return (Rank) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| 5th Percentile | 3.9 | 15.6 | 27.5 | -10.0 | 11.0 | 16.1 | 10.5 | 14.6 | 27.2 | -1.0 | |
| 25th Percentile | 2.3 | 14.2 | 22.3 | -22.6 | 9.6 | 14.8 | 8.4 | 12.4 | 23.6 | -6.9 | |
| Median | 1.1 | 13.2 | 19.4 | -25.1 | 8.1 | 13.6 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 21.7 | -9.0 | |
| 75th Percentile | 0.2 | 12.1 | 15.8 | -27.4 | 6.8 | 12.2 | 6.2 | 10.1 | 19.8 | -10.3 | |
| 95th Percentile | -2.0 | 9.8 | 10.1 | -30.3 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 8.0 | 10.7 | -11.9 | |
| # of Portfolios | 146 | 144 | 144 | 141 | 140 | 139 | 137 | 133 | 132 | 129 | |
| Teachers Composite | 2.1 (32) | 14.7 | (17) 26.5 | (8) -30.1 | (94) 6.3 | (83) 15.7 | (8) 5.6 | (84) 12.4 | (22) 23.8 | (23) -7.5 | (33) |
| Policy Index | 0.6 (70) | 11.7 | (82) 19.3 | (51) -24.3 | (46) 7.6 | (56) 14.4 | (34) 6.6 | (70) 12.2 | (29) 23.3 | (29) -9.0 | (52) |

Vermont State Teachers Total Fund Return Summary vs. Peer Universe




Teachers Composite vs. IF Public DB > \$1B Gross


| | Return (Rank) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| 5th Percentile | 3.3 | 16.4 | 24.9 | -23.0 | 10.8 | 15.6 | 9.5 | 14.1 | 26.8 | -5.9 |
| 25th Percentile | 1.1 | 14.8 | 21.3 | -25.7 | 9.4 | 14.7 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 24.7 | -7.6 |
| Median | 0.4 | 13.0 | 20.4 | -26.4 | 8.3 | 14.3 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 22.3 | -9.4 |
| 75th Percentile | 0.1 | 12.5 | 18.6 | -28.2 | 7.8 | 13.1 | 8.2 | 11.0 | 21.8 | -11.0 |
| 95th Percentile | -0.7 | 8.7 | 10.9 | -29.4 | 6.8 | 12.5 | 6.8 | 10.4 | 20.3 | -11.7 |
| # of Portfolios | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Teachers Composite | 2.1 (15) | 14.7 (27) | 26.5 (1 |) -30.1 (99 | 9) 6.3 (99) | 15.7 (3) | 5.6 (99) | 12.4 (36) | 23.8 (39) |) -7.5 (24) |
| Policy Index | 0.6 (35) | 11.7 (91) | 19.3 (65 |) -24.3 (14 |) 7.6 (79) | 14.4 (44) | 6.6 (99) | 12.2 (44) | 23.3 (43) |) -9.0 (38) |

NEPC, LLC


Total Fund Risk Statistics vs. Peer Universe



Total Fund Risk Statistics vs. Peer Universe



Total Fund Risk Statistics vs. Peer Universe




Total Fund Risk Statistics vs. Peer Universe

Teachers Composite vs. IF Public DB > \$1B Gross 5 Years

| 9.0 10.0 11.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 | | 3.3 2.8 2.3 1.8 1.3 0.8 0.3 -0.2 -0.7 -1.2 -1.7 -2.2 -2.7 | | 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 | | 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 | | 0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 11.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 • Teachers Comp | | 2.3 1.8 1.3 0.8 0.3 -0.2 -0.7 -1.2 -1.7 -2.2 | | 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 | | 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1 | | 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 | |
| 11.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 • Teachers Comp | | 1.8 1.3 0.8 0.3 -0.2 -0.7 -1.2 -1.7 -2.2 | د | 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 | | 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1 | | 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 | |
| 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 • Teachers Comp | | 1.3 0.8 0.3 -0.2 -0.7 -1.2 -1.7 -2.2 | بالبالي المراجع المراجع بالمراجع المراجع المراجع بالمراجع المراجع | 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 | | 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 | |
| 13.0 14.0 15.0 • Teachers Com | | - 0.3 -0.2 -0.7 -1.2 -1.7 -2.2 | ب ا ب ا ب ا ب ا ب ا ب ا ب ا ب ا ب ا ب ا | 0.1 | | 0.2 | | 4.0 5.0 6.0 | |
| 13.0 14.0 15.0 • Teachers Com | | -0.2 -0.7 -1.2 -1.7 -2.2 | | 0.0 | | 0.1 | | 5.0 | |
| 14.0 15.0 • Teachers Comp | | -0.7 -1.2 -1.7 -2.2 | | -0.1 | | -0.1 | | 6.0 | |
| • Teachers Com | | -1.7 | | -0.2 | | -0.1 | | 6.0 | |
| • Teachers Com | | -2.2 | | | | | | | |
| Teachers Comp | | | | -0.3 | | -0.2 | | 7.0 | |
| Teachers Comp | | | | | | | | | |
| | posite | Teachers Comp | posite | Teachers Comp | oosite | Teachers Comp | osite | Teachers Comp | oosite |
| Value | 13.80 | Value | 0.27 | Value | 0.14 | Value | 0.16 | Value | 2.42 |
| %tile ▲ Policy Index | 94 | %tile ▲ Policy Index | 55 | %tile Policy Index | 56 | %tile ▲ Policy Index | 47 | %tile ▲ Policy Index | 40 |
| Value | 12.21 | Value | 0.00 | Value | 0.12 | Value | 0.14 | Value | 0.00 |
| %tile | 41 | %tile | 61 | %tile | 62 | %tile | 59 | %tile | 1 |
| Universe | | Universe | | Universe | | Universe | | Universe | |
| 5th %tile | 9.86 | 5th %tile | 1.86 | 5th %tile | 0.28 | 5th %tile | 0.36 | 5th %tile | 2.17 |
| 25th %tile | 11.65 | 25th %tile | | 25th %tile | 0.19 | 25th %tile | 0.20 | 25th %tile | 2.36 |
| Median | 12.45 | Median | 0.44 | Median | 0.15 | Median | 0.16 | Median | 2.68 |
| 75th %tile | 13.05 | 75th %tile | -0.35 | 75th %tile | 0.09 | 75th %tile | 0.09 | 75th %tile | 3.11 |
| 95th %tile | 13.95 | 95th %tile | -1.71 | 95th %tile | -0.05 | 95th %tile | -0.03 | 95th %tile | 5.57 |
| | %tile Universe 5th %tile 25th %tile Median 75th %tile | %tile 41 Universe 5th %tile 9.86 25th %tile 11.65 Median 12.45 75th %tile 13.05 | %tile41%tileUniverseUniverse5th %tile9.8625th %tile11.6525th %tile12.45Median12.4575th %tile13.0575th %tile | %tile 41 %tile 61 Universe Universe 5th %tile 1.86 5th %tile 9.86 5th %tile 1.86 25th %tile 11.65 25th %tile 0.98 Median 12.45 Median 0.44 75th %tile 13.05 75th %tile -0.35 | %tile 41 %tile 61 %tile Universe Universe Universe Universe 5th %tile 9.86 5th %tile 1.86 5th %tile 25th %tile 11.65 25th %tile 0.98 25th %tile Median 12.45 Median 0.44 Median 75th %tile 13.05 75th %tile -0.35 75th %tile | %tile 41 %tile 61 %tile 62 Universe Universe Universe Universe 0.28 5th %tile 9.86 5th %tile 1.86 5th %tile 0.28 25th %tile 11.65 25th %tile 0.98 25th %tile 0.19 Median 12.45 Median 0.44 Median 0.15 75th %tile 13.05 75th %tile -0.35 75th %tile 0.09 | %tile 41 %tile 61 %tile 62 %tile Universe Univer | %tile 41 %tile 61 %tile 62 %tile 59 Universe Universe Universe Universe Universe Universe 0.36 5th %tile 9.86 5th %tile 1.86 5th %tile 0.28 5th %tile 0.36 25th %tile 11.65 25th %tile 0.98 25th %tile 0.19 25th %tile 0.20 Median 12.45 Median 0.44 Median 0.15 Median 0.16 75th %tile 13.05 75th %tile -0.35 75th %tile 0.09 75th %tile 0.09 | %tile41%tile61%tile62%tile59%tileUniverseUniverseUniverseUniverseUniverseUniverseUniverse5th %tile9.865th %tile1.865th %tile0.285th %tile0.365th %tile25th %tile11.6525th %tile0.9825th %tile0.1925th %tile0.2025th %tileMedian12.45Median0.44Median0.15Median0.16Median75th %tile13.0575th %tile-0.3575th %tile0.0975th %tile0.0975th %tile |


Total Fund Allocations vs. Peer Universe



Total Plan Allocation vs. ICC Public DB

| | Allocation (Ran | k) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|
| 5th Percentile | 50.3 | | 27.6 | | 64.1 | | 7.2 | | 9.9 | | 9.5 | | 4.5 | |
| 25th Percentile | 45.8 | | 19.3 | | 50.5 | | 6.1 | | 8.8 | | 6.7 | | 2.8 | |
| Median | 41.7 | | 12.9 | | 44.5 | | 4.7 | | 7.4 | | 5.6 | | 1.6 | |
| 75th Percentile | 32.0 | | 9.2 | | 26.9 | | 2.8 | | 5.7 | | 4.9 | | 1.3 | |
| 95th Percentile | 17.3 | | 4.8 | | 18.1 | | 1.3 | | 4.4 | | 1.7 | | 0.5 | |
| # of Portfolios | 17 | | 15 | | 18 | | 3 | | 3 | | 8 | | 14 | |
| Teachers Composite | 10.8 | (99) | 15.7 | (41) | 18.0 | (96) | 4.8 | (48) | 6.8 | (59) | 5.0 | (75) | 0.0 | (99) |

Total Fund Allocations vs. Peer Universe




Total Plan Allocation vs. IF Public DB > \$1B Gross

| | Allocation (Ran | () | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| 5th Percentile | 41.2 | | 23.7 | | 38.6 | | 10.0 | | 26.1 | | 17.0 | | 3.0 | |
| 25th Percentile | 36.1 | | 18.9 | | 27.6 | | 5.7 | | 13.4 | | 8.6 | | 1.7 | |
| Median | 24.3 | | 14.0 | | 20.1 | | 4.8 | | 8.2 | | 6.3 | | 0.6 | |
| 75th Percentile | 17.4 | | 10.4 | | 15.9 | | 3.4 | | 4.2 | | 4.5 | | 0.2 | |
| 95th Percentile | 7.3 | | 6.4 | | 8.0 | | 1.8 | | 1.6 | | 0.9 | | 0.0 | |
| # of Portfolios | 26 | | 29 | | 20 | | 9 | | 28 | | 22 | | 20 | |
| Teachers Composite | 10.8 | (88) | 15.7 | (43) | 18.0 | (69) | 4.8 | (50) | 6.8 | (63) | 5.0 | (67) | 0.0 | (95) |

Total Fund Attribution Analysis

Attribution Effects Relative to Policy Index 3 Months Ending September 30, 2012



Attribution Summary 3 Months Ending September 30, 2012

| | •• | | , coptonia | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | Actual Return | Target Return | Relative Return | Selection Effect | Asset Allocation Effect | Interaction Effects | Total Effects |
| Large Cap Comp | 6.3% | 6.4% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Small/Mid Cap Comp | 4.5% | 5.3% | -0.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% |
| Int'l Equity Comp | 6.7% | 6.9% | -0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Emerging Market Comp | 7.9% | 7.7% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Diversified Fixed | 1.7% | 1.6% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| High Yield Comp | 4.2% | 4.5% | -0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Global Fixed Income Comp | 3.3% | 3.0% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Emerging Market Debt | 7.0% | 6.8% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| TIPS | 2.1% | 2.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total Real Estate | 3.8% | 2.3% | 1.5% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| Hedge Fund Comp | 3.2% | 2.4% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| GAA Comp | 7.2% | 5.3% | 1.9% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.4% |
| Commodities | 10.4% | 9.7% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Cash | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total | 5.1% | 4.7% | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.4% |



Total Fund Attribution Analysis

| | Performance Attribution | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------|------------|-------|
| | Last 3 Mo. | YTD | Fiscal YTD | 1 Yr |
| Teachers Composite Return | 5.1% | | 5.1% | |
| Policy Benchmark * | 4.7% | | 4.7% | |
| Excess Return | 0.4% | | 0.4% | |
| Selection Effect | 0.4% | | 0.4% | |
| Asset Allocation Effect | 0.0% | | 0.0% | |
| Interaction Effect | 0.0% | | 0.0% | |
| Asset Class Returns | | | | |
| Teachers Composite | 5.1% | 10.8% | 5.1% | 16.1% |
| Large Cap Comp | 6.3% | 15.9% | 6.3% | 29.9% |
| Small/Mid Cap Comp | 4.5% | 12.9% | 4.5% | 29.6% |
| Int'l Equity Comp | 6.7% | 8.9% | 6.7% | 12.3% |
| Emerging Market Comp | 7.9% | 18.6% | 7.9% | 24.7% |
| Diversified Fixed | 1.7% | 6.3% | 1.7% | 9.3% |
| High Yield Comp | 4.2% | 11.4% | 4.2% | 17.5% |
| Global Fixed Income Comp | 3.3% | 6.8% | 3.3% | 7.2% |
| Emerging Market Debt | 7.0% | 15.7% | 7.0% | 21.0% |
| TIPS | 2.1% | 6.2% | 2.1% | 9.0% |
| Total Real Estate | 3.8% | 10.8% | 3.8% | 13.5% |
| Hedge Fund Comp | 3.2% | 6.5% | 3.2% | 6.8% |
| GAA Comp | 7.2% | 12.7% | 7.2% | 17.7% |
| Commodities | 10.4% | 6.7% | 10.4% | 8.1% |
| Cash | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| Eqty Composite | | | | |





Total Fund Risk Statistics

| | | | | 3 Y | ears Endir | ig September | 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank |
| Teachers Composite | 100.00% | 10.18% | 17 | 8.77% | 38 | 2.18% | 15 | 1.15 | 24 | 1.75 | 23 | 0.80% | 1 |
| Policy Index | | 7.94% | 89 | 8.67% | 34 | 0.00% | 77 | 0.91 | 74 | 1.49 | 70 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Large Cap Comp | 10.79% | 13.99% | 26 | 16.44% | 57 | 0.09% | 35 | 0.85 | 34 | 1.45 | 32 | 1.38% | 1 |
| S&P 500 | | 13.20% | 37 | 15.58% | 34 | 0.00% | 36 | 0.84 | 34 | 1.47 | 29 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Small/Mid Cap Comp | 6.43% | 15.12% | 39 | 18.61% | 34 | 3.80% | 39 | 0.81 | 39 | 1.48 | 31 | 3.26% | 2 |
| Russell 2000 | | 12.99% | 69 | 21.28% | 79 | 0.00% | 78 | 0.61 | 77 | 1.08 | 75 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Int'l Equity Comp | 9.63% | 3.23% | 75 | 18.08% | 18 | 1.28% | 72 | 0.17 | 71 | 0.26 | 72 | 2.28% | 11 |
| MSCI EAFE | | 2.12% | 88 | 19.60% | 56 | 0.00% | 87 | 0.10 | 87 | 0.16 | 87 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Emerging Market Comp | 6.11% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diversified Fixed | 18.02% | 8.51% | 35 | 3.12% | 63 | 2.74% | 33 | 2.71 | 32 | 6.34 | 20 | 1.84% | 40 |
| Barclays Aggregate | | 6.19% | 62 | 2.70% | 52 | 0.00% | 85 | 2.26 | 58 | 3.26 | 68 | 0.00% | 1 |
| High Yield Comp | 5.80% | 11.96% | 68 | 5.92% | 25 | 1.64% | 29 | 2.01 | 30 | 3.09 | 30 | 1.79% | 63 |
| Barclays High Yield | | 12.90% | 40 | 7.31% | 70 | 0.00% | 69 | 1.75 | 66 | 2.63 | 60 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Global Fixed Income Comp | 3.00% | 8.33% | 31 | 6.21% | 45 | 4.56% | 43 | 1.33 | 41 | 1.81 | 42 | 2.51% | 17 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | 4.29% | 91 | 6.55% | 55 | 0.00% | 95 | 0.64 | 89 | 0.73 | 91 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Emerging Market Debt | 4.81% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan EMBI Global TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TIPS | 2.87% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barclays US TIPS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Real Estate | 4.96% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NCREIF Property Index | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hedge Fund Comp | 4.72% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GAA Comp | 20.73% | 11.58% | 13 | 9.15% | 44 | 6.73% | 18 | 1.26 | 15 | 1.86 | 22 | 3.96% | 23 |
| 60% MSCI ACWI (Net)/40% CITI WGBI | | 6.40% | 74 | 11.55% | 76 | 0.00% | 80 | 0.55 | 68 | 0.84 | 70 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Commodities | 2.09% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dow Jones-UBS Commodity Index TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash | 0.03% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 Day T-Bills | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Total Fund Risk Statistics

| | 5 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank |
| Teachers Composite | 100.00% | 2.45% | 52 | 13.80% | 87 | 0.33% | 57 | 0.14 | 58 | 0.16 | 64 | 2.42% | 17 |
| Policy Index | | 1.95% | 72 | 12.21% | 52 | 0.00% | 69 | 0.12 | 69 | 0.14 | 72 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Large Cap Comp | 10.79% | 2.10% | 43 | 21.11% | 81 | 1.00% | 44 | 0.08 | 45 | 0.10 | 45 | 2.89% | 9 |
| S&P 500 | | 1.05% | 63 | 19.13% | 45 | 0.00% | 63 | 0.03 | 63 | 0.04 | 62 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Small/Mid Cap Comp | 6.43% | 4.17% | 39 | 23.27% | 49 | 2.07% | 39 | 0.16 | 38 | 0.22 | 38 | 2.94% | 1 |
| Russell 2000 | | 2.21% | 67 | 24.75% | 72 | 0.00% | 71 | 0.07 | 69 | 0.10 | 69 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Int'l Equity Comp | 9.63% | -4.92% | 75 | 22.09% | 20 | -0.05% | 83 | -0.25 | 83 | -0.33 | 80 | 2.45% | 7 |
| MSCI EAFE | | -5.24% | 80 | 23.52% | 45 | 0.00% | 82 | -0.24 | 83 | -0.35 | 82 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Emerging Market Comp | 6.11% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diversified Fixed | 18.02% | 5.77% | 72 | 6.73% | 74 | -2.24% | 94 | 0.78 | 88 | 0.73 | 90 | 5.13% | 73 |
| Barclays Aggregate | | 6.53% | 59 | 3.57% | 42 | 0.00% | 80 | 1.69 | 42 | 2.65 | 33 | 0.00% | 1 |
| High Yield Comp | 5.80% | 8.92% | 46 | 10.26% | 21 | 2.25% | 23 | 0.82 | 22 | 0.73 | 46 | 5.15% | 70 |
| Barclays High Yield | | 9.34% | 34 | 14.13% | 90 | 0.00% | 82 | 0.62 | 78 | 0.65 | 72 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Global Fixed Income Comp | 3.00% | 8.87% | 16 | 8.19% | 51 | 3.00% | 42 | 1.02 | 32 | 1.44 | 27 | 4.30% | 31 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | 6.45% | 63 | 7.77% | 42 | 0.00% | 80 | 0.76 | 56 | 1.15 | 48 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Emerging Market Debt | 4.81% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan EMBI Global TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TIPS | 2.87% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barclays US TIPS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Real Estate | 4.96% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NCREIF Property Index | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hedge Fund Comp | 4.72% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GAA Comp | 20.73% | 5.03% | 36 | 13.73% | 60 | 3.34% | 44 | 0.33 | 46 | 0.37 | 48 | 4.20% | 18 |
| 60% MSCI ACWI (Net)/40% CITI WGBI | | 1.79% | 80 | 14.31% | 68 | 0.00% | 80 | 0.09 | 80 | 0.12 | 80 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Commodities | 2.09% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dow Jones-UBS Commodity Index TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash | 0.03% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 Day T-Bills | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



@Uf[Y'7Ud'8ca Ygh]W9ei]hm



Vermont State Teachers

Large Cap Comp

| 3 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank |
| Large Cap Comp | 10.79% | 13.99% | 26 | 16.44% | 57 | 0.09% | 35 | 0.85 | 34 | 1.45 | 32 | 1.38% | 1 |
| S&P 500 | | 13.20% | 37 | 15.58% | 34 | 0.00% | 36 | 0.84 | 34 | 1.47 | 29 | 0.00% | 1 |
| T. Rowe | 2.97% | 12.98% | 40 | 15.93% | 43 | -0.50% | 42 | 0.81 | 40 | 1.38 | 39 | 0.95% | 1 |
| S&P 500 | | 13.20% | 37 | 15.58% | 34 | 0.00% | 36 | 0.84 | 34 | 1.47 | 29 | 0.00% | 1 |
| SSgA S&P 500 Cap-Wgt | 4.89% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S&P 500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SSgA S&P 500 Equal-Wgt | 2.93% | 14.05% | 25 | 17.81% | 83 | -0.12% | 49 | 0.78 | 46 | 1.44 | 33 | 0.32% | 1 |
| S&P 500 Equal Weighted | | 14.04% | 25 | 17.65% | 81 | 0.00% | 47 | 0.79 | 45 | 1.43 | 34 | 0.00% | 1 |




Vermont State Teachers

Large Cap Comp

| 5 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank |
| Large Cap Comp | 10.79% | 2.10% | 43 | 21.11% | 81 | 1.00% | 44 | 0.08 | 45 | 0.10 | 45 | 2.89% | 9 |
| S&P 500 | | 1.05% | 63 | 19.13% | 45 | 0.00% | 63 | 0.03 | 63 | 0.04 | 62 | 0.00% | 1 |
| T. Rowe | 2.97% | 1.79% | 48 | 19.23% | 47 | 0.74% | 48 | 0.07 | 48 | 0.09 | 48 | 1.17% | 1 |
| S&P 500 | | 1.05% | 63 | 19.13% | 45 | 0.00% | 63 | 0.03 | 63 | 0.04 | 62 | 0.00% | 1 |
| SSgA S&P 500 Cap-Wgt | 4.89% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S&P 500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SSgA S&P 500 Equal-Wgt | 2.93% | 2.76% | 30 | 23.51% | 95 | -0.45% | 41 | 0.10 | 38 | 0.14 | 38 | 1.10% | 1 |
| S&P 500 Equal Weighted | | 3.16% | 24 | 23.13% | 95 | 0.00% | 34 | 0.11 | 32 | 0.16 | 30 | 0.00% | 1 |



Large Cap Comp



3 Year Style Analysis






Large Cap Comp


Equity Characteristics


| | Portfolio | S&P 500 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Number of Holdings | 530 | 500 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 93.2 | 118.7 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 13.2 | 12.3 |
| Price To Earnings | 17.7 | 18.4 |
| Price To Book | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 24.1 | 21.5 |
| Yield (%) | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Beta | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Equity Sector Allocation



Market Capitalization As Of September 30, 2012





Large Cap Comp

| Top Ten Holdings | |
|-------------------------|------|
| APPLE | 3.6% |
| EXXON MOBIL | 2.5% |
| MICROSOFT | 1.4% |
| CHEVRON | 1.4% |
| AT&T | 1.4% |
| GENERAL ELECTRIC | 1.4% |
| GOOGLE 'A' | 1.2% |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE | 1.2% |
| INTERNATIONAL BUS.MCHS. | 1.1% |
| PFIZER | 1.1% |

| Top Positive Contributors | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Relative | | | | | | | | |
| | Contribution % | Return % | | | | | | | |
| INTEL | 0.1% | -14.3% | | | | | | | |
| METROPCS COMMS. | 0.1% | 93.6% | | | | | | | |
| SPRINT NEXTEL | 0.0% | 69.3% | | | | | | | |
| TESORO | 0.0% | 68.4% | | | | | | | |
| FIRST SOLAR | 0.0% | 47.0% | | | | | | | |
| HESS | 0.0% | 23.9% | | | | | | | |
| KOHL'S | 0.0% | 13.3% | | | | | | | |
| LYONDELLBASELL INDS.CL.A | 0.0% | 29.3% | | | | | | | |
| NRG ENERGY | 0.0% | 23.8% | | | | | | | |
| XL GROUP | 0.0% | 14.7% | | | | | | | |

Top Negative Contributors Relative Contribution Return % % -0.2% 14.7% GOOGLE 'A' -0.1% 30.1% EXXON MOBIL -0.1% 7.6%

-0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

9.8%

6.5%

11.4%

-20.5%

19.4%

14.2%

14.2%

APPLE

CHEVRON

CITIGROUP

DELL

GENERAL ELECTRIC

PROCTER & GAMBLE

JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.

INTERNATIONAL BUS.MCHS.

Equity Sector Attribution


| | | | Attribution Effects | | F | Returns | Sector Weights | | |
|---------------|---------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|
| | Total | Selection | Allocation | Interaction | | | | | |
| | Effects | Effect | Effect | Effects | Portfolio | Benchmark | Portfolio | Benchmark | |
| Energy | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 10.8% | 10.2% | 10.4% | 10.8% | |
| Materials | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 5.8% | 4.9% | 4.3% | 3.4% | |
| Industrials | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.6% | 3.6% | 11.1% | 10.4% | |
| Cons. Disc. | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.8% | 7.4% | 12.9% | 11.0% | |
| Cons. Staples | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.0% | 3.9% | 9.5% | 11.3% | |
| Health Care | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.1% | 6.2% | 11.6% | 12.0% | |
| Financials | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 7.0% | 7.0% | 15.0% | 14.4% | |
| Info. Tech | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.7% | 7.4% | 18.1% | 19.8% | |
| Telecomm. | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 12.4% | 8.0% | 2.8% | 3.2% | |
| Utilities | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.3% | -0.3% | 4.2% | 3.6% | |
| Cash | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.1% | 0.0% | |
| Portfolio | 0.0% | = 0.0% | + 0.0% | + 0.0% | 6.3% | 6.4% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |



September 30, 2012


T. Rowe

T. Rowe Price employs a fundamental, bottom-up approach to investing in equity assets. The strategy delivers a research portfolio managed by the firm's industry analysts and representing their best ideas. The Structured Research Strategy seeks to provide superior long-term returns and capture the style of the S&P 500 Stock Index by combining analyst-driven research and security selection with the benefits of disciplined portfolio construction. T. Rowe Price's approach results in an industry and sector neutral portfolio that aims to consistently and reliably provide alpha through bottom-up, fundamental research and stock selection, consistent excess returns, index like return volatility, low tracking error (below 175bps), and a high information ratio.






Annualized Excess Performance







| Characteristics | |
|-----------------|------|
| | Port |
| | |


| | Portfolio | S&P 500 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Number of Holdings | 265 | 500 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 117.6 | 118.7 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 18.2 | 12.3 |
| Price To Earnings | 17.6 | 18.4 |
| Price To Book | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 22.6 | 21.5 |
| Yield (%) | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Beta | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | 1.0 | 1.0 |

T. Rowe

S&P 500 ٠

68% Confidence Interval

• eA US Large Cap Equity Gross



3 Year Risk Statistics

NEPC, LLC

September 30, 2012

Using a replication process, we purchase each security for the Strategy in the same capitalization weight as it appears in the S&P 500 Index. Replication results in low turnover, accurate tracking, and low costs. SSgA's internal liquidity has allowed the firm to acquire many of the benchmark constituents for free. These free trading opportunities have allowed SSgA to gradually reduce security-level misweights while avoiding the open market. This process has resulted in portfolios that look and behave like the benchmark, and do so at a very low cost. SSgA's passive equity process objective is to remain fully invested in the equity market at all times. To accomplish this, it holds a small amount of unleveraged exchange-traded S&P 500® futures contracts to maintain full exposure. SSgA tends to hold approximately 1-3% of the strategy's value in suitable CFTC approved index futures contracts. This position in futures also allows the firm to accommodate cash flows into and out of the portfolio on a daily basis and to equitize dividend receivables to achieve closer tracking.

Characteristics

| | Portfolio | S&P 500 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Number of Holdings | 502 | 500 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 118.9 | 118.7 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 12.2 | 12.3 |
| Price To Earnings | 18.2 | 18.4 |
| Price To Book | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 29.0 | 21.5 |
| Yield (%) | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |

35.0 30.0 Annualized Return (%) 25.0 20.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 0.0 -5.0 YTD Quarter Fiscal YTD 1 Year 3 Years 5 Years SSgA S&P 500 Cap-Wgt ▲ S&P 500

eA US Large Cap Equity Gross Accounts




SSgA S&P 500 Equal-Wgt

The Strategy is managed using a "passive" or "indexing" investment approach, by which SSgA attempts to match, before expenses, the performance of the Index. SSqA will typically attempt to invest in the securities comprising the Index, in the same proportions as they are represented in the Index. In some cases, it may not be possible or practicable to purchase all of the securities comprising the Index, or to hold them in the same weightings as they represent in the Index. In those circumstances, SSqA may employ a sampling or optimization technique to construct the portfolio in question. From time to time securities are added to or removed from the Index. SSgA may sell securities that are represented in the Index, or purchase securities that are not yet represented in the Index, in anticipation of their removal from or addition to the Index. The Strategy may at times purchase or sell futures contracts on the Index, or options on those futures, or engage in other transactions involving the use of derivatives, in lieu of investment directly in the securities making up the Index or to enhance the Strategy's replication of the Index return. The Strategy's return may not match the return of the Index.

35.0 30.0 25.0 Annualized Return (%) 20.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 0.0 -5.0 Quarter YTD Fiscal YTD 1 Year 3 Years 5 Years

eA US Large Cap Equity Gross Accounts

● SSgA S&P 500 Equal-Wgt ▲ S&P 500 Equal Weighted



Annualized Excess Performance




SSgA S&P 500 Equal-Wgt

30.0 ٠ . 25.0 Annualized Return 20.0 844 Portfolios 15.0 10.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 Annualized Standard Deviation

3 Year Risk Return




| | Portfolio | S&P 500 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Number of Holdings | 497 | 500 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 26.4 | 118.7 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| Price To Earnings | 16.9 | 18.4 |
| Price To Book | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 17.6 | 21.5 |
| Yield (%) | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Beta | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | 1.0 | 1.0 |



٠

68% Confidence Interval

• eA US Large Cap Equity Gross



3 Year Risk Statistics

Ga U^{***}7Ud^{*}8ca YghjW9ei]hm



Vermont State Teachers

Small/Mid Cap Comp

| 3 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank |
| Small/Mid Cap Comp | 6.43% | 15.12% | 39 | 18.61% | 34 | 3.80% | 39 | 0.81 | 39 | 1.48 | 31 | 3.26% | 2 |
| Russell 2000 | | 12.99% | 69 | 21.28% | 79 | 0.00% | 78 | 0.61 | 77 | 1.08 | 75 | 0.00% | 1 |
| SSgA S&P 400 | 0.53% | 15.59% | 25 | 19.22% | 67 | 1.00% | 39 | 0.81 | 39 | 1.28 | 53 | 2.50% | 3 |
| S&P 400 MidCap | | 14.34% | 42 | 18.72% | 56 | 0.00% | 51 | 0.76 | 49 | 1.28 | 53 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Champlain | 1.92% | 14.81% | 35 | 14.95% | 7 | 4.70% | 14 | 0.99 | 11 | 1.72 | 19 | 7.10% | 89 |
| Russell 2500 | | 14.06% | 44 | 19.98% | 79 | 0.00% | 65 | 0.70 | 64 | 1.22 | 61 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Wellington | 2.04% | 15.83% | 16 | 18.23% | 18 | 5.79% | 11 | 0.86 | 12 | 1.39 | 18 | 4.57% | 37 |
| Russell 2000 Value | | 11.72% | 77 | 20.92% | 64 | 0.00% | 81 | 0.56 | 81 | 0.95 | 76 | 0.00% | 1 |
| SSgA Russell 2000 Growth | 1.95% | 14.30% | 69 | 21.82% | 67 | 0.12% | 71 | 0.65 | 69 | 1.22 | 66 | 0.15% | 1 |
| Russell 2000 Growth | | 14.19% | 70 | 21.83% | 67 | 0.00% | 72 | 0.65 | 71 | 1.20 | 67 | 0.00% | 1 |




Vermont State Teachers

Small/Mid Cap Comp

| 5 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-----------------|------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Sharpe Ratio | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank |
| Small/Mid Cap Comp | 6.43% | 4.17% | 39 | 23.27% | 49 | 2.07% | 39 | 0.16 | 38 | 0.22 | 38 | 2.94% | 1 |
| Russell 2000 | | 2.21% | 67 | 24.75% | 72 | 0.00% | 71 | 0.07 | 69 | 0.10 | 69 | 0.00% | 1 |
| SSgA S&P 400 | 0.53% | 3.57% | 41 | 23.40% | 74 | -0.31% | 47 | 0.13 | 44 | 0.18 | 45 | 2.95% | 1 |
| S&P 400 MidCap | | 3.83% | 35 | 22.83% | 64 | 0.00% | 38 | 0.15 | 37 | 0.20 | 38 | 0.00% | 1 |
| Champlain | 1.92% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Russell 2500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wellington | 2.04% | 6.11% | 14 | 23.57% | 43 | 4.82% | 13 | 0.24 | 11 | 0.33 | 14 | 4.53% | 16 |
| Russell 2000 Value | | 1.35% | 86 | 25.01% | 65 | 0.00% | 86 | 0.03 | 86 | 0.05 | 86 | 0.00% | 1 |
| SSgA Russell 2000 Growth | 1.95% | 3.01% | 53 | 25.01% | 66 | 0.05% | 54 | 0.10 | 53 | 0.15 | 52 | 0.18% | 1 |
| Russell 2000 Growth | | 2.96% | 54 | 25.04% | 66 | 0.00% | 54 | 0.10 | 53 | 0.15 | 52 | 0.00% | 1 |





3 Year Risk Return







Vermont State Teachers Small/Mid Cap Comp

Equity Characteristics

| | Portfolio | Russell 2000 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Number of Holdings | 1,461 | 1,975 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 3.4 | 1.3 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Price To Earnings | 19.5 | 15.2 |
| Price To Book | 3.4 | 2.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 13.5 | 6.0 |
| Yield (%) | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Beta | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | 1.0 | 1.0 |



Market Capitalization As Of September 30, 2012



Equity Sector Allocation

September 30, 2012

| Top Ten Holdings | |
|---|------|
| JPMORGAN PRIME MONEY MARKET FUND AGENCY CLASS | 1.3% |
| CARLISLE COS. | 1.2% |
| WILLIS GROUP HOLDINGS | 0.9% |
| CHAS.RVR.LABS.INTL. | 0.8% |
| ICON SPN.ADR 1:1 | 0.8% |
| CATO 'A' | 0.8% |
| LIFE TECHNOLOGIES | 0.8% |
| WHITING PTL. | 0.8% |
| DOVER | 0.7% |
| MUELLER INDS. | 0.7% |

| Top Positive Contributors | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Relative | | | | | | | |
| | Contribution % | Return % | | | | | |
| CHAS.RVR.LABS.INTL. | 0.2% | 20.9% | | | | | |
| MTS SYSTEMS | 0.1% | 39.7% | | | | | |
| RESMED | 0.1% | 30.4% | | | | | |
| GENESEE & WYOMING 'A' | 0.1% | 26.5% | | | | | |
| MAXIMUS | 0.1% | 15.6% | | | | | |
| STAGE STORES | 0.1% | 15.5% | | | | | |
| WHITING PTL. | 0.1% | 15.2% | | | | | |
| KIRBY | 0.1% | 17.4% | | | | | |
| PIONEER NTRL.RES. | 0.1% | 18.4% | | | | | |
| PENTAIR | 0.1% | 16.9% | | | | | |

| Top Negative Contributors | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Relative | | | | | |
| | Contribution % | Return % | | | | |
| ACCO BRANDS | -0.2% | -37.2% | | | | |
| QUALITY SYSTEMS | -0.1% | -32.0% | | | | |
| OCWEN FINL. | -0.1% | 46.0% | | | | |
| COEUR D'ALENE MINES | -0.1% | 64.2% | | | | |
| DEVRY | -0.1% | -26.5% | | | | |
| CEC ENTM. | -0.1% | -16.6% | | | | |
| FLOWERS FOODS | -0.1% | -12.5% | | | | |
| WEBSENSE | -0.1% | -16.4% | | | | |
| ROBBINS & MYERS | 0.0% | 42.7% | | | | |
| DIEBOLD | 0.0% | -7.9% | | | | |

Equity Sector Attribution

| | | | Attribution Effects | - | F | Returns | | or Weights |
|---------------|---------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | Total | Selection | Allocation | Interaction | | | | |
| | Effects | Effect | Effect | Effects | Portfolio | Benchmark | Portfolio | Benchmark |
| Energy | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 8.8% | 7.5% | 6.2% | 5.9% |
| Materials | -0.2% | -0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 8.0% | 12.8% | 4.3% | 4.7% |
| Industrials | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.0% | 3.5% | 17.7% | 15.0% |
| Cons. Disc. | -0.5% | -0.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.3% | 7.3% | 13.7% | 13.7% |
| Cons. Staples | -0.2% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 1.6% | 2.5% | 6.9% | 3.8% |
| Health Care | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 5.4% | 4.7% | 17.5% | 13.4% |
| Financials | -0.4% | -0.5% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 3.3% | 5.6% | 15.1% | 21.4% |
| Info. Tech | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.0% | 2.4% | 16.2% | 17.6% |
| Telecomm. | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 15.0% | 14.0% | 0.3% | 0.7% |
| Utilities | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.6% | 5.9% | 1.7% | 3.7% |
| Cash | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.4% | 0.0% |
| Portfolio | -0.9% | = -0.8% | + -0.2% | + 0.2% | 4.4% | 5.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% |




September 30, 2012

The Strategy is managed using a "passive" or "indexing" investment approach, by which SSgA attempts to match, before expenses, the performance of the Index. SSgA will typically attempt to invest in the securities comprising the Index, in the same proportions as they are represented in the Index. In some cases, it may not be possible or practicable to purchase all of the securities comprising the Index, or to hold them in the same weightings as they represent in the Index. In those circumstances, SSgA may employ a sampling or optimization technique to construct the portfolio in question. From time to time securities are added to or removed from the Index. SSgA may sell securities that are represented in the Index, or purchase securities that are not yet represented in the Index, in anticipation of their removal from or addition to the Index. The Strategy may at times purchase or sell futures contracts on the Index, or options on those futures, or engage in other transactions involving the use of derivatives, in lieu of investment directly in the securities making up the Index or to enhance the Strategy's replication of the Index return. The Strategy's return may not match the return of the Index.


Characteristics

| | Portfolio | S&P 400 MidCap |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Number of Holdings | 399 | 400 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Price To Earnings | 20.9 | 21.1 |
| Price To Book | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Price To Sales | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 16.7 | 14.1 |
| Yield (%) | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |




Champlain

Champlain employs a fundamental, bottom-up approach to investing in equity assets. They believe that superior companies purchased at attractive valuations have the highest probability to wealth creation. Champlain seeks strong businesses with credible and sincere management and aims to buy shares of their stock at discounts to fair or intrinsic value. Portfolio construction applies sector weight guidelines and position size rules to manage risk. The portfolio will have some exposure to at least seven out of the eight largest sectors of the S&P 400, with a maximum weighting on 25% in any one sector. Individual positions will not represent more than 2% of the respective companies' outstanding shares and are limited to no more than 5% of assets under management. The portfolio typically holds 50-75 securities with average position sizes of 2%. The strategy's minimum market cap is \$1.5 billion at purchase and a maximum market cap of \$15 billion. In general, no more than 10% of portfolio in stocks with a market cap below \$2B and no more than 5% of portfolio in companies with market cap above \$20B.



eA US Mid Cap Core Equity Gross Accounts






Annualized Excess Performance



Champlain



2 Year Risk Return

Characteristics


| | Portfolio | Russell 2500 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Number of Holdings | 70 | 2,472 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 7.0 | 2.8 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 5.1 | 0.7 |
| Price To Earnings | 21.3 | 16.5 |
| Price To Book | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 17.0 | 8.9 |
| Yield (%) | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |



Russell 2500

68% Confidence Interval

• eA US Mid Cap Core Equity Gross



2 Year Risk Statistics

Wellington


Wellington's investment objective is to seek long-term total returns in excess of the Russell 2000 Value Index by investing in conservatively-valued securities of high-quality, small cap companies. Wellington employ a bottom-up stock selection process that utilizes Wellington Management's proprietary, fundamental research to identify undervalued companies that have the potential for significant longer-term rewards. The investment universe consists of all stocks generally between US\$100 million and US\$2 billion in market capitalization. These stocks are reviewed for certain financial and valuation requirements that correspond with our investment process and philosophy. The Small Cap Value Team conducts their own proprietary research and leverages the broader research of the firm as appropriate. The Portfolio is expected to have a lower P/E ratio and other value-oriented characteristics relative to the overall small cap market, with a similar market capitalization. The return on equity is expected to be higher than the small cap value indexes, consistent with the high-quality focus.




eA US Small Cap Value Equity Gross Accounts

● Wellington ▲ Russell 2000 Value





Wellington



3 Year Risk Return

Characteristics


| | Portfolio 2 | Russell 2000 Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Number of Holdings | 79 | 1,406 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Price To Earnings | 18.9 | 12.3 |
| Price To Book | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| Price To Sales | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 10.6 | 5.1 |
| Yield (%) | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |

Wellington

Russell 2000 Value


68% Confidence Interval

eA US Small Cap Value Equity Gross




3 Year Risk Statistics

The Strategy is managed using a "passive" or "indexing" investment approach, by which SSqA attempts to match, before expenses, the performance of the Index. SSgA will typically attempt to invest in the securities comprising the Index, in the same proportions as they are represented in the Index. In some cases, it may not be possible or practicable to purchase all of the securities comprising the Index, or to hold them in the same weightings as they represent in the Index. In those circumstances, SSqA may employ a sampling or optimization technique to construct the portfolio in question. From time to time securities are added to or removed from the Index. SSgA may sell securities that are represented in the Index, or purchase securities that are not yet represented in the Index, in anticipation of their removal from or addition to the Index. The Strategy may at times purchase or sell futures contracts on the Index, or options on those futures, or engage in other transactions involving the use of derivatives, in lieu of investment directly in the securities making up the Index or to enhance the Strategy's replication of the Index return. The Strategy's return may not match the return of the Index.




eA US Small Cap Growth Equity Gross Accounts

● SSgA Russell 2000 Growth ▲ Russell 2000 Growth



Annualized Excess Performance


SSgA Russell 2000 Growth



Characteristics

| | Portfolio | Russell 2000 Growth |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Number of Holdings | 1,051 | 1,112 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Price To Earnings | 17.8 | 18.7 |
| Price To Book | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| Price To Sales | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 11.6 | 7.2 |
| Yield (%) | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |

- SSgA Russell 2000 Growth
- Russell 2000 Growth
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Small Cap Growth Equity Gross



3 Year Risk Statistics



September 30, 2012

=bh/fbUhjcbU``9ei]hm



Vermont State Teachers

Int'l Equity Comp

| 3 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Int'l Equity Comp | 9.63% | 3.23% | 75 | 18.08% | 18 | 1.28% | 72 | 2.28% | 11 | 0.26 | 72 | 92.15% | 44 |
| MSCI EAFE | | 2.12% | 88 | 19.60% | 56 | 0.00% | 87 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.16 | 87 | 100.00% | 79 |
| Acadian | 4.09% | 3.61% | 69 | 18.90% | 36 | 1.60% | 67 | 3.82% | 43 | 0.26 | 72 | 92.27% | 46 |
| MSCI EAFE | | 2.12% | 88 | 19.60% | 56 | 0.00% | 87 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.16 | 87 | 100.00% | 79 |
| Mondrian | 3.75% | 2.95% | 77 | 17.52% | 12 | 1.10% | 74 | 4.75% | 58 | 0.26 | 73 | 90.46% | 37 |
| MSCI EAFE | | 2.12% | 88 | 19.60% | 56 | 0.00% | 87 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.16 | 87 | 100.00% | 79 |
| SSgA ACWI Ex US | 1.79% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI ACWI ex USA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |




Vermont State Teachers

Int'l Equity Comp

| 5 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Int'l Equity Comp | 9.63% | -4.92% | 75 | 22.09% | 20 | -0.05% | 83 | 2.45% | 7 | -0.33 | 80 | 97.40% | 35 |
| MSCI EAFE | | -5.24% | 80 | 23.52% | 45 | 0.00% | 82 | 0.00% | 1 | -0.35 | 82 | 100.00% | 64 |
| Acadian | 4.09% | -7.02% | 95 | 24.66% | 68 | -1.60% | 94 | 4.53% | 43 | -0.39 | 91 | 101.50% | 81 |
| MSCI EAFE | | -5.24% | 80 | 23.52% | 45 | 0.00% | 82 | 0.00% | 1 | -0.35 | 82 | 100.00% | 64 |
| Mondrian | 3.75% | -3.63% | 51 | 20.58% | 10 | 0.81% | 66 | 4.94% | 48 | -0.29 | 66 | 93.91% | 17 |
| MSCI EAFE | | -5.24% | 80 | 23.52% | 45 | 0.00% | 82 | 0.00% | 1 | -0.35 | 82 | 100.00% | 64 |
| SSgA ACWI Ex US | 1.79% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI ACWI ex USA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |





3 Year Style Analysis






Equity Characteristics

| | Portfolio | MSCI EAFE |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Number of Holdings | 2,197 | 920 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 44.1 | 50.3 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 5.1 | 6.9 |
| Price To Earnings | 13.2 | 15.0 |
| Price To Book | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Price To Sales | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 15.0 | 14.1 |
| Yield (%) | 3.9 | 3.5 |
| Beta | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | 1.0 | 1.0 |

| | Regional Allocation | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Int'l Equity Comp | MSCI EAFE |
| Region Weighting | | |
| North America ex U.S. | 2.71 | 0.00 |
| United States | 0.34 | 0.00 |
| Europe Ex U.K. | 40.29 | 41.84 |
| United Kingdom | 16.51 | 23.40 |
| Pacific Basin Ex Japan | 9.96 | 14.10 |
| Japan | 21.03 | 20.06 |
| Emerging Markets | 8.14 | 0.00 |
| Other | 1.01 | 0.60 |

Equity Sector Allocation





| Top Ten Holdings | |
|---------------------|------|
| ROYAL DUTCH SHELL A | 2.4% |
| SANOFI | 2.3% |
| TOTAL | 1.9% |
| BP | 1.8% |
| NOVARTIS 'R' | 1.4% |
| RWE | 1.3% |
| TESCO | 1.3% |
| CANON | 1.2% |
| GLAXOSMITHKLINE | 1.1% |
| UNILEVER (UK) | 1.1% |

| Top T Usitive Contributors | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Relative | | | | |
| | Contribution % | Return % | | | |
| ASTELLAS PHARMA | 0.2% | 19.3% | | | |
| ARKEMA | 0.2% | 43.3% | | | |
| AMCOR | 0.1% | 13.9% | | | |
| TAIWAN SEMICON.MNFG. | 0.1% | 16.8% | | | |

RWE

AMP

TOTAL

CARREFOUR

REED ELSEVIER (AMS)

DEUTSCHE TELEKOM

16.8% 11.2%

17.4%

16.6%

18.6% 12.5%

11.8%

0.1%

0.1%

0.1%

0.1%

0.1%

0.1%

Ton Positive Contributors

| Top Negative Contributors | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Relative | | | | | | | |
| | Contribution % | Return % | | | | | |
| CANON | -0.1% | -19.2% | | | | | |
| BASF | -0.1% | 21.8% | | | | | |
| SIEMENS | -0.1% | 19.6% | | | | | |
| WESTPAC BANKING | -0.1% | 19.3% | | | | | |
| NESTLE 'R' | -0.1% | 5.6% | | | | | |
| SAP | -0.1% | 19.9% | | | | | |
| COMMONWEALTH BK.OF AUS. | -0.1% | 10.3% | | | | | |
| ALLIANZ | -0.1% | 19.2% | | | | | |
| AUS.AND NZ.BANKING GP. | -0.1% | 14.0% | | | | | |
| HSBC HDG. (ORD \$0.50) | -0.1% | 6.3% | | | | | |

Equity Sector Attribution

| | | Attribution Effects | | | F | Returns | Sector Weights | | |
|---------------|---------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|
| | Total | Selection | Allocation | Interaction | | | | | |
| | Effects | Effect | Effect | Effects | Portfolio | Benchmark | Portfolio | Benchmark | |
| Energy | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 7.8% | 6.6% | 11.4% | 8.4% | |
| Materials | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 9.9% | 7.2% | 7.8% | 9.5% | |
| Industrials | -0.2% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 4.0% | 5.8% | 11.3% | 12.5% | |
| Cons. Disc. | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.3% | 2.6% | 9.6% | 10.5% | |
| Cons. Staples | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 7.9% | 7.2% | 11.2% | 12.0% | |
| Health Care | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 9.9% | 8.9% | 12.0% | 10.1% | |
| Financials | -0.4% | 0.0% | -0.3% | -0.1% | 11.2% | 11.3% | 16.9% | 22.6% | |
| Info. Tech | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.2% | 0.1% | 1.6% | 1.4% | 8.1% | 4.5% | |
| Telecomm. | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 5.1% | 5.0% | 7.6% | 5.6% | |
| Utilities | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.8% | 2.5% | 4.2% | 4.2% | |
| Cash | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| Portfolio | 0.1% | = 0.5% | + -0.4% | + 0.0% | 7.1% | 7.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |



September 30, 2012

| | Country Alloc | ation | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) Alloc | ation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| Americas | | | | |
| Brazil* | 0.6% | 0.0% | 4.8% | 4.7% |
| Canada | 3.0% | 0.0% | 10.4% | 10.4% |
| Chile* | 0.1% | 0.0% | 1.1% | 1.2% |
| Colombia* | 0.1% | 0.0% | 2.9% | 2.3% |
| Mexico* | 0.3% | 0.0% | 9.4% | 6.8% |
| Peru* | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.9% | 2.9% |
| United States | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 6.2% |
| Total-Americas | 4.0% | 0.0% | 9.1% | |
| Europe | | | | |
| Austria | 0.3% | 0.3% | 11.0% | 6.7% |
| Belgium | 1.1% | 1.1% | 9.8% | 10.1% |
| Czech Republic* | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.2% | 10.2% |
| Denmark | 0.8% | 1.1% | 9.6% | 11.3% |
| Finland | 0.4% | 0.7% | 3.7% | 10.6% |
| France | 9.4% | 9.2% | 8.4% | 7.4% |
| Germany | 7.3% | 8.0% | 12.4% | 14.4% |
| Greece | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Hungary* | 0.0% | 0.0% | 9.6% | 9.6% |
| Ireland | 0.0% | 0.3% | 14.2% | -1.5% |
| Italy | 2.1% | 2.2% | 7.9% | 7.6% |
| Luxembourg | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 7.0% |
| Netherlands | 6.6% | 2.4% | 5.1% | 8.9% |
| Norway | 0.8% | 0.9% | 9.6% | 13.6% |
| Poland* | 0.2% | 0.0% | 29.5% | 12.3% |
| Portugal | 0.0% | 0.2% | 9.2% | 13.1% |
| Russia* | 0.2% | 0.0% | 8.8% | 9.3% |
| Spain | 3.0% | 2.7% | 5.1% | 11.6% |
| Sweden | 1.1% | 3.1% | 11.9% | 10.6% |
| Switzerland | 5.5% | 8.5% | 10.9% | 7.8% |
| United Kingdom | 16.3% | 23.2% | 7.1% | 7.1% |
| Total-Europe | 55.2% | 64.1% | 8.4% | 8.8% |

| Country Allocation Versus MSCI EAFE - Quarter Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index | | | | |
| | Allocation (USD) | Allocation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) | | | | |
| AsiaPacific | | | | | | | | |
| Australia | 5.3% | 8.7% | 12.2% | 10.5% | | | | |
| China* | 0.9% | 0.0% | 4.4% | 4.7% | | | | |
| Hong Kong | 1.1% | 2.9% | 12.9% | 13.7% | | | | |
| India* | 0.7% | 0.0% | 17.4% | 15.4% | | | | |
| Indonesia* | 0.1% | 0.0% | 7.5% | 7.4% | | | | |
| Japan | 22.5% | 21.7% | 1.0% | -0.9% | | | | |
| Korea* | 2.4% | 0.0% | 7.4% | 9.9% | | | | |
| Malaysia* | 0.2% | 0.0% | 6.5% | 5.3% | | | | |
| New Zealand | 0.1% | 0.1% | 10.4% | 15.9% | | | | |
| Philippines* | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.4% | 4.3% | | | | |
| Singapore | 4.1% | 1.9% | 9.3% | 10.6% | | | | |
| Taiwan* | 1.5% | 0.0% | 11.2% | 10.9% | | | | |
| Thailand* | 0.4% | 0.0% | 27.0% | 11.1% | | | | |
| Total-AsiaPacific | 39.2% | 35.4% | 5.1% | 3.8% | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| Egypt* | 0.0% | 0.0% | 22.3% | 22.6% | | | | |
| Israel | 1.1% | 0.6% | 4.8% | 5.8% | | | | |
| Morocco* | 0.0% | 0.0% | -3.3% | -3.4% | | | | |
| Other Countries | 0.0% | 0.0% | -17.0% | 7.0% | | | | |
| South Africa* | 0.4% | 0.0% | 6.6% | 6.5% | | | | |
| Turkey* | 0.1% | 0.0% | 8.2% | 8.2% | | | | |
| Total-Other | 1.5% | 0.6% | 5.5% | 5.8% | | | | |
| Totals | | | | | | | | |
| Developed | 91.9% | 100.0% | 6.9% | 7.0% | | | | |
| Emerging* | 8.1% | 0.0% | 9.8% | - | | | | |
| Other | 0.0% | | -17.0% | | | | | |
| Cash | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | | | | |



| | R | | n <mark>ational</mark> E d Weights | Equity Per | formance At | | n oution Effec | ts | | | R | | n <mark>ational E</mark> d Weights | Equity Per | formance A | ttribution Attribut |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Manager Return | Index Return | Manager Weight | Index Weight | Selection A Effect | Ilocation Effect | Currency In Effect | teraction Effect | Total Effects | | Manager Return | | Manager Weight | Index Weight | Selection / Effect | Allocation C Effect |
| Europe | | | | | | | | | | AsiaPacific | | | | | | |
| Austria | 11.0% | 6.7% | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Australia | 12.2% | 10.5% | 5.3% | 8.7% | 0.1% | -0.1% |
| Belgium | 9.8% | 10.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | China* | 4.4% | 4.7% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Czech Republic* | 11.2% | 10.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Hong Kong India* | 12.9% 17.4% | 13.7% 15.4% | 1.1% 0.7% | 2.9% 0.0% | 0.0% 0.0% | -0.2% 0.0% |
| Denmark | 9.6% | 11.3% | 0.8% | 1.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Indonesia* | 7.5% | 7.4% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Finland | 3.7% | 10.6% | 0.4% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Japan | 1.0% | -0.9% | 22.5% | 21.7% | 0.0% | -0.1% |
| France | 8.4% | 7.4% | 9.4% | 9.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | Korea* | 7.4% | 9.9% | 2.4% | 0.0% | 0.4 % | 0.0% |
| Germany | 12.4% | 14.4% | 7.3% | 8.0% | -0.2% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.2% | Malaysia* | 6.5% | 5.3% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Greece | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | New Zealand | 10.4% | 15.9% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Hungary* | 9.6% | 9.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Philippines* | 4.4% | 4.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Ireland | 14.2% | -1.5% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Singapore | 9.3% | 10.6% | 4.1% | 1.9% | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| Italy | 7.9% | 7.6% | 2.1% | 2.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Taiwan* | 11.2% | 10.0% | 1.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| Netherlands | 5.1% | 8.9% | 6.6% | 2.4% | -0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | -0.2% | -0.1% | Thailand* | 27.0% | 11.1% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Norway | 9.6% | 13.6% | 0.8% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Other | 21.070 | 11.170 | 0.470 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| Poland* | 29.5% | 12.3% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | Egypt* | 22.3% | 22.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Portugal | 9.2% | 13.1% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Israel | 4.8% | 5.8% | 1.1% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Russia* | 8.8% | 9.3% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Morocco* | -3.3% | -3.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Spain | 5.1% | 11.6% | 3.0% | 2.7% | -0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.2% | South Africa* | 6.6% | 6.5% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Sweden | 11.9% | 10.6% | 1.1% | 3.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | Turkey* | 8.2% | 8.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Switzerland | 10.9% | 7.8% | 5.5% | 8.5% | 0.3% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.1% | Other | | | | | | |
| United Kingdom | 7.1% | 7.1% | 16.3% | 23.2% | 0.0% | 0.1% | -0.2% | 0.0% | -0.1% | Countries* | -17.0% | 7.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Americas | | | | | | | | | | Totals | C 00/ | 7.00/ | 04.00/ | 100.00/ | 0.40/ | 0.0% |
| Brazil* | 4.8% | 4.7% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Developed | 6.9% | 7.0% | 91.9% | 100.0% | -0.1% | |
| Canada | 10.4% | 10.4% | 3.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.2% | Emerging* | 9.8% | | 8.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Chile* | 1.1% | 1.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Other | -17.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Colombia* | 2.9% | 2.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | Cash | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Mexico* | 9.4% | 6.8% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| Peru* | 2.9% | 2.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| United States | -0.1% | 6.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |

ution Effects Currency Interaction Effect

NEPC, LLC

Total

Effects

-0.1%

0.0%

-0.2%

0.1%

0.0%

0.4%

0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.1%

0.1%

0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

-0.3%

0.4%

0.0%

0.0%

Effect

-0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

-0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.2%

0.0%

0.0%

-0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.1%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%


0.0%

-0.2%

0.2%

0.0%

0.0%



Int'l Equity Comp Performance Attribution vs. MSCI EAFE


Selection Effect 📕 Allocation Effect 🧮 Currency Effect 🔚 Interaction Effect 🔵 Total Effects

| | | | | Attribution Effects | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|--------|---------|---------------------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index | Selection | Allocation | Currency | Interaction | Total |
| | Return | Return | Weight | Weight | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effects |
| Totals | | - | | | | | | | |
| Americas | 9.1% | | 4.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.2% |
| Europe | 8.4% | 8.8% | 55.2% | 64.1% | -0.1% | -0.2% | -0.3% | 0.0% | -0.6% |
| Asia/Pacific | 5.1% | 3.8% | 39.2% | 35.4% | 0.4% | -0.1% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.5% |
| Other | 5.5% | 5.8% | 1.5% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Cash | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total | 7.1% | 7.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.3% | -0.3% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Totals | | | | | | | | | |
| Developed | 6.9% | 7.0% | 91.9% | 100.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.2% | 0.0% | -0.3% |
| Emerging* | 9.8% | | 8.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.4% |




Acadian

Acadian believes that the larger the pool of potential investments, the greater the potential opportunity an active manager has to add value. They believe that stocks and markets have many attributes that are related to potential outperformance, and that a successful investment approach must be multi-faceted and highly adaptable. They believe that objectivity is crucial to investment success. Acadian's quantitative process and extensive database allows them to apply their valuation techniques to over 40,000 stocks worldwide. They do not limit the universe other than to employ screens based on invest-ability and availability of data. For example, they only evaluate stocks that have sufficient daily trading volume to be appropriate for institutional investment. Other than these practical considerations, they consider our investment universe to encompass all institutionally investable stocks in the world.




eA All EAFE Equity Gross Accounts





Annualized Excess Performance





Characteristics


| | Portfolio | MSCI EAFE |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Number of Holdings | 424 | 920 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 35.4 | 50.3 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 0.6 | 6.9 |
| Price To Earnings | 11.7 | 15.0 |
| Price To Book | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Price To Sales | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 15.8 | 14.1 |
| Yield (%) | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |

Acadian

MSCI EAFE

68% Confidence Interval

• eA All EAFE Equity Gross




3 Year Risk Statistics

| | Country Alle | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) Al | location (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| Americas | | | | |
| Brazil* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Canada | 3.7% | 0.0% | 10.1% | 10.4% |
| Chile* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Colombia* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Mexico* | 0.1% | 0.0% | 32.0% | 6.8% |
| Peru* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| United States | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.6% | 6.2% |
| Total-Americas | 3.8% | 0.0% | 10.4% | |
| Europe | | | | |
| Austria | 0.7% | 0.3% | 11.5% | 6.7% |
| Belgium | 2.3% | 1.1% | 9.8% | 10.1% |
| Czech Republic* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Denmark | 1.6% | 1.1% | 9.2% | 11.3% |
| Finland | 0.6% | 0.7% | 1.2% | 10.6% |
| France | 3.9% | 9.2% | 23.2% | 7.4% |
| Germany | 9.3% | 8.0% | 12.5% | 14.4% |
| Greece | 0.0% | 0.1% | | 0.5% |
| Hungary* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Ireland | 0.0% | 0.3% | 12.7% | -1.5% |
| Italy | 1.0% | 2.2% | 14.1% | 7.6% |
| Netherlands | 7.5% | 2.4% | 1.9% | 8.9% |
| Norway | 1.7% | 0.9% | 8.9% | 13.6% |
| Poland* | 0.4% | 0.0% | 36.1% | 12.3% |
| Portugal | 0.0% | 0.2% | 2.6% | 13.1% |
| Russia* | 0.0% | 0.0% | 9.7% | 9.3% |
| Spain | 0.1% | 2.7% | 14.8% | 11.6% |
| Sweden | 1.8% | 3.1% | 12.5% | 10.6% |
| Switzerland | 3.7% | 8.5% | 12.4% | 7.8% |
| United Kingdom | 15.4% | 23.2% | 8.8% | 7.1% |
| Total-Europe | 50.0% | 64.1% | 10.3% | 8.8% |
| - | | | | |

| | Country A | llocation | | |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) | Allocation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| AsiaPacific | | | | |
| Australia | 5.1% | 8.7% | 8.2% | 10.5% |
| China* | 0.3% | 0.0% | 5.9% | 1.4% |
| Hong Kong | 1.6% | 3.0% | 5.1% | 12.4% |
| India* | 0.9% | 0.0% | -6.4% | -0.4% |
| Indonesia* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Japan | 27.0% | 21.8% | -0.7% | -0.9% |
| Korea* | 4.3% | 0.0% | 5.9% | 3.6% |
| Malaysia* | 0.1% | 0.0% | 9.9% | 3.1% |
| New Zealand | 0.2% | 0.1% | 9.8% | 15.9% |
| Philippines* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Singapore | 5.2% | 1.9% | 7.9% | 10.6% |
| Taiwan* | 0.8% | 0.0% | 4.0% | 0.8% |
| Thailand* | 0.7% | 0.0% | 12.2% | 2.6% |
| Total-AsiaPacific | 46.3% | 35.5% | 2.4% | 3.7% |
| Other | | | | |
| Egypt* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Israel | 0.1% | 0.6% | -14.7% | 6.0% |
| Morocco* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Other Countries | 0.0% | 0.0% | -24.3% | 1.1% |
| South Africa* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Turkey* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Total-Other | 0.1% | 0.6% | -16.0% | 6.0% |
| Totals | | | | |
| Developed | 92.3% | 100.0% | 2.0% | 7.0% |
| Emerging* | 7.7% | 0.0% | 5.0% | |
| Other | 0.0% | | -24.3% | |
| Cash | 0.0% | | | |





Acadian Performance Attribution vs. MSCI EAFE

🧾 Selection Effect 📕 Allocation Effect 📕 Currency Effect 🔚 Interaction Effect 🌔 Total Effects

| | | Returns and | Weights | | | Attri | bution Effects | | |
|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------|------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index | Selection | Allocation | Currency | Interaction | Total |
| | Return | Return | Weight | Weight | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effects |
| Totals | | - | | | | | | | |
| Americas | 10.4% | | 3.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.2% |
| Europe | 10.3% | 8.8% | 50.0% | 64.1% | 0.9% | -0.2% | -0.3% | -0.2% | 0.2% |
| Asia/Pacific | 4.7% | 3.8% | 46.1% | 35.4% | 0.2% | -0.3% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 0.3% |
| Other | -36.5% | 5.8% | 0.1% | 0.6% | -0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% |
| Total | 7.7% | 7.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.9% | -0.6% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.7% |
| Totals | | | | | | | | | |
| Developed | 7.4% | 7.0% | 92.3% | 100.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% |
| Emerging* | 11.1% | | 7.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.5% |
| Other | -17.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |



September 30, 2012


Mondrian


The firm is an active value-oriented defensive manager. The firm's value driven investment philosophy is based on the belief that investments need to be evaluated in terms of their fundamental long-term value. The firm believes that the value of a company lies in its future income stream as dividends represent the most direct form of cash flow to a shareholder. The firm uses an inflation adjusted dividend discount model to derive the underlying value of a company. This methodology is applied consistently to individual securities $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ across all markets and industries. The universe spans markets covered in the MSCI Indices and those developing markets with adequate investor protection $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ MSCI Indices and those developing markets with adequate investor protection and good repatriation procedures. The firm will then narrow the universe to a point of more than 1000 securities on the basis of value criteria, and then further reduce this to a shorter list of approximately 250 securities annually, characteristics such as price to book, price to cash flow ratio, price to earnings ratio, and yield, as well as liquidity considerations. A focus list of approximately 80 securities is then created from that list of approximately 250 through fundamental research and deliberations of the Equity Strategy Committee. It is this list of securities on which more detailed fundamental analysis and financial modeling is carried out utilizing the dividend discount model, which is based on an evaluation of a company's future income stream, which is then discounted in real terms.



eA All EAFE Equity Gross Accounts







Characteristics


| | Portfolio | MSCI EAFE |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Number of Holdings | 52 | 920 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 53.4 | 50.3 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 30.2 | 6.9 |
| Price To Earnings | 14.1 | 15.0 |
| Price To Book | 1.4 | 2.4 |
| Price To Sales | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 13.3 | 14.1 |
| Yield (%) | 4.6 | 3.5 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |



MSCI EAFE

68% Confidence Interval

eA All EAFE Equity Gross




3 Year Risk Statistics

| | Country All | ocation | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) Al | llocation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| Americas | | | | |
| Brazil* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Canada | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Chile* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Colombia* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Mexico* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Peru* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| United States | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Total-Americas | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Europe | | | | |
| Austria | 0.0% | 0.3% | | 6.7% |
| Belgium | 0.0% | 1.1% | | 10.1% |
| Czech Republic* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Denmark | 0.0% | 1.1% | | 11.3% |
| Finland | 0.0% | 0.7% | | 10.6% |
| France | 16.3% | 9.2% | 5.1% | 7.4% |
| Germany | 6.2% | 8.0% | 11.5% | 14.4% |
| Greece | 0.0% | 0.1% | | 0.5% |
| Hungary* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Ireland | 0.0% | 0.3% | | -1.5% |
| Italy | 3.5% | 2.2% | 6.3% | 7.6% |
| Netherlands | 7.4% | 2.4% | 8.1% | 8.9% |
| Norway | 0.0% | 0.9% | | 13.6% |
| Poland* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Portugal | 0.0% | 0.2% | | 13.1% |
| Russia* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Spain | 6.4% | 2.7% | 4.1% | 11.6% |
| Sweden | 0.0% | 3.1% | | 10.6% |
| Switzerland | 7.2% | 8.5% | 11.2% | 7.8% |
| United Kingdom | 17.7% | 23.2% | 5.7% | 7.1% |
| Total-Europe | 64.7% | 64.1% | 6.9% | 8.8% |

| | Country Allo | cation | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) Allo | cation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| AsiaPacific | | | | |
| Australia | 5.2% | 8.7% | 10.8% | 10.5% |
| China* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Hong Kong | 0.0% | 2.9% | | 13.7% |
| India* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Indonesia* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Japan | 21.7% | 21.7% | 2.6% | -0.9% |
| Korea* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Malaysia* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| New Zealand | 0.0% | 0.1% | | 15.9% |
| Philippines* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Singapore | 4.2% | 1.9% | 9.4% | 10.6% |
| Taiwan* | 1.7% | 0.0% | 16.8% | 10.9% |
| Thailand* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Total-AsiaPacific | 32.9% | 35.4% | 5.5% | 3.8% |
| Other | | | | |
| Egypt* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Israel | 2.4% | 0.6% | 5.6% | 5.8% |
| Morocco* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| South Africa* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Turkey* | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Total-Other | 2.4% | 0.6% | 5.6% | 5.8% |
| Totals | | | | |
| Developed | 98.3% | 100.0% | 6.2% | 7.0% |
| Emerging* | 1.7% | 0.0% | 16.8% | |
| Other | 0.0% | | | |
| Cash | 0.0% | | | |





Mondrian Performance Attribution vs. MSCI EAFE

🧾 Selection Effect 📕 Allocation Effect 📕 Currency Effect 📕 Interaction Effect 🔵 Total Effects

| | | Returns and | Weights | | | Attri | bution Effects | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | Manager Return | Index Return | Manager Weight | Index Weight | Selection Effect | Allocation Effect | Currency Effect | Interaction Effect | Total Effects |
| Totals | | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.0% |
| Europe | 6.9% | 8.8% | 64.7% | 64.1% | -1.0% | 0.0% | -0.2% | 0.0% | -1.2% |
| Asia/Pacific | 5.5% | 3.8% | 32.9% | 35.4% | 0.5% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.6% |
| Other | 5.6% | 5.8% | 2.4% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total | 6.4% | 7.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | -0.5% | 0.1% | -0.2% | -0.1% | -0.6% |
| Totals | | | | | | | | | |
| Developed | 6.2% | 7.0% | 98.3% | 100.0% | -0.6% | 0.0% | -0.2% | 0.0% | -0.8% |
| Emerging* | 16.8% | | 1.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% |

The MSCI ACWI ex US Index Strategy tends to hold each security that is held in the benchmark index, but the strategy will not be perfectly weighted in every index constituent on a daily basis. Holding securities in the perfect weights would require increased trading and therefore would incur costs. Thus, there will be securities in the fund that are either overor under-weighted relative to the benchmark. The individual security mis-weights are very minimal, usually within 1 basis point. However, in aggregate, these small mis-weights can add up and result in tracking error if the mis-weighted securities' performance is volatile. SSgA seek to replicate the index by attempting to hold every security in the fund in its appropriate index weight. SSgA trade only when there is a change to the Index, when we have participant cash flows, or when we receive significant dividend income into the fund.

Characteristics

| | | MSCI |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| | Portfolio | ACWI ex |
| | | USA |
| Number of Holdings | 1,864 | 1,837 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 44.9 | 45.1 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Price To Earnings | 14.9 | 14.7 |
| Price To Book | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| Price To Sales | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 17.0 | 15.5 |
| Yield (%) | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |

eA ACWI ex-US All Cap Equity Gross Accounts



SSgA ACWI Ex US

| | Country A | llocation | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) | Allocation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| Americas | | | | |
| Brazil* | 3.2% | 3.1% | 4.8% | 4.8% |
| Canada | 8.0% | 8.0% | 10.8% | 10.7% |
| Chile* | 0.5% | 0.5% | 1.1% | 1.2% |
| Colombia* | 0.3% | 0.3% | 2.9% | 2.9% |
| Mexico* | 1.2% | 1.2% | 6.7% | 6.7% |
| Peru* | 0.2% | 0.2% | 2.9% | 2.9% |
| United States | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 6.2% |
| Total-Americas | 13.5% | 13.3% | 8.3% | 8.4% |
| Europe | | | | |
| Austria | 0.2% | 0.2% | 6.7% | 6.7% |
| Belgium | 0.8% | 0.8% | 10.1% | 10.1% |
| Czech Republic* | 0.1% | 0.1% | 11.2% | 11.2% |
| Denmark | 0.8% | 0.8% | 11.3% | 11.3% |
| Finland | 0.5% | 0.5% | 10.6% | 10.6% |
| France | 6.0% | 6.3% | 7.8% | 7.4% |
| Germany | 5.5% | 5.5% | 14.4% | 14.4% |
| Greece | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Hungary* | 0.1% | 0.1% | 9.6% | 9.6% |
| Ireland | 0.1% | 0.2% | 15.3% | -1.5% |
| Italy | 1.4% | 1.5% | 7.3% | 7.6% |
| Luxembourg | 0.1% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 7.5% |
| Netherlands | 2.8% | 1.6% | 6.0% | 8.9% |
| Norway | 0.6% | 0.6% | 13.6% | 13.6% |
| Poland* | 0.4% | 0.3% | 13.8% | 13.8% |
| Portugal | 0.1% | 0.1% | 13.1% | 13.1% |
| Russia* | 1.0% | 1.4% | 8.8% | 9.4% |
| Spain | 1.8% | 1.8% | 11.7% | 11.6% |
| Sweden | 2.1% | 2.1% | 10.9% | 10.6% |
| Switzerland | 5.7% | 5.8% | 7.9% | 7.8% |
| United Kingdom | 15.1% | 15.9% | 7.2% | 7.1% |
| Total-Europe | 45.1% | 45.5% | 8.9% | 8.9% |

| | Country Allo | cation | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) Allo | ocation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| AsiaPacific | | | | |
| Australia | 6.0% | 6.0% | 10.5% | 10.5% |
| China* | 4.0% | 4.2% | 4.6% | 4.6% |
| Hong Kong | 2.3% | 2.0% | 12.0% | 13.7% |
| India* | 1.6% | 1.5% | 15.5% | 15.5% |
| Indonesia* | 0.7% | 0.7% | 7.5% | 7.5% |
| Japan | 14.8% | 14.8% | -0.9% | -0.9% |
| Korea* | 3.6% | 3.6% | 9.8% | 9.8% |
| Malaysia* | 0.9% | 0.9% | 5.6% | 5.6% |
| New Zealand | 0.1% | 0.1% | 15.9% | 15.9% |
| Philippines* | 0.2% | 0.2% | 4.4% | 4.4% |
| Singapore | 1.3% | 1.3% | 10.6% | 10.6% |
| Taiwan* | 2.7% | 2.6% | 11.8% | 11.8% |
| Thailand* | 0.4% | 0.5% | 6.4% | 11.2% |
| Total-AsiaPacific | 38.6% | 38.4% | 5.6% | 5.7% |
| Other | | | | |
| Egypt* | 0.1% | 0.1% | 22.3% | 22.3% |
| Israel | 0.4% | 0.4% | 5.8% | 5.8% |
| Morocco* | 0.0% | 0.0% | -3.3% | -3.3% |
| South Africa* | 1.9% | 1.9% | 6.6% | 6.6% |
| Turkey* | 0.4% | 0.4% | 8.2% | 8.2% |
| Total-Other | 2.8% | 2.8% | 7.1% | 7.1% |
| Totals | | | | |
| Developed | 76.6% | 76.2% | 7.4% | 7.4% |
| Emerging* | 23.4% | 23.8% | 7.8% | 7.9% |
| Other | 0.0% | | | |
| Cash | 0.0% | | 0.0% | |

9a Yf[]b['A Uf_Yh'9ei]hm



JYfacbh'GhUhY'HYUWXYfg

Emerging Market Comp

| | | | | 3 Ye | ears Endin | g September | 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Emerging Market Comp | 6.11% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aberdeen | 6.11% | 13.95% | 4 | 19.77% | 12 | 8.95% | 5 | 4.84% | 57 | 1.08 | 4 | 79.89% | 7 |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | | 5.64% | 99 | 21.84% | 99 | 0.00% | 99 | 0.00% | 99 | 0.38 | 99 | 100.00% | 99 |



JYfa cbh'GhUhY'HYUWXYfg

Emerging Market Comp

| | | | | 5 Ye | ears Endin | ig September | 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Emerging Market Comp | 6.11% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aberdeen | 6.11% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | | | | | | | | | | | | | |




J Yfa cbh'GHJhY HYUW Yfg Emerging Market Comp

Equity Characteristics

| | Portfolio ^{MS} | SCI Emerging Markets |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Number of Holdings | 68 | 817 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 32.2 | 35.1 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 13.2 | 4.4 |
| Price To Earnings | 17.8 | 15.1 |
| Price To Book | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 22.3 | 18.6 |
| Yield (%) | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |

| | Regional Allocation | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Emerging Market Comp | MSCI Emerging Markets |
| Region Weighting | | |
| North America ex U.S. | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| United States | 2.32 | 0.00 |
| Europe Ex U.K. | 2.28 | 0.00 |
| United Kingdom | 4.51 | 0.00 |
| Pacific Basin Ex Japan | 6.94 | 0.13 |
| Japan | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Emerging Markets | 83.52 | 99.87 |
| Other | 0.44 | 0.00 |

Equity Sector Allocation





JYfacbh'GhUhY'HYUWXYfg

Emerging Market Comp

| Top Ten Holdings | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| SAMSUNG ELTN.PF. | 4.1% |
| CHINA MOBILE | 4.0% |
| TAIWAN SEMICON.MNFG. | 3.5% |
| PTRO.BRAO.ADR 1:2 | 3.3% |
| BNC.BRADESCO PF.SPN.ADR 1:1 | 3.3% |
| FOM.ECO.MEXNO.SAB DE CV SPN.ADR 1:10 | 3.1% |
| ASTRA INTERNATIONAL | 3.0% |
| GFNORTE 'O' | 3.0% |
| VALE PREFERRED ADR 1:1 | 2.9% |
| LUKOIL OAO SPN.ADR 1:1 | 2.8% |

| | Relative Contribution % | Return % |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| PTRO.BRAO.ADR 1:2 | 0.6% | 21.7% |
| HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FIN. | 0.5% | 25.5% |
| TENARIS ADS. 1:2 | 0.4% | 16.6% |
| ULTRATECH CEMENT | 0.3% | 38.0% |
| SAMSUNG ELTN.PF. | 0.3% | 8.6% |
| GRASIM INDUSTRIES | 0.3% | 33.8% |
| GFNORTE 'O' | 0.3% | 9.9% |
| MULTIPLAN ON | 0.3% | 20.8% |
| BNC.BRADESCO PF.SPN.ADR 1:1 | 0.3% | 8.3% |
| LUKOIL OAO SPN.ADR 1:1 | 0.3% | 9.7% |

Top Positive Contributors

Top Negative Contributors

| | Relative | |
|--|----------------|----------|
| | Contribution % | Return % |
| PTT EXPLORATION & PRDN. FB | -0.6% | -32.7% |
| VALE PREFERRED ADR 1:1 | -0.4% | -11.0% |
| BANCO SANTANDER-CHILE SPN.ADR 1:400 | -0.1% | -5.5% |
| HERO MOTOCORP | -0.1% | -5.3% |
| SOUZA CRUZ ON | -0.1% | -5.7% |
| BHARTI AIRTEL | 0.0% | -7.5% |
| MASSMART | 0.0% | -1.1% |
| ARA | 0.0% | -5.5% |
| E-MART | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| DANUBIUS HOTEL & SPA | 0.0% | 8.7% |

Equity Sector Attribution

| | | Attribution Effects | | | F | Returns | Secto | Sector Weights | |
|---------------|---------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|--|
| | Total | Selection | Allocation | Interaction | | | | | |
| | Effects | Effect | Effect | Effects | Portfolio | Benchmark | Portfolio | Benchmark | |
| Energy | -0.8% | -0.6% | 0.1% | -0.3% | 4.9% | 10.9% | 15.0% | 12.5% | |
| Materials | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 7.5% | 5.9% | 7.2% | 12.7% | |
| Industrials | 0.2% | 0.8% | 0.2% | -0.8% | 15.5% | 4.6% | 1.4% | 6.9% | |
| Cons. Disc. | -0.4% | -0.3% | 0.0% | -0.2% | 5.4% | 10.7% | 8.8% | 7.8% | |
| Cons. Staples | -0.3% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 4.2% | 6.8% | 12.3% | 8.2% | |
| Health Care | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 5.3% | 11.3% | 2.4% | 1.2% | |
| Financials | 0.9% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.5% | 9.5% | 7.3% | 34.4% | 24.9% | |
| Info. Tech | -0.2% | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 11.0% | 11.0% | 9.9% | 13.9% | |
| Telecomm. | -0.2% | -0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 5.5% | 7.8% | 6.3% | 8.2% | |
| Utilities | 0.3% | | 0.4% | | | -1.0% | 0.0% | 3.8% | |
| Cash | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 2.3% | 0.0% | |
| Portfolio | -0.6% | = 0.0% | + 0.5% | + -1.0% | 7.3% | 7.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |

JYfacbh'GhUhY'HYUWXYfg

Emerging Market Comp

| | Country A | llocation | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) | Allocation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| Americas | | - | | |
| Brazil* | 16.8% | 13.2% | 5.5% | 4.8% |
| Canada | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Chile* | 1.8% | 2.0% | -5.5% | 1.2% |
| Colombia* | 0.0% | 1.3% | | 2.9% |
| Mexico* | 8.8% | 5.0% | 6.9% | 6.7% |
| Peru* | 0.0% | 0.7% | | 2.9% |
| United States | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Total-Americas | 27.4% | 22.2% | 5.3% | 4.7% |
| Europe | | | | |
| Austria | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Belgium | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Czech Republic* | 0.0% | 0.3% | | 11.2% |
| Denmark | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Finland | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| France | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Germany | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Greece | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Hungary* | 1.8% | 0.3% | 5.8% | 9.6% |
| Ireland | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Italy | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Luxembourg | 2.1% | 0.0% | 16.6% | 7.9% |
| Netherlands | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Norway | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Poland* | 1.7% | 1.4% | 8.5% | 13.8% |
| Portugal | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Russia* | 2.7% | 5.7% | 9.7% | 9.4% |
| Spain | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Sweden | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Switzerland | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| United Kingdom | 3.9% | 0.0% | 7.5% | 7.0% |
| Total-Europe | 12.3% | 7.8% | 9.5% | 10.3% |


Country Allocation Versus MSCI Emerging Markets - Quarter Ending September 30, 2012 Index Manager Manager Index Allocation (USD) Allocation (USD) Return (USD) Return (USD) AsiaPacific Australia 0.0% 0.0% ------China* 6.9% 17.8% 3.8% 4.6% Hong Kong 7.0% 0.0% 6.2% 12.5% India* 11.4% 6.5% 15.7% 15.5% 2.7% Indonesia* 3.0% 6.0% 7.5% Japan 0.0% 0.0% ------4.8% 9.8% Korea* 15.3% 7.3% Malaysia* 2.5% 3.6% 6.2% 5.6% New Zealand 0.0% 0.0% ------Philippines* 2.8% 0.9% 10.2% 4.4% Singapore 0.0% 0.0% ------Sri Lanka* 0.4% 0.0% 22.5% 7.9% 4.9% 11.1% 11.8% Taiwan* 16.7% Thailand* 5.2% 2.2% -1.4% 11.2% **Total-AsiaPacific** 48.8% 60.1% 8.8% 8.9% Other Egypt* 0.0% 0.3% 22.3% ---Israel 0.0% 0.0% ------Morocco* 0.0% 0.1% -3.3% ---South Africa* 3.9% 7.8% 6.6% 3.4% 1.7% 5.6% 8.2% 5.3% Turkey* **Total-Other** 9.2% 10.0% 4.7% 7.3% Totals 0.0% 8.3% Developed 13.0% ---Emerging* 84.7% 7.4% 100.0% 7.9% Other 0.0% ---Cash 2.3% 0.0%



| | International Equity Performance Attribution | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|------------|---------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | | eturns and | 0 | Attribution Effects Index Selection Allocation Currency Interaction Tota | | | | | | | |
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index | | | • | | Total | | |
| | Return | Return | Weight | Weight | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effects | | |
| Europe | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Austria | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Belgium | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Czech Republic* | | 11.2% | 0.0% | 0.3% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Denmark | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Finland | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| France | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Germany | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Greece | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Hungary* | 5.8% | 9.6% | 1.8% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Ireland | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Italy | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Netherlands | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Norway | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Poland* | 8.5% | 13.8% | 1.7% | 1.4% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | | |
| Portugal | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Russia* | 9.7% | 9.4% | 2.7% | 5.7% | 0.2% | 0.0% | -0.2% | -0.1% | -0.1% | | |
| Spain | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Sweden | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Switzerland | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| United Kingdom | 7.5% | 7.0% | 3.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | |
| Americas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brazil* | 5.5% | 4.8% | 16.8% | 13.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | | |
| Canada | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Chile* | -5.5% | 1.2% | 1.8% | 2.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | | |
| Colombia* | | 2.9% | 0.0% | 1.3% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| Mexico* | 6.9% | 6.7% | 8.8% | 5.0% | 0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | | |
| Peru* | | 2.9% | 0.0% | 0.7% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |
| United States | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | |

International Equity Performance Attribution

| | R | eturns an | d Weights | | | Attri | bution Effect | ts | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|---------|
| | Manager | | Manager | Index | | | Currency Ir | | Total |
| | Return | Return | Weight | Weight | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effects |
| AsiaPacific | | | | | | | | | |
| Australia | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% |
| China* | 3.8% | 4.6% | 6.9% | 17.8% | -0.1% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Hong Kong | 6.2% | 12.5% | 7.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% | -0.4% | 0.0% |
| India* | 15.7% | 15.5% | 11.4% | 6.5% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.5% |
| Indonesia* | 6.0% | 7.5% | 3.0% | 2.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Japan | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% |
| Korea* | 7.3% | 9.8% | 4.8% | 15.3% | -0.4% | 0.0% | -0.3% | 0.3% | -0.5% |
| Malaysia* | 6.2% | 5.6% | 2.5% | 3.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| New Zealand | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% |
| Philippines* | 10.2% | 4.4% | 2.8% | 0.9% | 0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Singapore | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% |
| Taiwan* | 16.7% | 11.8% | 4.9% | 11.1% | 0.5% | -0.2% | -0.1% | -0.3% | -0.1% |
| Thailand* | -1.4% | 11.2% | 5.2% | 2.2% | -0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% | -0.4% | -0.5% |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| Egypt* | | 22.3% | 0.0% | 0.3% | | -0.1% | 0.0% | | -0.1% |
| Israel | | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | 0.0% | | 0.0% |
| Morocco* | | -3.3% | 0.0% | 0.1% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.0% |
| South Africa* | 3.4% | 6.6% | 3.9% | 7.8% | -0.3% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | -0.1% |
| Turkey* | 5.6% | 8.2% | 5.3% | 1.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | -0.1% |
| Other Countries* | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | | | | |
| Totals | | | | | | | | | |
| Developed | 8.3% | | 13.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.3% |
| Emerging* | 7.4% | 7.9% | 84.7% | 100.0% | -0.5% | 0.0% | -0.3% | 0.1% | -0.7% |
| Cash | 0.0% | | 2.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% |



Emerging Market Comp Performance Attribution vs. MSCI Emerging Markets

🧾 Selection Effect 📕 Allocation Effect 🔚 Currency Effect 🔚 Interaction Effect 🌔 Total Effects


| | Returns and Weights | | | | | | Attribution Effects | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|------------|---------------------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index | Selection | Allocation | Currency | Interaction | Total | | |
| | Return | Return | Weight | Weight | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effects | | |
| Totals | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | 5.3% | 4.7% | 27.4% | 22.2% | 0.2% | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | |
| Europe | 9.5% | 10.3% | 12.3% | 7.8% | 0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | |
| Asia/Pacific | 8.8% | 8.9% | 48.8% | 60.1% | -0.3% | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.4% | | |
| Other | 4.7% | 7.3% | 9.2% | 10.0% | -0.3% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | -0.3% | | |
| Cash | 0.0% | | 2.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | | |
| Total | 7.3% | 7.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | -0.2% | -0.3% | -0.3% | 0.2% | -0.6% | | |
| Totals | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Developed | 8.3% | | 13.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.3% | | |
| Emerging* | 7.4% | 7.9% | 84.7% | 100.0% | -0.5% | 0.0% | -0.3% | 0.1% | -0.7% | | |



September 30, 2012

Aberdeen


Aberdeen believes, given the inefficiency of markets, that competitive long-term returns are achieved by identifying high quality stocks at attractive valuations and holding for the long term. It is their belief that sound fundamentals drive stock prices over time. They employ a fundamental bottom-up investment approach based upon a rigorous and disciplined proprietary research effort which originates with direct company due diligence visits. Portfolios are constructed to maximize their level of exposure to the most attractive companies filtered from the stock selection process. They run a representative or model' unconstrained portfolio that contains approximately 30 - 70 stocks at any given time. Once a stock has received unanimous approval by the team, the senior investment team members then decide which portfolio category it should enter (i.e. country, regional, Global Emerging Markets) and at what weighting. The Head of Global Emerging Markets then has responsibility to ensure that the decision is implemented.




eA Emg Mkts Equity Gross Accounts



Annualized Excess Performance




NEPC, LLC



3 Year Risk Return


Characteristics

| | Portfolio | MSCI Emerging Markets |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Number of Holdings | 68 | 817 |
| Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) | 32.2 | 35.1 |
| Median Market Cap. (\$B) | 13.2 | 4.4 |
| Price To Earnings | 17.8 | 15.1 |
| Price To Book | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| Price To Sales | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| Return on Equity (%) | 22.3 | 18.6 |
| Yield (%) | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Beta | | 1.0 |
| R-Squared | | 1.0 |



68% Confidence Interval

eA Emg Mkts Equity Gross




3 Year Risk Statistics

| | Country A Manager | Allocation Index | Manager | Index |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Allocation (USD) | | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| Americas | | | | |
| Brazil* | 16.8% | 13.2% | 5.5% | 4.8% |
| Canada | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Chile* | 1.8% | 2.0% | -5.5% | 1.2% |
| Colombia* | 0.0% | 1.3% | | 2.9% |
| Mexico* | 8.8% | 5.0% | 6.9% | 6.7% |
| Peru* | 0.0% | 0.7% | | 2.9% |
| United States | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Total-Americas | 27.4% | 22.2% | 5.3% | 4.7% |
| Europe | | | | |
| Austria | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Belgium | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Czech Republic* | 0.0% | 0.3% | | 11.2% |
| Denmark | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Finland | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| France | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Germany | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Greece | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Hungary* | 1.8% | 0.3% | 5.8% | 9.6% |
| Ireland | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Italy | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Luxembourg | 2.1% | 0.0% | 16.6% | 7.9% |
| Netherlands | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Norway | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Poland* | 1.7% | 1.4% | 8.5% | 13.8% |
| Portugal | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Russia* | 2.7% | 5.7% | 9.7% | 9.4% |
| Spain | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Sweden | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Switzerland | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| United Kingdom | 3.9% | 0.0% | 7.5% | 7.0% |
| Total-Europe | 12.3% | 7.8% | 9.5% | 10.3% |

| | Country Al | location | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index |
| | Allocation (USD) A | Allocation (USD) | Return (USD) | Return (USD) |
| AsiaPacific | | | | |
| Australia | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| China* | 6.9% | 17.8% | 3.8% | 4.6% |
| Hong Kong | 7.0% | 0.0% | 6.2% | 12.5% |
| India* | 11.4% | 6.5% | 15.7% | 15.5% |
| Indonesia* | 3.0% | 2.7% | 6.0% | 7.5% |
| Japan | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Korea* | 4.8% | 15.3% | 7.3% | 9.8% |
| Malaysia* | 2.5% | 3.6% | 6.2% | 5.6% |
| New Zealand | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Philippines* | 2.8% | 0.9% | 10.2% | 4.4% |
| Singapore | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Sri Lanka* | 0.4% | 0.0% | 22.5% | 7.9% |
| Taiwan* | 4.9% | 11.1% | 16.7% | 11.8% |
| Thailand* | 5.2% | 2.2% | -1.4% | 11.2% |
| Total-AsiaPacific | 48.8% | 60.1% | 8.8% | 8.9% |
| Other | | | | |
| Egypt* | 0.0% | 0.3% | | 22.3% |
| Israel | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Morocco* | 0.0% | 0.1% | | -3.3% |
| South Africa* | 3.9% | 7.8% | 3.4% | 6.6% |
| Turkey* | 5.3% | 1.7% | 5.6% | 8.2% |
| Total-Other | 9.2% | 10.0% | 4.7% | 7.3% |
| Totals | | | | |
| Developed | 13.0% | 0.0% | 8.3% | |
| Emerging* | 84.7% | 100.0% | 7.4% | 7.9% |
| Other | 0.0% | | | |
| Cash | 2.3% | | 0.0% | |





Aberdeen Performance Attribution vs. MSCI Emerging Markets

Selection Effect 📕 Allocation Effect 📕 Currency Effect 📕 Interaction Effect 🌔 Total Effects

| | Returns and Weights | | | | | | bution Effects | | |
|--------------|---------------------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| | Manager | Index | Manager | Index | Selection | Allocation | Currency | Interaction | Total |
| | Return | Return | Weight | Weight | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effect | Effects |
| Totals | | - | | | | | | | |
| Americas | 5.3% | 4.7% | 27.4% | 22.2% | 0.2% | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Europe | 9.5% | 10.3% | 12.3% | 7.8% | 0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Asia/Pacific | 8.8% | 8.9% | 48.8% | 60.1% | -0.3% | -0.1% | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.4% |
| Other | 4.7% | 7.3% | 9.2% | 10.0% | -0.3% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | -0.3% |
| Cash | 0.0% | | 2.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.1% |
| Total | 7.3% | 7.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | -0.2% | -0.3% | -0.3% | 0.2% | -0.6% |
| Totals | | | | | | | | | |
| Developed | 8.3% | | 13.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.3% |
| Emerging* | 7.4% | 7.9% | 84.7% | 100.0% | -0.5% | 0.0% | -0.3% | 0.1% | -0.7% |







Vermont State Teachers

Core Fixed

| 3 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Diversified Fixed | 18.02% | 8.51% | 35 | 3.12% | 63 | 2.74% | 33 | 1.84% | 40 | 6.34 | 20 | 61.01% | 53 |
| Barclays Aggregate | | 6.19% | 62 | 2.70% | 52 | 0.00% | 85 | 0.00% | 1 | 3.26 | 68 | 100.00% | 79 |
| Allianz Structured Alpha | 2.88% | 11.49% | 2 | 11.07% | 99 | 12.80% | 1 | 14.21% | 99 | 0.77 | 99 | -42.16% | 1 |
| Citigroup 10 Year Treasury | | 8.36% | 13 | 7.71% | 99 | 0.00% | 99 | 0.00% | 1 | 1.74 | 99 | 100.00% | 99 |
| PIMCO Core Plus | 6.27% | 7.92% | 22 | 2.94% | 81 | 1.74% | 33 | 1.17% | 69 | 3.88 | 62 | 79.96% | 46 |
| Barclays Aggregate | | 6.19% | 62 | 2.70% | 52 | 0.00% | 85 | 0.00% | 1 | 3.26 | 68 | 100.00% | 79 |
| PIMCO Unconstrained | 6.09% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25% HY, 25% EMD, 50% BC Agg | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wellington DAS | 2.78% | 10.58% | 2 | 5.64% | 99 | 4.26% | 80 | 5.11% | 1 | 4.50 | 46 | 44.05% | 99 |
| Wellington Custom Benchmark | | 11.80% | 2 | 9.41% | 99 | 0.00% | 99 | 0.00% | 1 | 3.27 | 83 | 100.00% | 99 |




Vermont State Teachers

Core Fixed


| 5 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Diversified Fixed | 18.02% | 5.77% | 72 | 6.73% | 74 | -2.24% | 94 | 5.13% | 73 | 0.73 | 90 | 183.41% | 88 |
| Barclays Aggregate | | 6.53% | 59 | 3.57% | 42 | 0.00% | 80 | 0.00% | 1 | 2.65 | 33 | 100.00% | 65 |
| Allianz Structured Alpha | 2.88% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Citigroup 10 Year Treasury | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PIMCO Core Plus | 6.27% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barclays Aggregate | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PIMCO Unconstrained | 6.09% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25% HY, 25% EMD, 50% BC Agg | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wellington DAS | 2.78% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wellington Custom Benchmark | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Core Fixed




3 Year Risk Return





3 Year Style Analysis



Core Fixed








Sectors


Allianz Structured Alpha

Allianz uses an alpha enhancement strategy that targets a return stream with low or no correlation with the underlying BC Aggregate benchmark. The objective of the fund seeks to deliver 5% net of fees annually on top of the benchmark with a tracking error of 2-4%. The strategy analyzes historical behavior of the S&P 500 Index and develops a distribution of expected returns, then the fund constructions profit zones using puts and calls which at expiration the underlying ends up in the profit zone. Strategies containing the buying or selling of options may produce losses and profits similar to leverage and may exposure the account to risk related to the underlying security and the option itself.




eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Accounts


Allianz Structured Alpha
 A Citigroup 10 Year Treasury



Annualized Excess Performance








68% Confidence Interval

eA All US Fixed Inc Gross


3 Year Risk Statistics



September 30, 2012

PIMCO Core Plus


PIMCO's investment process expects to generate above-benchmark returns by building diversified, risk-averse portfolios based upon their long-term secular outlook. This is achieved by analyzing fundamental global trends in such areas as political factors, inflation, volatility, growth, and currency, amongst others. The three to five-year secular outlook is the core element of their decision-making process, and serves as a framework for portfolio implementation. In applying the secular outlook to the portfolio, such tools as duration position, yield curve posture and sector allocation are expressed to best represent the firm's view on the macro-economic environment. Bottom-up analysis of specific securities is also an important part of the firm's philosophy. Bonds are evaluated on a rich/cheap basis and fundamental research determines strong portfolio candidates.



▲ Barclays Aggregate


PIMCO Core Plus

Annualized Excess Performance



NEPC, LLC


PIMCO Core Plus



September 30, 2012


PIMCO Unconstrained

The PIMCO Unconstrained Bond Strategy is an absolute return-oriented, investment grade quality fixed income strategy that embodies PIMCO's secular thinking, global themes, and integrated investment process without the constraints of a benchmark or significant sector/instrument limitations. The strategy is designed to offer the traditional benefits of a core bond portfolio - seeks maximum long-term return consistent with capital preservation and prudent management- but with higher potential alpha and the potential to mitigate downside risk to a greater degree than what is reasonably possible from traditional active fixed income management approaches as the strategy allows for more manager discretion to adjust duration exposure, allocate across sectors and otherwise express the firm's active views. The strategy is governed by PIMCO's investment philosophy and unique, disciplined secular investment process, which focuses on long-term economic, social and political trends that may have lasting impacts on investment returns.




eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Accounts

PIMCO Unconstrained
 A 25% HY, 25% EMD, 50% BC Agg




Annualized Excess Performance






Characteristics








Sectors




Wellington DAS

The objective of the Diversified Alpha Strategies - Absolute Return (DAS) Portfolio is to provide positive absolute returns over cash or other market exposure (beta) over rolling 3-year periods with expected volatility of 3 to 6%. The DAS Portfolio aims to provide consistent returns by investing in diverse, market-neutral alpha sources that have low or negative correlations with each other. The mix of alpha sources is rebalanced periodically, and the target mix may change over time. The DAS Portfolio can be combined with desired market (beta) exposure through the use of derivative instruments. Drawing on a diversified basket of alpha sources from across a broad investment universe, rather than a single alpha source, should increase the efficiency of the return/risk ratio and increase the likelihood of achieving consistent returns. For this reason, the DAS Portfolio includes a variety of alpha sources: market neutral equity and fixed income strategies, overlay strategies (currency, fixed income, asset allocation), and long-only equity strategies from which they try to remove structural market risk through short equity index future or swap positions. The allocation to each alpha source is determined in a contribution to risk framework in the context of the expected aggregate DAS Portfolio characteristics. The target weights of the underlying alpha sources have been set using risk budgeting, with a goal of achieving a balanced contribution to risk across the alpha sources, rather than focusing only on the absolute or percent cash allocation.




eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Accounts



Annualized Excess Performance








- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All US Fixed Inc Gross

3 Year Risk Statistics





September 30, 2012





Vermont State Teachers

High Yield Comp

| | 3 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| High Yield Comp | 5.80% | 11.96% | 68 | 5.92% | 25 | 1.64% | 29 | 1.79% | 63 | 3.09 | 30 | 75.90% | 23 |
| Barclays High Yield | | 12.90% | 40 | 7.31% | 70 | 0.00% | 69 | 0.00% | 1 | 2.63 | 60 | 100.00% | 69 |
| Post Advisory | 4.80% | 12.22% | 62 | 5.88% | 25 | 2.00% | 23 | 1.86% | 66 | 3.09 | 31 | 74.95% | 22 |
| Barclays High Yield | | 12.90% | 40 | 7.31% | 70 | 0.00% | 69 | 0.00% | 1 | 2.63 | 60 | 100.00% | 69 |
| KDP | 1.00% | 10.31% | 92 | 6.36% | 38 | -0.55% | 80 | 2.01% | 68 | 2.51 | 69 | 81.37% | 32 |
| Barclays High Yield | | 12.90% | 40 | 7.31% | 70 | 0.00% | 69 | 0.00% | 1 | 2.63 | 60 | 100.00% | 69 |




Vermont State Teachers

High Yield Comp

| | 5 Years Ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|------|----------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|--|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank | |
| High Yield Comp | 5.80% | 8.92% | 46 | 10.26% | 21 | 2.25% | 23 | 5.15% | 70 | 0.73 | 46 | 71.80% | 15 | |
| Barclays High Yield | | 9.34% | 34 | 14.13% | 90 | 0.00% | 82 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.65 | 72 | 100.00% | 85 | |
| Post Advisory | 4.80% | 9.07% | 42 | 10.25% | 21 | 2.42% | 21 | 5.17% | 70 | 0.74 | 44 | 71.57% | 15 | |
| Barclays High Yield | | 9.34% | 34 | 14.13% | 90 | 0.00% | 82 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.65 | 72 | 100.00% | 85 | |
| KDP | 1.00% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barclays High Yield | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |








3 Year Style Analysis




High Yield Comp



95.4 ^{99.7} 100 % 80 % 60 % 40 % 20 % 4.3 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0 0 % BBB BB and Not Rated AAA AA А Below

Quality Ratings




Sectors

September 30, 2012

Post Advisory


Post's team concentrate on under-followed and misunderstood credits as they believe that these securities have the highest probability of being mispriced by the consensus view. They also consider the downside protection afforded by a security. Post believe the downside risk is the most important factor to be aware of. It is through downside protection that they believe they avoid much of the volatility that exists in the high yield market. Their process is quantitative oriented. They generate ideas by looking for companies that are preferably not covered by Wall St. Having found a company they apply a value scoring model to review the issue. They look at both quantitative and qualitative factors, with the different factors being given different weights, although all factors apply across all industries to allow them to standardize. The most important quantitative factor is free cash flow and the most important qualitative factor is the management team and corporate structure. That said, the factors do gradually change over time, this is a result of constantly reviewing the model.




eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross Accounts

Post Advisory A Barclays High Yield

Annualized Excess Performance









3 Year Risk Return


- Post Advisory Barclays High Yield ٠
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross •

3 Year Risk Statistics










Quality Ratings




Sectors

KDP believes that the key to delivering superior risk-adjusted returns lies in controlling credit risk. To do this the firm combines rigorous, bottom-up credit analysis with an active, top-down management approach that ensures a strong overall credit profile and broad portfolio diversification. KDP employs a value-oriented approach to investing that leverages our strong fundamental research capabilities, and seeks to take advantage of market inefficiencies and anomalies on an opportunistic basis in order to capture excess credit spread. KDP places a strong emphasis on issuer, industry and sector diversification, and tends to focus on larger companies, as they tend to be more resilient in times of difficulty and their securities tend to be more liquid. KDP uses a proprietary "Default Risk Ranking (DRR)" System to screen securities eligible for purchase in its portfolios. By assigning default risk probabilities to companies covered, the DRR system is used to identify securities that are overvalued or undervalued relative to the market. The firm minimizes portfolio volatility in part by investing in securities of companies that exhibit greater resilience in times of financial distress. KDP focuses on companies with good management, stable to improving earnings, good balance sheet liquidity and a strong competitive position in industries with favorable underlying fundamentals.




eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross Accounts



Annualized Excess Performance






2 Year Risk Return

KDP


- Barclays High Yield
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross

2 Year Risk Statistics




NEPC, LLC

September 30, 2012




Characteristics





Sectors








Barclays TIPS

In the management of an inflation-linked bond portfolio,


BlackRock/Barclays will utilize multiple strategies to outperform the index. These include: duration and yield curve positioning, technical supply/demand anomalies related primarily to the ILB auction cycle, seasonal factors related to non-seasonally adjusted inflation-linked indices, break-even spread between ILBs and nominal securities, and, if applicable, sector and country allocation. The team compares nominal yields with real yields and factor in their views on inflation. If the yield spread is less than the inflation rate, then ILBs are considered to trade cheaply to nominal bonds and have greater relative value. The portfolio currently holds about 31 positions, out of 27-29 issues in the benchmark. Turnover is moderate, at around 100-150%.




● Barclays TIPS ▲ Barclays US TIPS

eA TIPS / Infl Indexed Fixed Inc Gross Accounts

Annualized Excess Performance



Barclays TIPS







Vermont State Teachers

Global Fixed Income Comp

| | | | | 3 Ye | ears Endin | ng September | 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Global Fixed Income Comp | 3.00% | 8.33% | 31 | 6.21% | 45 | 4.56% | 43 | 2.51% | 17 | 1.81 | 42 | 74.51% | 55 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | 4.29% | 91 | 6.55% | 55 | 0.00% | 95 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.73 | 91 | 100.00% | 86 |
| Mondrian GFI | 3.00% | 6.27% | 61 | 6.77% | 62 | 1.95% | 76 | 1.51% | 6 | 1.16 | 66 | 98.37% | 84 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | 4.29% | 91 | 6.55% | 55 | 0.00% | 95 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.73 | 91 | 100.00% | 86 |




Vermont State Teachers

Global Fixed Income Comp

| | | | | 5 Ye | ears Endin | g September | 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Global Fixed Income Comp | 3.00% | 8.87% | 16 | 8.19% | 51 | 3.00% | 42 | 4.30% | 31 | 1.44 | 27 | 92.69% | 54 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | 6.45% | 63 | 7.77% | 42 | 0.00% | 80 | 0.00% | 1 | 1.15 | 48 | 100.00% | 68 |
| Mondrian GFI | 3.00% | 8.60% | 21 | 7.76% | 42 | 2.39% | 48 | 2.16% | 8 | 1.64 | 20 | 94.69% | 57 |
| Citigroup WGBI | | 6.45% | 63 | 7.77% | 42 | 0.00% | 80 | 0.00% | 1 | 1.15 | 48 | 100.00% | 68 |






3 Year Risk Return









Global Fixed Income Comp



Characteristics






Sectors


Mondrian GFI


country. Mondrian will consider investing in the government debt of all countries within the Citigroup World Government Bond Index and other developed world bond markets. Within the emerging world most countries are researched and will include dollar denominated and local currency issuers. Mondrian's bond universe is constructed by selecting a range of bonds from the aforementioned regions at varying maturities, according to Mondrian's credit, liquidity and other specific criteria. A total of 150-200 issues are followed closely, although this list is not intended to be exhaustive. Mondrian bases its duration strategy on the size of potential long term "real" returns in a country's local market. This approach means that in practice, portfolio durations change gradually over time, and that Mondrian does not attempt to anticipate frequent interest rate changes. Mondrian's Credit Committee, chaired by John Kirk is responsible for sector recommendations in the various international markets. Each sector is assigned a prospective credit rating and current yield differentials where comparable government bonds are then assessed for their attractiveness. Mondrian seeks to add value through the use of non-governmental debt, although corporate bonds are a small part of the strategy and contribute a small portion to excess returns. Typically, sovereign and supranational issues comprise between 70% to 100% of a portfolio. High-guality foreign corporate debt is utilized, and may represent between 0% to 30% of a portfolio. In the case of corporate debt, the upper limit of 30% is strictly adhered to. A portfolio will typically hold between 30 and 40 securities.



eA All Global Fixed Inc Gross Accounts









- Mondrian GFI Citigroup WGBI ٠
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All Global Fixed Inc Gross ٠


3 Year Risk Statistics




NEPC, LLC



Characteristics





Sectors



September 30, 2012

9a Yf[]b[AUf_Yh8YVh



JYfacbh'GhUhY'HYUWXYfg

Emerging Market Debt

| | | | | 3 Ye | ears Endir | ng September | 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Emerging Market Debt | 5.20% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan EMBI Global TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wellington Opportunistic EMD | 5.20% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan EMBI Global TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



JYfacbh'GhUhY'HYUWXYfg

Emerging Market Debt

| | | | | 5 Ye | ears Endin | g September | 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | % of Tot | Anlzd Ret | Rank | Anlzd Std Dev | Rank | Anlzd AJ | Rank | Tracking Error | Rank | Sortino Ratio RF | Rank | Down Mkt Cap Ratio | Rank |
| Emerging Market Debt | 5.20% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan EMBI Global TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wellington Opportunistic EMD | 5.20% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan EMBI Global TR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |




Wellington Opportunistic Emerging Market Debt


Portfolio Statistics

| | Portfolio | Index |
|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| /ield | 4.78% | 4.44% |
| ffective Duration | 6.89 Yrs | 7.48 Yrs |
| pread Duration | 7.02 Yrs | 7.48 Yrs |
| Corporate Exposure | 15.97% | 0.09% |
| M Local Market Exposure | 9.58% | _ |
| M Currency Exposure | 4.56% | _ |
| Average Quality | Baa2 | Baa3 |


Top/Bottom 5 Country Contributors to Portfolio Alpha







Quality Distribution



May not add to 100% due to derivative positions in portfolios that permit the use of such instruments Quality ratings are based on the highest of Fitch, Moody or S&P.

Source: Wellington Management



Wellington Opportunistic Emerging Market Debt

-The Opportunistic Emerging Markets Debt investment approach seeks to generate attractive returns relative to an emerging markets debt benchmark such as the J.P. Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBI Global), or similar, independent of traditional benchmark constraints.

-Disciplined investment approach that integrates systematic research from both quantitative and fundamental perspective. Risk Management Due to the credit, currency, and local interest rate risks inherent in investing in these markets, and the structural complexity of some emerging markets debt instruments, a comprehensive system of risk controls is required to manage risks such as sovereign and corporate defaults, local currency volatility, and local debt yield curve inversions.

-The investment process begins with a thorough assessment of global economic, liquidity, and market conditions. Wellington combines comprehensive top-down quantitative and macroeconomic analysis with bottom-up sovereign credit research to identify key global factors and to determine the potential impact on emerging markets debt. The resulting market outlook determines the overall risk level of the portfolio.

-Opportunistic Emerging Markets Debt is an unconstrained, best ideas approach that takes advantage of investment opportunities in emerging markets sovereign, corporate, and local markets to generate attractive total returns. It is managed by the same team that has been responsible for the Emerging Markets Debt approach since its inception.





;`cVU``5ggYh`5``cV**Uh**]cb

Mellon Global Expanded Alpha

The core philosophy behind Mellon's Global Expanded Alpha strategy is that global capital markets are an orderly and mostly rational structure over the long term and that prices reflect expected returns based on fundamentals. However while equilibrium exists there are factors in the capital markets which cause prices to deviate from the equilibrium. Mellon seeks to diversify investment exposure across many asset classes. Global Stocks, Global Bonds and Currencies are the broad asset classes in which Global Alpha 1 is exposed. Mellon determines expected returns, risks, and correlations for each asset class in the twelve major capital markets (Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland, the U.K., and the U.S.) where they actively allocate assets. Model has been expanded to new alpha sources: emerging markets and commodities. Alpha in the emerging market is extracted through both emerging market equities and currencies. Emerging market equity and currency models rely on similar signals to those employed in developed equities and currencies models. Relative Valuation is the basis for asset class investment decisions. Investment instruments used include Futures, Forwards, Options and Asset Class Funds. Mellon is capable of taking Long/Short positions in any instruments but must be Net Long Stocks and Bonds.

| | Performance & Attribution – 3rd Quarter 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|---------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------|--|
| Period | Strategy Return | Benchmark Return | Alpha | Global Stock/Bond | Equity Country | Bond Country | Currency | Benchmark Replication | Commodity Allocation | Misc. | Total Alpha | |
| Jul-12 | 4.86% | 1.42% | 3.44% | 3 | 102 | 47 | 150 | 1 | 30 | 11 | 344 | |
| Aug-12 | 1.55% | 1.41% | 0.13% | 2 | 43 | 14 | -55 | -5 | 9 | 6 | 13 | |
| Sep-12 | 0.84% | 1.96% | -1.11% | 6 | -70 | -4 | -31 | 2 | -18 | 3 | -111 | |

Performance & Attribution – Calendar Years & Annualized as of 9/30/2012

| Period | Strategy Return | Benchmark Return | Alpha | Global Stock/Bond | Equity Country | Bond Country | Currency | Benchmark Replication | Commodity Allocation | Misc. | Total Alpha |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------|
| YTD 2012 | 10.61% | 9.21% | 1.41% | -44 | 27 | 101 | -23 | 10 | 38 | 30 | 141 |
| 2011 | -0.13% | -1.60% | 1.47% | -168 | 136 | 139 | 56 | 26 | 2 | -43 | 147 |
| (Partial) 2010 | 10.43% | 5.72% | 4.71% | -137 | 71 | 185 | 291 | 65 | -6 | 2 | 471 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Year | 17.52% | 14.57% | 2.95% | -23 | 121 | 73 | 30 | 20 | 34 | 41 | 295 |

Source: Mellon Capital Management

*Index is 50% MSCI ACWI, 25% Citi WGBI, 10% BC Global Inflation Linked, 5% Russell 2000, 5% BC US Intermediate Credit, 5% DJ-UBS Commodity



Mellon Global Expanded Alpha

Market Exposures

| E | quity Expo | sure | |
|------------------|------------|-------------------|--------|
| Country | Mellon % | Index % | Over % |
| Australia | 5.4% | 1.6% | 3.8% |
| Brazil | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.4% |
| Canada | 0.3% | 2.2% | -1.9% |
| China | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.8% |
| France | 6.5% | 1.5% | 5.0% |
| Germany | 7.3% | 1.5% | 5.8% |
| Hong Kong | -5.6% | 0.6% | -6.2% |
| India | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| Italy | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.1% |
| Japan | 1.5% | 3.7% | -2.2% |
| Mexico | -0.5% | 0.0% | -0.5% |
| Netherlands | 7.8% | 0.4% | 7.4% |
| Poland | -0.4% | 0.0% | -0.4% |
| South Africa | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| South Korea | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.3% |
| Spain | 0.6% | 0.5% | 0.1% |
| Sw itzerland | -3.8% | 1.4% | -5.2% |
| Taiw an | -1.2% | 0.0% | -1.2% |
| Turkey | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.4% |
| United Kingdom | 7.3% | 4.1% | 3.2% |
| United States | 21.5% | 23.8% | -2.3% |
| Emerging Markets | 6.2% | <mark>6.3%</mark> | -0.1% |
| GAA Passive | 2.1% | 2.1% | 0.0% |
| Total | 57.6% | 50.0% | 7.6% |

| E | Bond Expos | sure | |
|------------------|------------|---------|--------|
| Country | Mellon % | Index % | Over% |
| Australia | -6.8% | 0.7% | -7.5% |
| Canada | -7.7% | 0.8% | -8.5% |
| Emerging Markets | 5.0% | 5.0% | 0.0% |
| Europe ex UK | 33.2% | 6.9% | 26.3% |
| International | 9.5% | 10.0% | -0.5% |
| Japan | -8.7% | 2.7% | -11.4% |
| United Kingdom | -9.7% | 1.1% | -10.9% |
| United States | 25.1% | 17.0% | 8.1% |
| GAA Passive | 0.8% | 0.8% | 0.0% |

Commodity and Cash Exposure

| | Mellon % | Index % | Over % |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------|
| Commodity | 5.0% | 5.0% | 0.0% |
| Cash | -3.3% | 0.0% | -3.3% |
| Total | 1.7% | 5.0% | -3.3% |

Currency Exposure

| | Mellon % | Index % | Over % |
|------------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Australia | 11.5% | 1.2% | 10.3% |
| Brazil | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.5% |
| Canada | 21.6% | 1.7% | 19.9% |
| Chile | -0.5% | 0.0% | -0.5% |
| Denmark | 0.4% | 0.2% | 0.2% |
| Euro | -19.5% | 6.4% | -25.9% |
| Hong Kong | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| India | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.8% |
| lsrael | -0.7% | 0.0% | -0.7% |
| Japan | 11.9% | 3.3% | 8.6% |
| Mexico | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.3% |
| New Zealand | 6.5% | 0.0% | 6.5% |
| Norw ay | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.0% |
| Poland | -0.1% | 0.0% | -0.1% |
| Russia | 1.2% | 0.0% | 1.2% |
| South Africa | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| South Korea | -1.1% | 0.0% | -1.1% |
| Sw eden | 8.5% | 0.5% | 8.0% |
| Sw itzerland | 0.5% | 0.9% | -0.4% |
| Taiw an | -0.3% | 0.0% | -0.3% |
| Turkey | -0.7% | 0.0% | -0.7% |
| United Kingdom | -8.6% | 4.0% | -12.6% |
| United States | 53.8% | 69.4% | -15.6% |
| Emerging Markets | 11.3% | 11.2% | 0.1% |
| GAA Passive | 1.9% | 0.0% | 1.9% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% |

Source: Mellon Capital Management



PIMCO All Asset

| und Number | 736 All Asset | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| und Name | Fund | | | | | | | | | |
| otal Fund Net Assets (\$US MM) | 30,565.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| otal Fund Net Assets (\$05 MIN) | 12/31/2010 | 3/31/2011 | 6/30/2011 | 9/30/2011 | 12/31/2011 | 3/31/2012 | 6/30/2012 | 7/31/2012 | 8/31/2012 | 9/30/20 |
| hort-Term Strategles: | 0.7% | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0,1% | 0,1% | 0,1% | 0.2% | 0.3% |
| Low Duration Fund | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| Short Term Fund | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | | | |
| Net Cash Equivalents | | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| S Core and Long Maturity Bond Strategies: | 9.8% | 8.0% | 13.3% | 8.4% | 8.2% | 6.3% | 5.2% | 4.8% | 4.7% | 5.8% |
| GNMA Fund | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| Investment Grade Corporate Bond Fund | 5.3% | 4.8% | 4.1% | 5.0% | 5.0% | 3.2% | 2.0% | | | |
| Long Duration Total Return Fund | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | | |
| Long Term Credit Fund | 4.2% | 3.1% | 2.8% | 3.1% | 3.0% | 2.8% | 2.9% | | | |
| Long-Term US Government Fund | 0.1% | 0.1% | 2.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities Fund | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| Total Return Fund | 0.2% | 0.1% | 2.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.1% 31.0% | 24.65 | 24.5% | 20.00 |
| M and Global Bond Strategles: Diversified Income Fund | 12.0% 3.6% | 4.2% | 13.1% 3.9% | 19.4% 4.2% | 24.1% 4.4% | 28.3% 4.1% | 31.0% 4.2% | 31.6% | 31.5% | 30.8% |
| Emerging Local Bond Fund | 1.5% | 0.8% | 1.5% | 4.1% | 5.7% | 7.0% | 8.0% | | | |
| Emerging Cocal Bond Fund Emerging Markets Bond Fund | 0.6% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 1.5% | 2.7% | 3.8% | 4.5% | | | |
| Emerging Markets Corporate Bond Fund | 0.076 | 0.276 | 0.376 | 1.276 | 2.770 | 3.676 | 14.370 | | | |
| Emerging Markets Corporate Bond Fund Emerging Markets Currency | 3.0% | 3.9% | 4.6% | 6.5% | 7.1% | 7.7% | 8.0% | | | |
| Foreign Bond Fund (Unhedged) | 0.8% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 1.5% | 2.5% | 2.8% | | | |
| Global Advantage Strategy Bond Fund | 2.6% | 2.4% | 2.2% | 2.4% | 2.7% | 3.2% | 3.4% | | | |
| Global Bond Fund (Unhedged) | 2.070 | | | - | | - | - | | | |
| redit Strategies: | 23.5% | 27.8% | 27.0% | 28.9% | 28.9% | 28.2% | 29.8% | 30.4% | 30.8% | 30.49 |
| Convertible Fund | 3.7% | 4.2% | 3.4% | 3.5% | 3.4% | 3.2% | 3.0% | | | |
| Floating Income Fund | 6.1% | 6.6% | 7.6% | 7.7% | 7.4% | 6.9% | 6.7% | | | |
| High Yield Fund | 3.9% | 5.7% | 4.6% | 5.9% | 6.5% | 6.8% | 7.9% | | | |
| High Yield Spectrum Fund | 0.8% | 1.4% | 1.7% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.9% | 2.5% | | | |
| Income Fund | 8.9% | 9.8% | 8.9% | 9.4% | 9.1% | 8.6% | 8.7% | | | |
| Senior Floating Rate Fund | - | - | 0.8% | 0.9% | 0.8% | 0.7% | 0.9% | | | |
| nflation Related Strategies: | 18.7% | 22.9% | 15.3% | 17.3% | 17.6% | 17.0% | 12.6% | 11.4% | 10.2% | 8.0% |
| CommoditiesPLUS™ Strategy Fund | 3.1% | 5.1% | 2.6% | 3.7% | 4.5% | 6.6% | 6.3% | | | |
| CommodityRealReturn Strategy Fund® | 4.2% | 5.2% | 1.6% | 3.8% | 4.5% | 2.5% | 1.9% | | | |
| Real Return Asset Fund | 8.2% | 8.1% | 7.8% | 7.3% | 5.3% | 4.5% | 1.0% | | | |
| Real Return Fund | 1.7% | 1.5% | 1.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| RealEstateRealReturn Strategy Fund | 1.5% | 3.1% | 1.9% | 2.6% | 3.3% | 3.3% | 3.5% | | | |
| IS Equity Strategies: | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 4.5% | 1.3% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 0.9% | 0.9% | 0.9% |
| Fundamental IndexPLUS TM | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | - | - | - | - | | | |
| Fundamental IndexPLUS TM TR | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.4% | 1.8% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | | |
| Small Cap StocksPLUS@ Total Return Fund | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | | |
| Small Company Fundamental IndexPLUS® TR Strategy Fund | - | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.6% | 0.6% | 0.6% | | | |
| StocksPLUS@ Fund | 0.1% | 0.1% | | 1.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | |
| StocksPLUS@ Total Return Fund ilobal Equity Strategies: | 0.3% | 9.6% | 0.3% 9.6% | 0.7% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 18.1% | 18.0% | 18.49 |
| EM Fundamental IndexPLUS TM TR Strategy Fund | 5.9% | 7.1% | 6.4% | 8.2% | 8.9% | 9.4% | 9.8% | 18.1% | 18.0% | 18.41 |
| EqS Dividend Fund | 5.976 | 7.170 | 0.476 | 0.270 | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | | | |
| EgS Emerging Markets Fund | | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | | | |
| EqS Pathfinder Fund | 1,1% | 1.4% | 1.9% | 2.3% | 2.3% | 2.0% | 1.9% | | | |
| International Fundamental IndexPLUS® TR Strategy Fund | | | | 0.1% | 0.8% | 2.2% | 5.4% | | | |
| Int'l StocksPLUS® TR Strategy Fund (U.S Dollar Hedged) | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.5% | 0.2% | 0.2% | | | |
| Int'l StocksPLUS@ TR Strategy Fund (Unhedged) | 0.3% | 0.5% | 0.6% | 1.0% | 0.6% | 0.6% | 0.5% | | | |
| Iternative Strategies: | 26.8% | 18.7% | 20.4% | 9.0% | 6.4% | 4,4% | 2.2% | 2.5% | 3.7% | 5.4% |
| Credit Absolute Return Fund | - | - | - | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | | | |
| EgS Long/Short Fund | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4% | | | |
| Fundamental Advantage Total Return Strategy Fund | 15.7% | 13.5% | 14.5% | 5.7% | 3.6% | 2.0% | 1.2% | | | |
| Unconstrained Bond Fund | 11.0% | 5.2% | 5.8% | 3.1% | 2.6% | 2.1% | 0.4% | | | |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00 |

Source: PIMCO



income.

-PIMCO's All Asset Fund uses a Fund of Mutual Funds approach. Investment funds are continuously reallocated between various PIMCO Mutual funds base on strategic allocation decisions made by the advisor to the investment manager. Because both by policy and practice fixed income related securities tend to dominate the All Asset Funds asset allocation, and also because historical risk return measures correlate highly to core fixed income portfolio's. This investment may be categorized as either global asset allocation or core fixed

-The underlying funds may at times invest in derivatives. Use of these instruments may involve risks such as liquidity risk, interest rate risk, market risk, credit risk, management risk and the risk that a fund could not close out a position when it would be most advantageous to do so. Portfolios investing in derivatives can lose more than the principal amount invested.

-Shares of mutual funds that invest in Treasuries, TIPS and Government Bonds do not have the same guarantees as direct investments in these securities. Mortgage-backed securities are subject to prepayment risk. With corporate bonds there is no assurance that issuers will meet their obligations. An investment in high-yield securities generally involves greater risk to principal than an investment in higher-rated bonds. Investing in non-U.S. securities may entail risk as a result of non-U.S. economic and political developments, which may be increased when investing in emerging markets.

-No single fund may exceed 50% of the portfolio. There is a maximum exposure of 50% to StocksPLUS, StocksPLUS Total Return and International StocksPLUS TR Strategy Funds combined. There is a maximum exposure of 75% to CommodityRealReturn Strategy, Real Return, Real Return II, Real Return Asset and RealEstateRealReturn Strategy Funds combined.

-Leverage is permitted but is not expected to be used. Possible investment instruments are Stocks, Bonds, Currency, Commodities, Futures, Options, Options on Futures, and Swaps.

-The Fund is expected to outperform the Lehman Brothers U.S. TIPS 1-10 Year Index and CPI + 5% over a market cycle. The PIMCO All Asset Fund is a daily valued commingled fund.




Wellington OIF


12% 10.0% 9.5% 10% 7.8% 7.4% 8% 6.1% 5.7% 6% 4.9% 3.6% 3.5% 4% 2.0% 2% 0% Financials Industrials Into Tech Unifies Considiat Energy Naterials s consolis Health Care Tel SICS

Equity Sector Exposure

Fixed Income Sector Exposure



Equity Region Exposure



Data is sourced from Wellington Management's internal systems. It is as of the end of the day for the effective date of the report and reflects unaudited information available as of that date only. Equity sector data is sourced from MSCI; region data is based on the issuing company's domicile. Fixed income sector data is based on sectors defined by the Wellington Management Asset Allocation team.

Source: Wellington Management.



| | Portfolio | Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond |
|----------|-----------|--|
| Duration | 4.6 yrs | 4.5 yrs |
| OAS | 89 bps | 39 bps |
| YTM | 2.2% | 1.6% |

Wellington OIF

-The objective of the Opportunistic Investment Allocation approach is to outperform core markets by making timely investments in non-core areas that are attractively valued, have positive structural tailwinds, or are expected to benefit from the anticipated cyclical environment. Opportunistic Investment Allocation is an unconstrained, non-benchmark oriented investment approach.

-The Opportunistic Investment Allocation approach provides exposure to a variety of investment approaches that focus on non-core areas, such as non-US small cap, emerging markets equity, and sector funds. Non-core areas are inherently interesting as they are typically less efficient sectors of the market where active managers have a history of strong value added. However, a drawback to these investment areas is their high level of volatility. One source of high volatility is structural change, which results in instability of long-term performance characteristics. When performance characteristics are unstable over time it is difficult to address the asset class in a static long-term asset allocation policy. Assuming that historical performance characteristics are representative of future results often leads institutional investors to either buy these niche areas at high valuations (after positive structural surprises) or ignore (sell) them when they are out of favor (after a negative structural surprise). For these reasons, Wellington believes that allocations to these areas should not be strategic, but opportunistic in nature.



The AQR Global Risk Premium Fund is equally risk weighted between four major asset classes or risk exposures: equities, interest rates, inflation, and alternative risk exposures - almost entirely spread/credit. By diversifying broadly & globally - across the broadest possible set of liquid risk-bearing assets, diversifying risk rather than capital avoiding risk concentration by sizing broad risk exposures equally, the strategy develops a an efficient portfolio that is likely to outperform traditional asset allocations. Individual exposures are implemented through a variety of instruments, including: stock index futures and swaps, bond futures, interest rate swaps, global inflation-linked bonds, currency forwards, credit derivatives, and commodity futures & swaps. Implementation decisions include capital usage, liquidity, transaction costs, and counterparty exposure. Since the strategy is levered, there is limited available capital; therefore, derivative instruments such as swaps and futures, will be preferred to physical investment in a market, all else being equal. The majority of exposures are accessed synthetically - generally, over 70% of the funds capital is invested in cash funds through SSgA and Dreyfus. These are money market funds meant to preserve capital, not meant to chase a spread above LIBOR as an additional source of return. The fund attempts to maintain a healthy liquidity profile, both from a risk management standpoint and in order to fulfill the promise of monthly liquidity to investors. Implementation with the most liquid instruments will be preferred and will help in limiting transaction costs. Swap agreements and forwards take on counterparty risk. Counterparties are chosen based on analysis from a separate counterparty committee who determine approved counterparties across AOR's, limitations to exposures to each counterparty, as well as presenting AOR's credit worthiness to counterparties. Counterparty exposure is spread across several firms in order to minimize this risk.

Estimated Exposure Breakdown

| | Long Exposure (% of NAV) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Equity Risk | |
| Global Developed Equities | 19% |
| Global Emerging Equities | 4% |
| U.S. Mid Cap Equities | 1% |
| U.S. Small Cap Equities | 1% |
| Total Equity Risk | 26% |
| Nominal Interest Rate Risk | |
| Global Developed Bonds | 95% |
| Global Emerging Bonds | 24% |
| Total Nominal Interest Rate Risk | 119% |
| Inflation Risk | |
| Commodities - Production Weighted | 9% |
| Commodities - Volatility Weighted | 13% |
| Global Inflation-Linked Bonds | 48% |
| Total Inflation Risk | 70% |
| Credit/Currency Risk | |
| Global High Yield Spread | 17% |
| Global Corporate Credit Spread | 34% |
| Emerging Credit Spread | 4% |
| Emerging Currencies | 28% |
| Commercial Mortgage-Backed Spreads | 1% |
| Total Credit/Currency Risk | 84% |
| Total Fund Exposures | 299% |

Source: AQR Capital Management.









Schroder Commodities

Asset Allocation as of September 30, 2012



■ Metals ■ Agriculture ■ Energy ■ Cash



Source: Schroder Investment Management.



Commodities asset class, through investments in commodity futures and commodity related equities. The strategy is a beta or beta-plus product and aims to use active management to exploit the inefficiencies inherent in the asset class. Schroders uses an active, long-only approach to commodities management. No leverage is used and all futures positions are fully collateralized through investments in T-bills of less than one year maturity. As a result of this policy, cash and cash collateral are not subject to any duration or credit risk. The strategy is benchmark unconstrained meaning investments are made based on in-house analysis and implemented when that analysis indicates an attractive risk/reward opportunity. Commodities are not included as a result of their weighting in an index. The focus of Schroders' fundamental commodity analysis is the determination of supply and demand and supply/demand balances and most importantly, how these balances may change in the future. Quantitative, technical and sentiment analyses support the fundamental analysis in terms of the timing and sizing of positions. The investment horizon for the strategy is three to 12 months. Schroders utilize strict diversification rules. The Schroders universe is comprised of over 60 commodities. Commodities are reviewed on an ongoing basis. A commodity will not be held unless the fundamental analysis is positive. Most exposures are held through futures positions although equities may be purchased; typically whereby a commodity does not have an associated futures contract.

The objective of the Schroders Commodities strategy is to provide investors with a diversified exposure to the





Morgan Stanley Prime Property

-The Sponsor, on behalf of the Prime Property Fund, will endeavor to maintain a diversified investment in core U.S. real estate that offers stable, highly predictable cash flow returns. The focus is on high quality office buildings, Class A multifamily communities, warehouse distribution and storage facilities, and top tier super regional malls and shopping centers in targeted primary markets. The Sponsor favors investing in major metropolitan markets and selectively invests in secondary markets that are expected to achieve above-average economic performance. The Sponsor believes that these cities offer better liquidity, more diverse tenant bases and stronger resilience to market cycles.


-The Sponsor maintains a strong research orientation and utilizes research as a risk mitigation tool. Research is used to assist in market selection, product selection and disposition, and portfolio weightings.

-Although the methodologies used to determine strategies have remained constant over time, the investment strategy guidelines developed for PRIME have been modified from time to time over the past five years to reflect changes in market conditions and future market expectations.

-Employment of these strategies have helped PRIME deliver strong relative returns including outperforming the NFI-ODCE benchmark over the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year and 10-year periods

PROPERTY SECTOR RETURNS^(1,2)

| | Third Quarter | | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------|
| % | Income | Appreciation | Total |
| Office | 1.4 | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| Retail | 1.5 | 3.6 | 5.1 |
| Industrial | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| Self Storage | 1.6 | 1.1 | 2.7 |
| Apartment | 1.1 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| Hotel | 1.1 | (3.5) | (2.4) |



West 35.8% East 31.2% South 20.4% Midwest 12.6%

GEOGRAPHIC REGION RETURNS^(1, 2)

| | Third Quarter | | |
|---------|---------------|--------------|-------|
| % | Income | Appreciation | Total |
| East | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| Midwest | 1.1 | 2.6 | 3.7 |
| South | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.6 |
| West | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.8 |

Source: Morgan Stanley.




September 30, 2012

UBS Trumbull

The UBS Trumbull Property Fund (UBS-TPF) is an actively managed core portfolio of equity real estate. The Fund seeks to provide attractive returns while limiting downside risk. The Fund has both relative and real return objectives. Its relative performance objective is to outperform the NFI-ODCE index over any given three-to five-year period. The Fund's real return performance objective is to achieve at least a 5% real rate of return (i.e., inflation-adjusted return), before advisory fees, over any given three- to five-year period.

Performance for periods ending September 30, 2012 Gross returns (annualized %)




0.8% 19.0% 32.1% 10.2% Pacific 9.4% 11.1% Mountain West North Central East North Central 8.8% 8.6% Southwest Southeast Northeast Mideast

Key statistics

| Gross asset value | USD 13.8 bn | Quarterly returns | (%) |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------|
| (GAV) | | Income | 1.32 |
| Net asset value (NAV) | USD 11.8 bn | Appreciation | 1.20 |
| Cash as a % of GAV | 2.6% | Total (before fees) | 2.52 |
| Debt as % of GAV | 12.5% | Total (after fees) | 2.26 |
| Number of investments | 174 | One-year rolling retur | ns (%) |
| Number of investors | 353 | Income | 5.32 |
| | | Appreciation | 5.01 |
| Deposits ² | USD 733.9 m | Total (before fees) | 10.53 |
| Redemptions ² | USD 119.7 m | Total (after fees) | 9.41 |

Portfolio distribution by property type¹



Total returns by property type

| Periods ending 9/30/2012 | Apt | Hotel | Ind | Office | Retail |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Quarter (%) | 2.85 | 2.53 | 3.27 | 2.03 | 2.95 |
| 12 months (%) | 12.08 | 7.36 | 14.09 | 10.71 | 11.10 |

Source: UBS.




RREEF America REIT III

RREEF America III is an open-end, value-add fund with the objective of generating returns of 300-500 BPS above a core unlevered real estate portfolio. The fund aims to achieve these returns by upgrading the physical condition, occupancy and operating characteristics of the properties in which it invests. RREEF III will invest across all property types focusing on major metropolitan markets in the United States.

Investment Summary

| Net Asset Value ¹ | \$940,973,462 |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Number of Shares Outstanding | 16,109,897 |
| Net Asset Value Per Share | \$58.41 |
| Cash Balance of Fund | \$110,630,110 |
| Debt ² | \$995,710,598 |
| Inception Date ³ | March 31, 2003 |
| Redemption Shares Outstanding | 5,391,735 |
| Institutional Investors | 118 |
| | |

Lease Maturities



Diversification/Occupancy

| | Real Estate Investments | Gross Real Estate Value (millions) | Percent of Value | Quarter-end Occupancy |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Retail | 4 | \$132.0 | 7% | 88% |
| Residential | 5 | 718.3 | 39% | 97% |
| Office | 34 | 651.9 | 35% | 81% |
| Industrial | 22 | 207.9 | 11% | 81% |
| Development/ Land/Other | 5 | 143.7 | 8% | N/A |
| Total | 70 | \$1,853.8 | 100% | 84% |

Note: Property diversification by type and geographic location based on gross real estate value and primary use of assets.

Source: RREEF.







Grosvenor Institutional Partners, LP

Grosvenor Institutional Partners, LP

Grosvenor Capital Management, LP

| Benchma | ark 1 (BM: | L): | S&P 500 | (TR) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------|----------|-------------|---|--------------|--------------|--|--------------|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Benchma | ark 2 (BM2 | 2): | Barclays | Aggregate | Bond Ind | ex | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | M | fonthly P | erforman | ce (%) I | Net of Fe | | | | | | Statistical Analysis | Fund | BM1 | BM2 |
| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Year | Returns | | | |
| 2012 | 1.6% | 1.8% | 0.9% | -0.1% | -1.4% | 0.3% | 0.7% | 1.2% | 0.7% | | | | 5.7% | Annualized Compound ROR | 5.2% | 1.7% | 6.4% |
| 2011 | 0.9% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.9% | -0.1% | -1.1% | -0.2% | -2.8% | -2.7% | 1.7% | -0.7% | -0.6% | -3.7% | 3 Yr Return | 3.7% | 13.2% | 6.2% |
| 2010 | 0.7% | 0.3% | 1.6% | 0.8% | -1.8% | -0.9% | 0.7% | 0.2% | 1.7% | 1.2% | 0.5% | 1.5% | 6.5% | 5 Yr Return | 0.0% | 1.1% | 6.5% |
| 2009 | 1.2% | 0.0% | -0.6% | 0.1% | 2.7% | 0.9% | 2.2% | 1.8% | 2.3% | 0.7% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 13.9% | Best Month | 2.7% | 10.9% | 3.7% |
| 2008 | -2.4% | 1.3% | -1.8% | 0.2% | 1.5% | -0.7% | -2.0% | -1.3% | -6.9% | -5.3% | -3.2% | -2.3% | -20.9% | Worst Month | -6.9% | -16.8% | -3.4% |
| 2007 | 1.7% | 0.8% | 1.4% | 1.4% | 2.3% | 0.4% | 0.8% | -2.1% | 1.2% | 2.7% | -0.6% | 0.5% | 10.7% | % Positive Months | 77.1% | 59.5% | 71.2% |
| 2006 | 1.9% | 0.4% | 1.5% | 1.1% | -0.9% | -0.6% | 0.0% | 0.8% | 0.5% | 1.3% | 1.7% | 1.4% | 9.4% | Risk | | | |
| 2005 | 0.2% | 1.2% | -0.3% | -1.0% | 0.4% | 1.2% | 1.3% | 0.9% | 1.0% | -1.2% | 1.3% | 1.6% | 6.8% | Standard Deviation | 4.6% | 16.1% | 3.6% |
| 2004 | 1.5% | 0.8% | 0.4% | 0.2% | -0.6% | 0.1% | -0.5% | 0.3% | 0.8% | 0.6% | 1.8% | 1.5% | 6.9% | 3 Yr Standard Deviation | 4.0% | 15.6% | 2.7% |
| 2003 | 1.2% | 0.3% | 0.4% | 1.2% | 1.3% | 0.8% | 0.5% | 0.8% | 0.9% | 1.5% | 0.7% | 0.9% | 11.2% | 5 Yr Standard Deviation | 6.2% | 19.1% | 3.6% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sharpe Ratio (3.5%) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sortino Ratio (7.0%) | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.2 |
| Outpe | rform BM | in Up I | 1arkets | Outperf | form BM i | n Down M | larkets | _ | Di | istributio | on of Ret | ums | | Downside Deviation (7.0%) | 4.1% | 12.6% | 2.7% |
| 100% | | | | 100% | | | | 70 - | | | | | | Max Drawdown | -21.0% | -50.9% | -3.8% |
| 90% | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | Months In Maximum Drawdown | 14 | 16 | 7 |
| 90% | | | | 90% | | | | 60 | | | | | | Months To Recover | | 37 | 2 |
| 80% | | | | 80% | | | | | | | | | | Comparison To Benchmark(s) | | | |
| 70% | | | | 70% | | | H | 50 - | | | | | | Monthly Alpha | | 0.4% | 0.4% |
| 60% | | | | 60% | | | | 8 40 | | | | | | Annualized Alpha | | 4.9% | 5.5% |
| | | | | 60% | | | | 2 | | | | | | Beta | | 0.1 | (0.0) |
| 50% | | | | 50% | | | H | \$ 30- | | | — H – | | | Correlation | | 0.5 | (0.0) |
| 40% | | | _ | 40% | | | | Σ | | | | | | R-Squared | | 23.9% | 0.0% |
| 30% | | | | 30% | | | | 20 | | | ╶┨╢┠╴ | | | Annual Returns | | | |
| | | | | 30% | | | | 10 | | | | | | 2012-(YTD) | 5.7% | 16.4% | 4.0% |
| 20% | | | | 20% | | | | | | | | | | 2011 | -3.7% | 2.1% | 7.9% |
| 10% | | | H | 10% | | | | 0 | | اللم م | | | | 2010 | 6.5% | 15.1% | 6.6% |
| 0% | | | | 0% | | | | | | to 4 | 160 | 364 | 8 10 | 2009 | 13.9% | 26.5% | 5.9% |
| | S&P 500 (TR) | Barcia Bo | ys Aggregate ind Index | 0.00 | S&P 500 (TR) | Barclays A | ggregate | , | 9 7 | ų m | | | 0 | 2008 | -20.9% | -37.0% | 5.2% |
| | | | | | | Bond | Index | | | Reta | um Range (%) | | | 2007 | 10.7% | 5.5% | 7.0% |
| Risk/ | Return P | rofile | | | | | | Underw | ater Dra | wdowns | | | | Latest Returns | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Last Month | 0.7% | 2.6% | 0.1% |
| 7% | | | | | | | 0% | | - | | V.M. | | | Last 3 Months | 2,5% | 6.4% | 1.6% |
| 6% | • | | | | | | 1 | | | N | 1 | | | Last Year | 6.3% | 30.2% | 5.2% |
| 070 | _ | | | Cone | enor Institutional P | | -10% - | 4 | | - M | N | | \mathbf{v} | 2-Year | 2.5% | 14.8% | 5.2% |
| 5% | | | | LP | | | | h | | W . | - 4 | | Y | 3-Year | 3.7% | 13.2% | 6.2% |
| 5 | | | | | | | -20% - | 1 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | r | - M | • | 4-Year | 2.6% | 7.8% | 7.3% |
| 2 4% | | | | | | down | -30% - | V7 | | | - 1 | <u>, </u> | | 5-Year | 0.0% | 1.1% | 6.5% |
| alter | | | | + 58P 5 | 500 (TR) | Mag | -3070 | . 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | Drawdown Analysis | 0.0% | 1.170 | 6.3% |
| Ann 3% | | | | | | | -40% - | - V | V V | | <u>۱</u> | 1 | | 1 | -21.0% | -50.9% | -3.8% |
| 2% | | | | | | | | | • | | | V | | 2 | -2.4% | -44.7% | -3.6% |
| | | | | - | ays Aggregate Bond | Index | -50% - | | | | | 1 | | 3 | -2.4% | -44.7% | -3.0% |
| 1% | | | | · derca | eys Approprie Bond | a and the | | | | | | | | 3 4 | -1.5% | -6.6% | -2.0% |
| | | | | | | | -60% 200 | 0 2001 2002 | 2007 2004 | 2005 2004 | 3007 3000 | 2009 2010 | 2011 | 5 | -1.5% | -5.0% | -2.0% |
| 0% 2% | 4% 6% | 8% 10% | 12% 14% 169 | % 18% | | | 200 | 2001 2002 | 2003 2004 | 2005 2006 | 2007 2008 | 2009 2010 | 2011 | 5 | -1.270 | -3.0% | -1.076 |
| | | Standard De | | | | | | Grosven | or Institutional Pa | intriers, LP | | S&P 500 (TR) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | |

Past Performance is not indicative of future results. Ratios are calculated based on a risk-free rate of 3.6% Actual ratios may vary depending on the actual risk-free rate and life of the fund*



September 30, 2012



-Generating consistent returns with low volatility and avoiding capital losses and headline risk, is the overall investment philosophy duly embedded in the business ethos of the firm. In enacting this philosophy, Grosvenor consciously pursues proven fundamentally driven strategies that are relatively liquid and have historically maintained a low correlation to traditional markets. However, Grosvenor avoids Macro/CTAs and some leveraged strategies. Low correlation and low volatility would necessarily imply a low equity beta (currently 0.2) Returns are targeted at T bills +5-10% with volatility in 6-7% range. It fears posting mediocre returns of 2-3% suggesting that Grosvenor values top line performance as much as managing volatility.

-Broadly speaking, the GIP portfolio can be visualized as 45% Long/Short equity, 40% fixed income and 15% opportunistic. Currently, the strategy allocation stands as: Equity Hedge 44%, Long/Short credit (incl. structured credit and distressed) 32%, Relative Value/Arbitrage 7%, Risk Arbitrage & Diversified Event 6%, Macro-oriented/vol. arbitrage and tail risk strategies 3%, Cash 8%.

-Grosvenor follows a conventional portfolio construction process which begins by setting investment objectives -returns, volatility and correlation estimatesand strategy constraints and manager limits. Concurrently, the investment committee outlines its broad investment themes in the context of a bigger opportunity set.



Permal Fixed Income Holdings

S&P 500 (TR)

Permal Fixed Income Holdings N.V.

Permal Group Ltd.

Benchmark 1 (BM1):

| chma | | · | | | Bond Inde | | (01) | | | | | | | Statistical Analysis | Fund | BM1 | BM |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|---------|---------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|--------|-----|
| | | - | | | Ionthly P | | | | | | | | M | | Fund | BMI | вр |
| ear | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Year | Returns | 7.49 | 6.004 | |
| 012 | 2.3% | 2.0% | 0.1% | -0.2% | -1.1% | 0.1% | 1.2% | 0.9% | 1.5% | | | | 7.1% | Annualized Compound ROR | 7.1% | 6.2% | 6.3 |
| 011 | 1.4% | 1.3% | 0.1% | 1.2% | -0.2% | -1.4% | 0.3% | -3.0% | -4.0% | 1.9% | -0.9% | -1.0% | -4.5% | 3 Yr Return | 6.1% | 13.2% | 6.2 |
| 10 | 1.2% | 0.7% | 2.4% | 2.1% | -2.3% | -0.7% | 1.0% | 0.4% | 1.9% | 2.1% | 0.1% | 2.2% | 11.5% | 5 Yr Return | 4.4% | 1.1% | 6.5 |
| 09 | 1.1% | -0.8% | 0.5% | 2.8% | 5.5% | 2.9% | 3.3% | 2.9% | 2.9% | 1.2% | 1.4% | 2.0% | 28.6% | Best Month | 5.9% | 10.9% | 3.7 |
| 08 | -0.7% | 0.2% | -1.0% | 0.5% | 0.8% | 0.3% | -1.8% | -1.1% | -4.0% | -7.4% | -2.8% | -2.0% | -17.5% | Worst Month | -27.9% | -16.8% | -3. |
| 07 | 1.5% | 1.4% | 0.6% | 1.5% | 0.9% | 0.4% | 0.7% | -1.8% | 1.8% | 2.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 9.6% | % Positive Months | 72.5% | 61.4% | 70. |
| 06 | 2.3% | 0.6% | 1.0% | 1.9% | -0.8% | -0.2% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.3% | 1.5% | 1.4% | 1.6% | 11.6% | Risk | | | |
| 05 | -0.2% | 1.4% | -0.6% | -0.4% | 0.4% | 1.7% | 1.4% | 1.3% | 1.4% | -1.0% | 1.7% | 1.5% | 8.8% | Standard Deviation | 9.7% | 16.4% | 3. |
| 04 | 1.3% | 0.8% | 0.9% | -1.4% | -0.7% | 1.0% | 0.2% | 1.2% | 1.4% | 1.5% | 2.5% | 1.5% | 10.6% | 3 Yr Standard Deviation | 5.3% | 15.6% | 2. |
| 03 | 1.4% | 1.8% | 1.1% | 2.4% | 3.1% | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.9% | 1.9% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.9% | 18.9% | 5 Yr Standard Deviation | 7.2% | 19.1% | 3. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sharpe Ratio (3.5%) | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sortino Ratio (7.0%) | 0.0 | -0.1 | -(|
| tper | form BM | l in Up N | larkets | Outper | form BM i | n Down M | larkets | | Di | stributio | on of Ret | ums | | Downside Deviation (7.0%) | 8.6% | 12.3% | 2. |
| 1 | | | | 100% | | | | 60 | | | | | | Max Drawdown | -36.8% | -50.9% | -3 |
| | | | | 90% | | | | | | | _ | | | Months In Maximum Drawdown | 5 | 16 | |
| | | | | | | | | 50 - | | | | | | Months To Recover | 33 | 37 | |
| F | | | | 80% | | | | | | | | | | Comparison To Benchmark(s) | | | |
| ⊢ | | | | 70% | | | | 40 - | | | | | | Monthly Alpha | | 0.4% | 0. |
| | | | | 60% | | | | 100 | | | | | | Annualized Alpha | | 5.4% | 8. |
| L | | | | | | | | ₹ 30 - | | | | | | Beta | | 0.3 | (0 |
| | | | | 50% | | | | tot | | | | | | Correlation | | 0.5 | (0 |
| - | | | | 40% | | | | 20 - | | | | | | R-Squared | | 22.9% | 0. |
| - | | | | 30% | | | | | | | | | | Annual Returns | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 10 | | | H H H H H | _ | | 2012-(YTD) | 7.1% | 16.4% | 4. |
| | | | | 20% | | | | | | | | | | 2011 | -4.5% | 2.1% | 7. |
| Н | | | | 10% | | _ | | 0 | | ╷╷╷┍╷╽╷║ | UUUU, | | | 2010 | 11.5% | 15.1% | 6. |
| \vdash | | | | 0% | | | | 8 | 9 9 9 9 | 5 5 | 100 | 304 | 010 | 2009 | 28.6% | 26.5% | 5. |
| | S&P 500 (TR) | Barclay | vs Aggregate nd Index | 0.00 | S&P 500 (TR) | Barclays A | | Ý | ф 17 | 40 m | | | o, | 2008 | -17.5% | -37.0% | 5. |
| | | | | | | Bond 1 | Index | | | Retu | um Range (%) | | | 2007 | 9.6% | 5.5% | 7. |
| sk/I | Return P | rofile | | | | | | Underw | ater Dra | wdowns | | | | Latest Returns | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Last Month | 1.5% | 2.6% | 0. |
| 96 | | | | | | | 0% | ALL DI | | | | | | Last 3 Months | 3.6% | 6.4% | 1. |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | N 1 | | | Last Year | 7.1% | 30.2% | 5. |
| | | | | | Pixed Income Hold | Inter B V | -10% - | | 4 | | 1 I | M 📕 | 14 | 2-Year | 3.4% | 14.8% | 5. |
| | | | | - Perma | Finals areame hold | age n.v. | -20% - | | k | N | | 1. | | 3-Year | 6.1% | 13.2% | 6. |
| Ж | | _ | | | | | 20.90 | | 14 | Ŵ | | | • | 4-Year | 6.7% | 7.8% | 7 |
| | | | | | | down | -30% - | | 1 | 1 | | 1 // | | 5-Year | 4.4% | 1.1% | 6 |
| | | | | A SBP SI | 00 (TR) | MEO | | | | 1 | | | | Drawdown Analysis | | | |
| | | | | | | | -40% - | | - W | | | V. | | 1 | -36.8% | -50.9% | -3 |
| 96 | | | | | | | | | | • | | V | | 2 | -17.7% | -44.7% | -3 |
| | | | | | | | -50% | | | | | | | 3 | -8.2% | -15.4% | -3 |
| | | | | * Barclay | is Aggregate Bond I | Index | | | | | | | | 4 | -3.0% | -13.4% | -2 |
| | | | | | | | -60% | -08 -09 - | Co. 10. 0 | 400 600 | of | - 60 60 | 0 -11 | 5 | -2.5% | -6.6% | -2 |
| | | | | | | | 7991 | 1998 1999 201 | 5002 5005 | Joon Joon Ja | n. 5000 5001 | 5000 5000 301 | 5021 | 5 | -2.5% | -0.070 | -2 |
| 7% L | 4% 6% | 8% 10% | 1296 1496 140 | % 18% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Permal Fixed Income Holdings

-PFIH is a global, multi-manager, multi-strategy diversified fixed income portfolio. Assets are invested in both credit (57%) and non-credit (41%) spread related strategies. Strategies in the credit spread portion are Developed Markets Fixed Income, Emerging Markets Fixed Income, and Hedged Fixed Income (managers that employ short positions). Strategies in the non-credit portion are Relative Value Arbitrage, Event-Driven, and Global Macro.

-The objective of PFIH is to deliver returns of 8-12% returns with 5-7% volatility. The fund typically invests with about 55-65 managers. Turnover is about 15%/year. This is lower (about 10%) within the group of established managers, and higher (30-35%) among new managers. Three of Permal's overriding philosophies are start small, diversify, and focus time and effort on existing positions.



GAM U.S. Institutional Diversity

GAM London Limited

| cit Jun Feb Har Apr Ray Jun Jul Any Sep O.t No Dec Feature Stature 11 1-95 1-05 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.75 1.05 0.55 0.255 1.25% 10 0.656 0.456 0.55 0.255 1.25% <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th> (04)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Statistical Analysis</th><th>Fund</th><th>BM1</th><th>в</th></td<> | | | | | | | | (04) | | | | | | | Statistical Analysis | Fund | BM1 | в |
|--|----------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------|----------------------|------------|----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------|----------------------|-------|---------|----|
| 121 1.9% 0.5% 0.7% 0.0% 0.6% 1.1% | ar | lan | Feb | Mar | | | | | | | Oct | Nov | Dec | Year | - | Fulla | DHI | D |
| $11 0.23^{6} 0.3^{6} 0.3^{6} 0.1^{6} 0.09^{6} 0.95^{6} 1.09^{6} 0.4^{6} -24^{6} 2.0^{6} 0.7^{6} -0.4^{6} -0.1^{6} -1.5^{6} 1.2^{6} 3.5^{6} 1.2^{6} 1.5^{6} 1.5^{6} 1.5^{6} 1.2^{6} 0.2^{6} 1.5^{6} 1.$ | | | | | | - | | | _ | | occ | | Dec | | | 7.5% | 6.7% | 6. |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | 1 | -0.1% | 0.9% | 0.1% | 0.8% | -0.9% | | 0.4% | | -2.0% | 0.7% | -0.4% | -0.1% | -4.0% | | -0.2% | 13.2% | 6 |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | 0 | -0.6% | 0.4% | 0.8% | -0.1% | -2.5% | -3.3% | 0.1% | -0.2% | 1.2% | 1.1% | 0.1% | 1.0% | -2.1% | | -2.3% | 1.1% | 6 |
| 7 1.1% 2.6% -0.2% 2.4% 2.6% -1.0% 0.2% 6.4% 5 -0.5% 0.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 2.5% 0.6% 0.5% <t< td=""><td>9</td><td>0.8%</td><td>0.1%</td><td>-0.1%</td><td>-0.4%</td><td></td><td>-0.2%</td><td>1.0%</td><td>1.1%</td><td>1.3%</td><td>-0.3%</td><td>1.1%</td><td>-0.1%</td><td>5.5%</td><td>Best Month</td><td>10.2%</td><td>10.9%</td><td>з</td></t<> | 9 | 0.8% | 0.1% | -0.1% | -0.4% | | -0.2% | 1.0% | 1.1% | 1.3% | -0.3% | 1.1% | -0.1% | 5.5% | Best Month | 10.2% | 10.9% | з |
| 6 0.5% 1.3% 1.3% 3.5% -2.8% -1.5% 0.5% 0.6% 2.4% 1.6% 3.1% 1.5.3% Bandard Deviation 7.4% 16.3% 4 2.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% -2.0% 0.5% 0.6% 2.7% 1.4% 4.5% 3.1% 15.3% Bandard Deviation 7.4% 16.3% 3 0.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% 1.0% 0.6% 2.7% 1.4% 4.5% 3.1% 15.3% Bandard Deviation 4.1% 15.5% 4 2.0% 0.5% 0.2% 0.1% 1.4% 0.9% 0.1% 3.1% 13.2% No No <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-3</td> | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | -3 |
| 6 0.5% 1.3% 1.3% 3.5% -2.8% -1.6% 1.6% 3.1% 1.5.3% Standard Deviation 7.4% 16.3% 4 2.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% -2.0% -0.4% 1.6% 3.1% 13.5% Standard Deviation 4.1% 15.3% 3 1.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% -2.0% 0.5% 0.6% 2.7% 1.4% 4.9% 13.5% Standard Deviation 4.1% 15.3% 3 1.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% 1.4% 0.9% 0.1% 3.1% 13.5% 3 1.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% 1.4% 0.9% 0.1% 3.1% 13.5% 3 1.0% 0.5% 0.5% 0.2% 0.1% 1.0% 0.6% 0.3% 5% 5% 6.6% 0.3% pice/form BH in Up Market Outperform BH in August Distribution of Returns 1.1% 0.0% 0.1 0.0 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| 5 0.4% 1.4% 0.8% 0.2% 0.5% 0.5% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 4.5% 3 1.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% 1.5% 2.5% 0.5% 0.2% 0.1% 1.4% 0.9% 0.5% 2.1% 1.4% 0.9% 0.5% 2.5% 1.4% 0.5% 0.5% 1.5% 1.2% Breform BH in Up Markets Outperform BH in Doom Markets Distribution of Returns 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 6 | 3.8% | 1.3% | 1.3% | 3.5% | | -1.9% | 1.2% | 0.5% | 0.6% | 2.4% | 1.6% | 3.1% | 15.3% | | | | |
| 4 2.0% 0.6% 0.2% 0.1% -2.0% 0.1% -1.2% 0.1% 0.5% 0.2% 0.1% 1.4% 0.6% 2.7% 1.4% 4.6% 3.5% 13.5\% 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7.4% | 16.3% | 3 |
| 3 1.0% 0.6% -0.3% 1.1% 3.9% 0.5% 0.2% 0.1% 1.4% 0.9% 0.1% 3.1% 13.2% 5% Earder Deviation (7.0%) 0.6 0.3% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.1% | 15.6% | 2 |
| perform BM in Up Harkets Outperform BM in Down Markets Distribution of Returns Distribution of Return | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.8% | 19.1% | 3 |
| schon BM in Up Markets Outperform BM in Down Markets Distribution of Returns Distribution of Returns Outperform BM in Down Distribution of Returns Outperform BM in Distribution of Returns Outperform BM in Distribution of Returns Outperform Distribution of Returns Outperform Distribution of Returns Outperform Distribution of Returns Outperform Distribution of | - | 210 /0 | 0.070 | 0.070 | | 010 /0 | 010 /0 | 012.70 | 012 /0 | 2 | 010 /0 | 01270 | 01270 | 2012.00 | | | | |
| perform BM in Up Markets Outperform BM in Down Markets Distribution of Returns Octower Analysis Conside Deviation (7.0%) 4.8% 12.2% 4.8% 12.2% Max Drawdown 47 16 Maximum Drawdown 40 11 Maximum Drawdown 40 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amount Provides Amount Provides | per | form BM | in Up N | larkets | Outperf | form BM i | n Down M | larkets | | Di | istributio | on of Ret | ums | | | | 12.2% | 2 |
| Amountain and a second and | | | | | | | | | 60 | | | | | | | | | - |
| and a set of the second and the set of the second and the set of the second and | | | | | 100% | | | | ~ | | | _ | | | | | | |
| and a bit of the construction of th | - | | | | 90% | | | _ | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 10 | | | | | 80% | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | 57 | |
| Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2 Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2 Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2 Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2 Correlation R-Squared 18.2% Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2% Correlation R-Squared 18.2% Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2% Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2% Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2% Annualized Alpha Beta 0.2% Annu | | | | | - | | | | 40 | | | | | | | | 0.5% | (|
| 60% 60% 0.2 40% 40% 0.4 30% 40% 30% 6.4% 30% 50% 0.4 10.2% Amutal Returns 2012 (VTD) 4.9% 16.4% 2010 -2.1% 15.1% 2010 -2.1% 15.1% 2010 -2.1% 15.1% 2009 5.5% 26.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2018 -15.0% 6.4% 2019 -2.5% 13.2% 2010 -2.1% 13.1% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 1.4.8% 3.0% 6.4% 1.4.8% 3.4% 7.4% | | | | | 70% | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 50% 40% 40% 40% 10.2% 40% 30% 40% 40% 10.2% 30% 40% 40% 10.2% 30% 50% 50% 7% | \vdash | | | | 60% | | | | ž | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Returns Resquared 10.2% Annual Returns 2012-(YTD) 4.9% 16.4% SaP 500 (TR) Barday Aggregate 0 | <u> </u> | | | | 50% | | | | ALL S | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Returns 2012-(YTD) 4.9% 16.4% 2011 -4.0% 2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2010 -2.1% 2009 5.5% 26.5% 2008 -15.0% 2008 -15.0% 2008 -15.0% 2007 -6.4% 2008 -15.0% 2008 -1 | ⊢ | | | | 4000 | | | | £ 30 | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 2012-(YTD) 4.9% 16.4% 2011 -4.0% 2.1% 2010 -2.1% 15.1% 2010 -2.1% 15.1% 2009 5.5% 26.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 8.4% 15.0% 2008 -15.0% -37.0% 2008 -15.0% -37.0% 2009 -5.5% 26.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 -15.0% -37.0% 2008 -15.0% -37.0% 2008 -15.0% -37.0% 2009 -5.5% -26.5% 2007 -15.0% 2008 -15.0% -37.0% 2008 -15.0% -37.0% 2008 -15.0% -3.0% 6.4% 1.1% 2.6% 1.1% -44.7% 3.0% 6.4% 5.5% -6.6% | | | | | | | | | 20 | | | | | | | | 10.2% | |
| 2011 - 4.0% 2.1% 2010 - 2.1% 2009 5.5% 26.5% 2009 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 26.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 26.5% 2008 - 15.0% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2.1% 2010 - 2.1% 2009 5.5% 2008 - 15.0% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2.1% 2010 - 2.1% 2009 5.5% 2008 - 15.0% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2.1% 2008 - 15.0% 2.1% 2009 5.5% 2008 - 15.0% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 2.1% 2007 6.4% 5.5% 1.1% 1.1% 1.1% 2.1 | | | | | 30% | | | | 10 | | | վ Ս Ս Ս Լ | | | | 4 9% | 16.4% | 4 |
| SBP 500 (TR) Barchyr, Aggregate Bord Index 10% 0% SBP 500 (TR) Barchyr, Aggregate Bord Index 0% | | | | | 20% | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| SBP 500 (TR) Barchyrs Aggregate Bord Index 0% SAP 500 (TR) SAP 50 | H | | | | 10% | | | | 0 | | لللمقم | | | | | | | é |
| Line M(M) Band Index SaP 500 (TR) Bardays Aggregate Bond Index Charlen Range (%) 2008 -15.0% -37.0% sk/Return Profile Underwater Drawdowns Latest Returns 2008 -15.0% 6.4% sk/Return Profile Underwater Drawdowns Latest Returns 2008 -15.0% 6.4% % SaP 500 (TR) % 9 000 000 000 000 6.4% % SaP 500 (TR) % 9 000 000 000 6.4% 0.5% % SaP 500 (TR) % 000 000 000 000 6.4% 0.2% | | | | | | | | | 8 | φ φ ο ο | ÷ * | 8 8 | * * * * | 9 | | | | 5 |
| Bond Index Bond Index Return Range (%) 2007 61.00% 5.70% sk/Return Profile Underwater Drawdowns Latest Returns Underwater Drawdowns Latest Returns h | : | 58P 500 (TR) | Barclay | rs Aggregate | 0% | S&P 500 (TR) | Barclays A | agregate | 2 | 9 5 | 4 F | 7 7 | m in h | 8 | | | | 5 |
| Sk/Return Profile Underwater Drawdowns Latest Returns * | | | во | nd Index | | | | | | | Reta | um Range (%) | | | | | | 7 |
| Last Months 1.1% 2.6% Last 3 Months 3.0% 6.4% Last Year 5.1% 30.2% 2-Year 1.4% 14.8% 3-Year -0.2% 13.2% 4-Year -0.4% 7.8% 5-Year -2.3% 1.1% Drawdown Analysis 1 -17.3% -50.9% 2 - Year -2.3% 1.1% Drawdown Analysis 1 -17.3% -50.9% 2 - 11.8% -44.7% 3 - 5.3% -15.4% 4 - 5.0% -6.8% -5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 | -l- /r | Determo D | 61 | | | | | | Undar | dan Dan | | | | | | 0.4% | 3.3% | |
| Image: Second control of the second | SR/ D | cecurn P | rome | | | | | | Underwa | ater Dra | wdowns | | | | | 1.10/ | 2.6% | (|
| Image: Second control of the second control | 16 | | | | | | | 0% | | | | | _ | | | | | 1 |
| 6 -CAM U.S. Institutional Diversity -20% - | | | | | | | | 1 | 1.1 | 5 | | 1 | 1 | A 11 | | | | 5 |
| Asap 500 (TR) -20% -30% -20% -30% -20% -30% -20% -30% -20% | | | | | | | | -10% - | | 4 | | 1 | M State | N | | | | |
| 6 4-Year -0.4% 7.3% A SAP 500 (TR) 40% -0.4% 5-Year -2.3% 1.1% B archys Aggregate Bool Index -0.6% -60% -60% -60% -60% -60% -60% -5.3% -15.4% -15.0% -6.6% | | | | | GAM | U.S. Institutional I | Diversity | | | l | N | r | | | | | | |
| ASAP 500 (TR) 40% 40% 50% 1.1% + Barclays Aggregate Bond Index -0% -0% -0% -0% -11.8% -44.7% -0.9% -11.8% -44.7% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.5% -0.6% -0.5% -0.6% -0. | К6 | | | | | | | -20% - | | Ŋ., | ~ ~ ~ ` | | | • | | | | 6 |
| A S&P 500 (TR) B 40% 40% 1 -17.3% -50.9% 2 -11.8% -44.7% 3 -15.4% 3 -15.4% 3 -50.9% 3 -15.4% 40% 4 -50.9% 3 -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% -50.9% | H | | | | | | umo | 2004 | | - V\ | | | 1 1 | | | | | 7 |
| *Barclays Aggregate Bond Index *Barclays Aggregate Bond Index *Barclays Aggregate Bond Index *Office *Barclays Aggregate Bond Index *Office * | | • | | | A SAP 5 | 500 (TTR) | DME | -30% - | | . 1 | 1 | | 11 | | | -2.5% | 1.1% | e |
| *Barclays Aggregate Bond Index -50% -11.8% -00.5% *Barclays Aggregate Bond Index -50% 2 -11.8% -44.7% -60% -60% 3 -5.3% -15.4% -60% -6.8% 4 -5.0% -6.6% | | * | | | | | 0 | -40% - | | | N | | V | | | 47.00 | -50.004 | |
| *Barclays Aggregate Bood Index *60% *Barclays Aggregate Bood Index *60% *60% *60% *60% *60% *60% *60% *60% | Ко | | | | | | | | | | × | | V | | | | | - |
| 60% 4 -5.0% -6.8% 4 -5.0% -6.6% | | | | | | | | -50% - | | | | | 1 | | - | | | - |
| 60% | | | | | • Barcla | ays Aggregate Bor | d Index | | | | | | - | | | | | - |
| A. A. A. D. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 2% 4% 6% 10% 12% 14% 16% 18% | × - | | | | | | | 1996 19 | 91 7889 7889 5 | 000 2001 200 | 12 2003 2004 7 | 2005 2006 2007 | 2008 2009 20 | 10 5077 | 5 | -4.6% | -6.6% | -3 |



September 30, 2012



-The GAM Diversity strategy aims seeks to achieve absolute returns with diversification of risk and low correlation to traditional asset classes such as equities and bonds. GAM strategy targets net returns of 9-13% net per annum with 5-7% volatility and 0.2-0.6 correlation to the S&P 500 and 0.0-0.2 correlation to the Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index.

-The GAM portfolio construction process combines the structural discipline of clear return and risk targets with the bottom-up assessment of opportunity and risk across markets and strategies within a unified portfolio construction framework. GAM would not deviate from the portfolio construction process unless GAM felt the returns and risk characteristics being generated were not meeting GAM's expectations. If this were to happen GAM would take steps to reevaluate the process and make adjustments.

-Asset allocation for GAM Multi-Manager's portfolios allows each investment manager to draw on the synthesized views of the specialist investment teams and apply them to his or her own portfolios as appropriate. The views of the specialist investment teams are formed and captured on a quarterly basis, when all senior Multi-Manager investment managers gather for the three-day Quarterly Investment Meeting (QIM). The purpose of the QIM is to review formally the prior quarter's investment results, assess opportunities and consider changes to strategic and tactical views.



- Past performance is no guarantee of future results.
- NEPC relies on the plan's custodian bank for portfolio pricing, calculation of accruals, and transaction information. Information on market indices and security characteristics is received from other sources external to NEPC. While NEPC has exercised reasonable professional care in preparing this report, we cannot guarantee the accuracy of all source information contained within.
- This report is provided as a management aid for the client's internal use only. Performance contained in this report does not constitute a recommendation by NEPC.
- This report may contain confidential or proprietary information and may not be copied or redistributed.



Glossary of Investment Terminology

Of Portfolios/Observations¹ – The total number of data points that make up a specified universe

Allocation Index³ - The allocation index measures the value added (or subtracted) to each portfolio by active management. It is calculated monthly: The portfolio asset allocation to each category from the prior month-end is multiplied by a specified market index.

Asset Allocation Effect² - Measures an investment manager's ability to effectively allocate their portfolio's assets to various sectors. The allocation effect determines whether the overweighting or underweighting of sectors relative to a benchmark contributes positively or negatively to the overall portfolio return. Positive allocation occurs when the portfolio is over weighted in a sector that outperforms the benchmark and underweighted in a sector that underperforms the benchmark. Negative allocation occurs when the portfolio is over weighted in a sector that underperforms the benchmark and under weighted in a sector that outperforms the benchmark.

Agency Bonds (Agencies)³ - The full faith and credit of the United States government is normally not pledged to payment of principal and interest on the majority of government agencies issuing these bonds, with maturities of up to ten years. Their yields, therefore, are normally higher than government and their marketability is good, thereby qualifying them as a low risk-high liquidity type of investment. They are eligible as security for advances to the member banks by the Federal Reserve, which attests to their standing.

Asset Backed Securities (ABS)³ - Bonds which are similar to mortgagebacked securities but are collateralized by assets other than mortgages; commonly backed by credit card receivables, auto loans, or other types of consumer financing.

Attribution³ - Attribution is an analytical technique that allows us to evaluate the performance of the portfolio relative to the benchmark. A proper attribution tells us where value was added or subtracted as a result of the manager's decisions.

Average Effective Maturity⁴ - For a single bond, it is a measure of maturity that takes into account the possibility that a bond might be called back to the issuer.

For a portfolio of bonds, average effective maturity is the weighted average of the maturities of the underlying bonds. The measure is computed by weighing each bond's maturity by its market value with respect to the portfolio and the likelihood of any of the bonds being called. In a pool of mortgages, this would also account for the likelihood of prepayments on the mortgages.

Batting Average¹ - A measurement representing an investment manager's ability to meet or beat an index.

Formula: Divide the number of days (or months, quarters, etc.) in which the manager beats or matches the index by the total number of days (or months, quarters, etc.) in the period of question and multiply that factor by 100.

Brinson Fachler (BF) Attribution¹ - The BF methodology is a highly accepted industry standard for calculating the allocation, selection, and interaction effects within a portfolio that collectively explains a portfolio's underlying performance. The main advantage of the BF methodology is that rather than using the overall return of the benchmark, it goes a level deeper than BHB and measures whether the benchmark sector, country, etc. outperformed/or underperformed the overall benchmark.

Brinson Hood Beebower (BHB) Attribution¹ - The BHB methodology shows that excess return must be equal to the sum of all other factors (i.e., allocation effect, selection effect, interaction effect, etc.). The advantage to using the BHB methodology is that it is a highly accepted industry standard for calculating the allocation, selection, and interaction effects within a portfolio that collectively explains a portfolio's underlying performance.

Corporate Bond (Corp)⁴ - A debt security issued by a corporation and sold to investors. The backing for the bond is usually the payment ability of the company, which is typically money to be earned from future operations. In some cases, the company's physical assets may be used as collateral for bonds.

Correlation¹ - A range of statistical relationships between two or more random variables or observed data values. A correlation is a single number that describes the degree of relationship between variables.

Data Source: ¹InvestorForce, ²Interaction Effect Performance Attribution, ³NEPC, LLC, ⁴Investopedia, ⁵Hedgeco.net



Glossary of Investment Terminology

Coupon⁴ – The interest rate stated on a bond when it is issued. The coupon is typically paid semiannually. This is also referred to as the "coupon rate" or "coupon percent rate."

Currency Effect¹ - Is the effect that changes in currency exchange rates over time affect excess performance.

Derivative Instrument³ - A financial obligation that derives its precise value from the value of one or more other instruments (or assets) at the same point of time. For example, the relationship between the value of an S&P 500 futures contract (the derivative instrument in this case) is determined by the value of the S&P 500 Index and the value of a U.S. Treasury bill that matures at the expiration of the futures contract.

Downside Deviation¹ - Equals the standard deviation of negative return or the measure of downside risk focusing on the standard deviation of negative returns.

Formula:

Annualized Standard Deviation (Fund Return - Average Fund Return) where average fund return is greater than individual fund returns, monthly or quarterly.

Duration³ - Duration is a measure of interest rate risk. The greater the duration of a bond, or a portfolio of bonds, the greater its price volatility will be in response to a change in interest rates. A bond's duration is inversely related to interest rates and directly related to time to maturity.

Equity/Debt/Cash Ratio¹ – The percentage of an investment or portfolio that is in Equity, Debt, and/or Cash (i.e. A 7/89/4 ratio represents an investment that is made up of 7% Equity, 89% Debt, and 4% Cash).

Foreign Bond³ - A bond that is issued in a domestic market by a foreign entity, in the domestic market's currency. A foreign bond is most often issued by a foreign firm to raise capital in a domestic market that would be most interested in purchasing the firm's debt. For foreign firms doing a large amount of business in the domestic market, issuing foreign bonds is a common practice.

Hard Hurdle⁵ – is a hurdle rate that once beaten allows a fund manager to charge a performance fee on only the funds above the specified hurdle rate.

High-Water Mark⁴ - The highest peak in value that an investment fund/ account has reached. This term is often used in the context of fund manager compensation, which is performance based. Some performance-based fees only get paid when fund performance exceeds the high-water mark. The high-water mark ensures that the manager does not get paid large sums for poor performance.

Hurdle Rate⁴ - The minimum rate of return on an investment required, in order for a manager to collect incentive fees from the investor, which is usually tied to a benchmark.

Interaction Effects² - The interaction effect measures the combined impact of an investment manager's selection and allocation decisions within a sector. For example, if an investment manager had superior selection and over weighted that particular sector, the interaction effect is positive. If an investment manager had superior selection, but underweighted that sector, the interaction effect is negative. In this case, the investment manager did not take advantage of the superior selection by allocating more assets to that sector. Since many investment managers consider the interaction effect to be part of the selection or the allocation, it is often combined with the either effect.

Median³ - The value (rate of return, market sensitivity, etc.) that exceeds onehalf of the values in the population and that is exceeded by one-half of the values. The median has a percentile rank of 50.

Modified Duration³ - The percentage change in the price of a fixed income security that results from a change in yield.

Mortgage Backed Securities (MBS)³ - Bonds which are a general obligation of the issuing institution but are also collateralized by a pool of mortgages.

Municipal Bond (Muni)⁴ - A debt security issued by a state, municipality or county to finance its capital expenditures.

Net Investment Change¹ – Is the change in an investment after accounting for all Net Cash Flows.

Performance Fee⁴ - A payment made to a fund manager for generating positive returns. The performance fee is generally calculated as a percentage of investment profits, often both realized and unrealized.

Data Source: ¹InvestorForce, ²Interaction Effect Performance Attribution, ³NEPC, LLC, ⁴Investopedia, ⁵Hedgeco.net



Glossary of Investment Terminology

Policy Index³ - A custom benchmark designed to indicate the returns that a passive investor would earn by consistently following the asset allocation targets set forth in this investment policy statement.

Price to Book (P/B)⁴ - A ratio used to compare a stock's market value to its book value. It is calculated by dividing the current closing price of the stock by the latest quarter's book value per share, also known as the "price-equity ratio".

Price to Earnings (P/E)³ - The weighted equity P/E is based on current price and trailing 12 months earnings per share (EPS).

Price to Sales (P/S)^4 - A ratio for valuing a stock relative to its own past performance, other companies, or the market itself. Price to sales is calculated by dividing a stock's current price by its revenue per share for the trailing 12 months.

Return on Equity (ROE)⁴ - The amount of net income returned as a percentage of shareholders equity. Return on equity measures a corporation's profitability by revealing how much profit a company generates with the money shareholders have invested.

Selection (or Manager) Effect² - Measures the investment manager's ability to select securities within a given sector relative to a benchmark. The over or underperformance of the portfolio is weighted by the benchmark weight, therefore, selection is not affected by the manager's allocation to the sector. The weight of the sector in the portfolio determines the size of the effect—the larger the sector, the larger the effect is, positive or negative.

Soft Hurdle rate⁵ – is a hurdle rate that once beaten allows a fund manager to charge a performance fee based on the entire annualized return.

Tiered Fee¹ – A fee structure that is paid to fund managers based on the size of the investment (i.e. 1.00% fee on the first \$10M invested, 0.90% on the next \$10M, and 0.80% on the remaining balance).

Total Effects² - The active management (total) effect is the sum of the selection, allocation, and interaction effects. It is also the difference between the total portfolio return and the total benchmark return. You can use the active management effect to determine the amount the investment manager has added to a portfolio's return.

Total Return¹ - The actual rate of return of an investment over a specified time period. Total return includes interest, capital gains, dividends, and distributions realized over a defined time period.

Universe³ - The list of all assets eligible for inclusion in a portfolio.

Upside Deviation¹ – Standard Deviation of Positive Returns

Weighted Avg. Market Cap.⁴ - A stock market index weighted by the market capitalization of each stock in the index. In such a weighting scheme, larger companies account for a greater portion of the index. Most indexes are constructed in this manner, with the best example being the S&P 500.

Yield (%)³ - The current yield of a security is the current indicated annual dividend rate divided by current price.

Yield to Maturity³ -The discount rate that equates the present value of cash flows, both principal and interest, to market price.

Data Source: ¹InvestorForce, ²Interaction Effect Performance Attribution, ³NEPC, LLC, ⁴Investopedia, ⁵Hedgeco.net



Glossary of Investment Terminology-Risk Statistics

Alpha - Measures the relationship between the fund performance and the performance of another fund or benchmark index and equals the excess return while the other fund or benchmark index is zero.

Alpha Jensen - The average return on a portfolio over and above that predicted by the capital asset pricing model (CAPM), given the portfolio's beta and the average market return. Also known as the abnormal return or the risk adjusted excess return.

Annualized Excess Return over Benchmark - Annualized fund return minus the annualized benchmark return for the calculated return.

Annualized Return - A statistical technique whereby returns covering periods greater than one year are converted to cover a 12 month time span.

Beta - Measures the volatility or systematic risk and is equal to the change in the fund's performance in relation to the change in the assigned index's performance.

Information Ratio - A measure of the risk adjusted return of a financial security, asset, or portfolio.

Formula:

(Annualized Return of Portfolio - Annualized Return of Benchmark)/Annualized Standard Deviation(Period Portfolio Return – Period Benchmark Return). To annualize standard deviation, multiply the deviation by the square root of the number of periods per year where monthly returns per year equals 12 and quarterly returns is four periods per year.

R-Squared – Represents the percentage of a fund's movements that can be explained by movements in an index. R-Squared values range from 0 to 100. An R-Squared of 100 denotes that all movements of a fund are completely explained by movements in the index.

Sharpe Ratio - A measure of the excess return or risk premium per unit of risk in an investment asset or trading strategy.

Sortino Ratio - A method to differentiate between good and bad volatility in the Sharpe Ratio. The differentiation of up and down volatility allows the calculation to provide a risk adjusted measure of a security or fund's performance without upward price change penalties.

Formula:

Calculation Average (X-Y)/Downside Deviation (X-Y) * 2Where X=Return Series X Y = Return Series Y which is the risk free return (91 day T-bills) **Standard Deviation** - The standard deviation is a statistical term that describes the distribution of results. It is a commonly used measure of volatility of returns of a portfolio, asset class, or security. The higher the standard deviation the more volatile the returns are.

Formula:

(Annualized Return of Portfolio – Annualized Return of Risk Free) / Annualized Standard Deviation (Portfolio Returns)

Tracking Error - Tracking error, also known as residual risk, is a measure of the degree to which a portfolio tracks its benchmark. It is also a measure of consistency of excess returns. Tracking error is computed as the annualized standard deviation of the difference between a portfolio's return and that of its benchmark.

Formula:

Tracking Error = Standard Deviation $(X-Y) * \sqrt{\#}$ of periods per year) Where X = periods portfolio return and Y = the period's benchmark return For monthly returns, the periods per year = 12 For quarterly returns, the periods per year = 4

Treynor Ratio - A risk-adjusted measure of return based on systematic risk. Similar to the Sharpe ratio with the difference being the Treynor ratio uses beta as the measurement of volatility.

Formula:

(Portfolio Average Return - Average Return of Risk-Free Rate)/Portfolio Beta

Up/Down Capture Ratio - A measure of what percentage of a market's returns is "captured" by a portfolio. For example, if the market declines 10% over some period, and the manager declines only 9%, then his or her capture ratio is 90%. In down markets, it is advantageous for a manager to have as low a capture ratio as possible. For up markets, the higher the capture ratio the better. Looking at capture ratios can provide insight into how a manager achieves excess returns. A value manager might typically have a lower capture ratio in both up and down markets, achieving excess returns by protecting on the downside, whereas a growth manager might fall more than the overall market in down markets, but achieve above-market returns in a rising market.

UpsideCapture = TotalReturn(FundReturns)/TotalReturns(BMReturn) when Period Benchmark Return is > = 0

DownsideCapture = TotalReturn(FundReturns)/TotalReturns(BMReturn) when Benchmark <0

Data Source: InvestorForce

